

International Journal of Biosciences | IJB | ISSN: 2220-6655 (Print) 2222-5234 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 22, No. 5, p. 62-80, 2023

RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

Controlling invasive barnyard grass (*Echinochloa crus-galli* L.) weed growth using rice (*Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR) straw methanolic extract

Bernard B. Balderas*

Don Mariano Marcos Memorial State University, South La Union Campus Agoo, La Union, Philippines

Key words: Weed growth, Rice straw methanolic extract

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/22.5.62-80

Article published on May 06, 2023

Abstract

Weeds are a severe threat to sustainable crop production and although herbicides provide effective weed control, environmental and health concerns push for alternate means of weed control. Allelopathy offers an economical, effective, and environmental-friendly approach to weed management. This experimental study determined the herbicidal activity of *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolic extract to the growth of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) in terms of germination percentage, height, leaf number, leaf area, root length, root mass/number, shoot mass, and dry weight. Also, the study determined if there are significant differences among the various concentrations of the growth of barnyard grassThe results of the experiment confirmed the allelopathic potential of *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolic extract in inhibiting the growth of barnyard grass as observed in the rates of reduction in height, leaf number, leaf area, root number, and dry weight. As the concentrations increase, the herbicidal effects of rice straw methanolic extract also progressively increase. Hence, it is possible that its allelopathic effects on the growth of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. weed can be comparable with the inhibitory effects of Butachlor.

* Corresponding Author: Bernard B. Balderas 🖂 bbalderas@dmmmsu.edu.ph

Introduction

Weed infestation is a deep-seated problem in agriculture worldwide. Weeds cause reductions in yield and quality and remain one of the biggest problems in crop production. Even with the intensive use of synthetic herbicides, weeds cause 10 percent to 30 percent crop losses. Without weed control, crop losses reach as high as 45 percent to 95 percent, depending on the ecological and climatic conditions (Ampong-Nyarko and De Datta, 2015).

Agriculture worldwide is currently using about 3 million tons of herbicides annually. Herbicideresistant weeds have become more prolific, which has further expanded the use of herbicides (Shibayama, 2016). The use of herbicides and pesticides in agriculture has grown dramatically over the past 30 years. Currently, approximately 600 active herbicide ingredients are used, but adequate toxicologic data are available for only approximately 100 of these (Weisenburger, 2018).

Synthetic herbicides have been used to control weeds for many years, but their hazards against the environment and public health have raised questions about farmers' reliance on such chemicals (Shibayama, 2016). In conventional agriculture, weed control using herbicides is not only an expensive practice; it is also harmful to the environment causing human exposures that could lead to serious and lifethreatening health effects including acute and chronic neurotoxicity (insecticides, herbicides, fumigants), lung damage (paraquat), chemical burns (anhydrous ammonia), and infant methemoglobinemia (nitrate in groundwater). Since an extensive area is covered by rice cultivation, heavy pesticide (insecticides- 1.02 a.i/hm², herbicide-0.19 a.i/hm², fungicides-0.51 a.i/hm²) load enters the environment and get accumulated via leaching and biomagnifications (Shende and Bagde, 2016).

The negative impact of commercial herbicides makes it desirable to search for other alternative weed management options (Nirmal Kumar *et al.*, 2017) and allelopathy seems to be one of the options (Tesio and Ferrero, 2018). Allelopathy is a natural ecological phenomenon. It is an environment-friendly technique that may prove useful as a unique tool for weed management (Molish, 2017; Rice, 2019). It involves direct or in-direct (harmful or beneficial) effects of one plant upon another through the production of secondary chemical compounds called allelochemicals that technically escape into the environment in sufficient quantity and with enough persistence to cause the enrolled effects (Macias *et al.*, 2012; Zeng, 2018).

Many countries today have been venturing into the use of crops with allelopathic properties in agriculture toward the improvement of crop productivity and environmental protection (Cheema and Khaliq, 2013; Singh *et al.*, 2013; Cheema *et al.*, 2014; Khanh *et al.*, 2015; Reeves *et al.*, 2015; Yildirim and Guvenc, 2008; Iqbal *et al.*, 2007; Mahmood *et al.*, 2013; Wortman *et al.*, 2013; Farooq *et al.*, 2014; Silva *et al.*, 2014; Wezel *et al.*, 2014; Haider *et al.*, 2015).

The utilization of rice residues in paddy fields has long been recognized as an important source to improve the status of organic matter of soil and was also reported to reduce the emergence of weeds (Narwal, 2010). However, the effect of rice straw extract in soil on the growth of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) as a weed infesting rice crops is not yet established. After a survey of the literature, the researcher has not found evidence of published research that used the methanolic extract of rice straw as an alternative method to control the growth of barnyard grass in rice fields. Thus, the present study aimed to determine the allelopathic effect of rice straw extract on the growth of *Echinochloa crusgalli* L. (barnyard grass).

Specifically, the study determined the herbicidal activity of Oryza sativa L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolic extract to the growth of Echinochloa crus-galli L. (barnyard grass) weed measured in terms of germination percentage, height, leaf number, leaf area, root length, root mass/number, shoot mass, and dry weight. It also compared the growth of Echinochloa crus-galli L. (barnyard grass) weed along the different

aforementioned indicators among the various concentrations of rice straw methanolic extracts to the growth of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) using negative and positive controls to determine if significant differences exist. Such results can provide scientific evidence about the potential of rice straw methanolic extracts in controlling the growth of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass), which may likely be comparable to the effects of commercially-available synthetic herbicides.

The current research endeavored to contribute new knowledge and verify the results of previously conducted studies along the field of discovering environment-friendly and sustainable weed management systems by proposing an alternative method of improving rice production by offering an eco-friendly and harmless procedure of weed management in most agricultural systems.

Materials and methods

Research design

This study aimed to evaluate the herbicidal activity of Oruza sativa L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolic extract to the growth of Echinochloa crusgalli L. (barnyard grass) weed. To sufficiently address the objectives of the study, the experimental method of research was utilized which followed the standard procedures of plant extraction with the aid of the rotary evaporator. Specifically, it employed the Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with five (5) treatments, including the negative and positive controls, which were replicated four (4) times. Each replicate consisted of three (3) pots (seedling bags). Therefore, a total of twelve (12) seedling bags were utilized per treatment. For each seedling bag, 20 seeds of Echinochloa crus-galli L. (barnyard grass) weed were sown.

The different treatments of the study are presented below.

T₁ - distilled water (negative control)

T₂-recommended rate (0.142 g) Butachlor (Machete® 5G) (positive control)

 T_3 - 2.5 g *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) methanolic extract/100g soil

T₅ - 3.5 g *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) methanolic extract/100g soil

The experiment was conducted for a period of 42 days in a controlled environment in the greenhouse of the College of Arts and Sciences of the South La Union Campus.

Sources of data

The data of the study were drawn from the five treatments involved in the experimentation. As mentioned earlier, five (5) treatments consisting of the three concentrations of rice straw methanolic extracts (2.5g, 3.0g, 3.5g per 100g of soil), and the negative and positive controls, were replicated four (4) times. Each replicate consisted of three (3) seedling bags, hence, a total of twelve (12) seedling bags were utilized per treatment. Additionally, the 12 seedling bags for all the different treatments were sown with 20 seeds of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weed.

Initially, a total of five (5) *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weeds are expected to be selected through random sampling from each seedling bag for all the replicates from every treatment. Therefore, a total of 15 randomly selected *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weeds that germinated from the sown seeds were expected to be considered from each replicate per treatment for the measurement of the different plant parameters which include the height, leaf number, leaf area, root length, root number, shoot number, and dry weight.

However, in the course of the experiment, the positive control (T_2) and T_5 recorded low germination rates and thus random selection was not possible for the aforementioned treatments considering that the number of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weed seeds that germinated per replicate is below ten (10). Therefore, total enumeration was employed to T_2 and T_5 which considered all the 17 and 29 *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weeds that grew for T_2 and T_5 , respectively.

For T_1 , T_3 , and T_4 , 15 *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weeds were randomly selected from each replicate (five from each seedling bag) per treatment. Thus, a total of 60 *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weeds were considered for data collection. In total, 226 *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weeds were measured in terms of height, leaf number, leaf area, root length, root mass/number, shoot mass, and dry weight. Table 1 below presents the distribution of the 226 *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weeds among the treatments and replicates.

Table 1. Herbicidal activity of Oryza sativa L. var.NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolic extract onthe seedling emergence of Echinochloa crus-galli L.(barnyard grass) weed.

Treatment	Number of Seeds that Germinated	Percentage	Percent Inhibition against T ₂
T_1	239	99.58	-
T ₂	17	7.08	0%
T ₃ T ₄	139	57.92	582%
T ₄	95	39.58	452%
T_5	29	12.08	53%

Instrumentation and data collection Materials

To conduct the experimental study, chemical and laboratory equipment were used. For the former, methyl alcohol for the extraction of methanolic extract from rice straw was utilized. Butachlor (Machete (B 5G) was used as the positive control.

Procedures

Plant identification and collection

Voucher plant specimens were authenticated by plant taxonomist at Bureau of Plant Industry, Manila. Dried *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw waste was collected from the rice fields in Brgy. San Miguel, Agoo, La Union at harvest stage and was allowed to dry for an additional time under room temperature (28-30°C). After five days, the *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw waste was cut into smaller pieces using scissors into 0.5 to 1cm size.

Bulk extraction

Approximately 5 kilograms of air-dried *Oryza sativa* L. *var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice)* straw waste was cut into smaller pieces and was homogenized using an electric blender. The homogenized *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw waste was soaked in 1000mL of 100% methanol (MeOH) using an Erlenmeyer flask for two days (48 hours) at room temperature (25°C). The aforementioned container was wrapped with aluminum foil. Then, the soaked *Oryza sativa* L. *var*. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw waste was filtered using filter paper. Crude extraction followed which was conducted at the Natural Product Laboratory of the College of Arts and Sciences at DMMMSU-SLUC, Agoo, La Union. The *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) methanolic extract was evaporated to dryness at 50°C and was kept refrigerated until further use.

Preparation of potting media and application of treatments

The pot soil was obtained from the rice fields in San Miguel, Agoo, La Union. Soil cores were taken at 10 areas per plot at a depth of 10cm after tillage. One step forward was taken in the row and the core was sampled from the resulting footprint. Five soil cores (1.9cm diameter cylinder wet tip hand probe) were taken in a zigzag pattern up between rows 2 and 3 and five soil cores were taken in a zigzag pattern down between rows 4 and 5 using the footprint method. The cores were taken on either side of the row. Further, the core was placed directly into an empty sack and was placed in a cooler at 4°C to preserve the natural components of the soil. About 4 kg of soil was transferred into each seedling bag which was sown with Echinochloa crus-galli L. (barnyard grass) seeds. Sixty (60) seedling bags (30cm diameter) were used in the experiment. Each seedling bag was filled with 4 kg field soil and the different concentrations of Oryza sativa L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) methanolic extract soil mix (i.e. 2.5 g, 3.0g, and 3.5g dry weight/100g soil) (Karim & Forzwa, 2010). The seedling bags were sown with weed species Echinochloa crus-galli L. (barnyard grass) at 20 seeds/seedling bag and mixed thoroughly at 2cm from the soil. The seedling bags were maintained and watered regularly (twice a day) with approximately equal amounts of water. The seeds were allowed to grow for 42 days.

Collection of weed seeds

Seeds of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weed were collected from the rice fields of Brgy. San Miguel, Agoo, La Union. The seeds were collected after removing the trash and defective seeds by floating them on distilled water. Then, the collected seeds were placed in a glass jar and were kept in a dry place until further use. Before the bioassay, seeds were surface sterilized in a 1:10 (v/v) dilution of commercial hypochlorite bleach for 10 minutes and rinsed several times with distilled water.

Measurement of seed emergence and growth parameters of plants

The allelopathic effect of *O. sativa* L. var NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) methanolic extract as an alternative organic herbicide was measured in terms of growth and development parameters like germination percentage, plant height, leaf number, leaf area, root length, root number, shoot mass, and dry weight.

Germination percentage

The number of germinated seeds was counted 14 days after sowing. Seeds with 2 mm plumules above the soil surface were considered as germinated seeds. The percentage inhibition in seed germination (G) with the different concentrations of *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolic extract relative to that of the positive control was calculated. The germination percentage was calculated using the following formula by Gummert (2010):

 $Germination (\%) = \frac{Number of seeds that germinated}{Number of seeds on the pot} \times 100$

Weed height (cm)

Weed heights of the *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weed were measured periodically (14th, 21st, 28th, 35th, and 42th), after sowing. The height of the weed was measured using a ruler or meter stick from the part nearest to the soil to the top of the main weed shoot. The percentage inhibition of plant height (PH) with the different concentrations of *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) methanolic extract relative to that of the positive control was also estimated.

Leaf number

The leaf numbers of the selected weed were counted periodically after sowing, 14th day after sowing, and every 7th day thereafter until the 42nd day. The percentage inhibition of leaf number (LN) with the different concentrations of *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolic extract relative to that of the positive control was also estimated.

Leaf area (cm²)

The leaf area was measured 42 days after sowing using millimeter graph paper method. Leaf area was calculated using the following formula:

leaf area (cm²) =
$$\frac{\pi}{v}$$

where *x* is the weight (g) of the area covered by the leaf outline on a millimeter graph paper, and *y* is the weight of onecm² of the same graph paper. In this experiment, however, an online application (Image J) was used.

Image is a public domain Java image processing and analysis program. It runs, either as an online applet or as a downloadable application, on any computer with a Java 1.5 or later virtual machine It can display, edit, analyze, process, save and print 8-bit, 16-bit and 32-bit images. It can read many image formats including TIFF, GIF, JPEG, BMP, DICOM, FITS and 'raw'. It supports 'stacks' (and hyperstacks), a series of images that share a single window. It is multithreaded, so time-consuming operations such as image file reading can be performed in parallel with other operations. It can calculate area and pixel value statistics of user-defined selections. It can measure distances and angles. It can create density histograms and line profile plots. It supports standard image processing functions such as contrast manipulation, sharpening, smoothing, edge detection and median filtering. The percentage inhibition of leaf area (LA) with different concentrations of Oryza sativa L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) methanolic extract relative to that of the positive control was also estimated.

Root length (mm)

The root length was measured 42 days after sowing using Vernier caliper (mm). The percentage inhibition of root length (RL) with different concentrations of

Oryza sativa L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) methanolic extract relative to that of the positive control was estimated.

Root number

The root number was measured 42 days after sowing by counting the number of roots. The percentage inhibition of root mass (RM) with different concentrations of *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) methanolic extract relative to that of the positive control was estimated.

Shoot number

The shoot number was counted 42 days after sowing. The percentage inhibition of shoot mass (SM) with different concentrations of *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) methanolic extract relative to that of the positive control was estimated.

Dry weight (entire plant)

The dry weights of the sample *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) from each treatment were determined using a digital balance which were recorded after the weed samples were heated in an electric oven at 70°C. The percentage inhibition of dry weight (DW) with different concentrations of *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolic extract relative to that of the positive control was also recorded. The percentage of inhibition in seed germination, plant height, leaf number, leaf area, root length, root mass/number, shoot mass, and dry weight of the entire weed was calculated using the following the formula used by Crispino (2016):

 $Percentage \ of \ inhibition = \frac{treatment - positive \ control}{negative/positive \ control} \times 100$

Determination of average percent inhibition of weeds

The overall effects of *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolic extract to the growth of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weed were determined using the average percent inhibition formula as shown below (Biswas *et al.*, 2010):

 $Average Percent Inhibition(API) = \frac{G(\%) + PH(\%) + LN(\%) + LA(\%) + RL(\%) + RM(\%) + SM(\%) + DW(\%)}{8}$

where *G* is the percentage inhibition in seed germination, *PH* is the percentage inhibition in plant height, *LN* is the percentage inhibition in leaf number, *LA* is the percentage inhibition in leaf area, *RL* is the percentage inhibition in root length, *RN* is the percentage inhibition in root number, *SN* is the percentage inhibition shoot number, and *DW* percentage inhibition in dry weight.

The following data were collected for analysis and as measurement parameters on the growth of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weed as influenced by the herbicidal activity of *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolic extract.

- Number of germinated seeds 14 days after sowing.
- Height of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weed measured periodically after sowing (14th day and 7th day thereafter until the 42nd day).
- Leaf number of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weed counted periodically after sowing.
- Leaf area (cm) of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weed counted periodically after sowing.
- Root length (mm) of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weed measured 42 days after sowing.
- Root number of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weed measured 42 days after sowing.
- Shoot number of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weed measured 42 days after sowing.
- Dry weight of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weed weigh 42 days after sowing.
- Average percent inhibition.

Analysis of Data

The data that were gathered were tabulated and analyzed using SPSS v. 27. Frequency, formulas for leaf area, and means were used in the different measurement parameters. Additionally, the One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to determine if the differences among the various treatments are statistically significant assuming all assumptions pertaining to the said statistics are satisfied,

otherwise, Kruskal-Wallis test was employed. Moreover, real differences between the treatments were further analyzed and adjudged by Tukey HSD statistic or Games-Howell or the corresponding post hoc test for Kruskal-Wallis test in case of statistically significant results. On the other hand, Two-way Mixed-Model ANOVA was utilized for differences in a continuous level variable by group and time. Results of the tests of assumptions were reflected in this study as part of the appendices.

Results and discussion

Herbicidal activity of *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolic extract on the growth of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weed.

Seedling germination

The highest seedling emergence was observed in the negative control (T_1) . Further, the lowest seedling emergence was found in the positive control (T_2) .

From the treatments with different concentrations of *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolic extract, T_5 indicated the lowest germination rate of 12.08 percent, which allowed only 29 seeds to germinate from the 240 seeds sown among the replicates.

The percent inhibition in seedling emergence, among the three treatments with rice straw methanolic extract, was 582%, 452%, and 53% for T_3 , T_4 , and T_5 , respectively. Seedling emergence of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. was less at 3.5 g due to higher concentrations of the methanolic extract.

Plant height

Table 2 presents the mean height incm of the *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) for five consecutive weeks. T_1 indicated the highest plant height for all the five measurements conducted. The lowest plant height is observed in the positive control (T_2).

Table 2. Herbicidal activity of *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolic extract on the height of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weed.

Treatment	14 th	¹ day	21 st	day	28^{th}	day	35^{th}	day	42 nd	day
Treatment	ht	PI	ht	PI	ht	PI	ht	PI	ht	PI
T ₁	9.23	330%	11.73	447%	14.21	563%	16.85	686%	19.50	784%
T_2	2.15	0%	2.12	0%	2.12	0%	2.12	0%	2.21	0%
T_3	7.28	239%	9.49	343%	11.43	433%	13.18	515%	15.03	582%
T_4	5.40	152%	6.64	211%	8.33	289%	10.30	381%	12.18	452%
T_5	3.36	57%	3.36	58%	3.36	58%	3.38	59%	3.38	53%

Legend: ht – Height, PI - percent inhibition against the positive control (T₂)

Among the three treatments of rice straw methanolic extracts, T5 recorded the lowest plant height. T3 and T4 exhibited a decrease in plant height compared to the negative control. The decrease in plant height as the concentration of rice straw methanolic extract increases can be a confirmation of the allelopathic potential of *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) in controlling *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass).

Leaf Number

The leaf numbers were counted periodically after sowing, the 14th day after sowing, and every 7th day thereafter until the 42nd day. Table 3 presents the summary of the results along this growth indicator. The number of leaves of the *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weed in the negative control (T_i) constantly increases by 1 as the number of weeks progresses.

Table 3. Herbicidal activity of *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolic extract on the leaf number of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weed.

Treatment	14 ^{tl}	^h day	21 st	^t day	28 ^{tl}	^h day	35^{tl}	¹ day	42 nd	¹ day
Treatment	No.	PI	No.	PI	No.	PI	No.	PI	No.	PI
T_1	2	100%	3	200%	4	300%	5	400%	6	500%
T ₂	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%
T ₃	2	100%	3	200%	4	300%	5	400%	6	500%
T ₄	1	0%	1	0%	2	100%	2	100%	2	100%
T ₅	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%

Legend: No. - number of leaves, PI - percent inhibition against the positive control (T2)

The same observation was noted for T_3 which was applied with 2.5 g of rice straw methanolic extract. Among the treatments with rice allelochemicals, the leaf numbers of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) were observed at a minimum in T_5 due to the higher allelopathic effect of rice methanolic extract. The number of leaves of the *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) remained constant in both the positive control and T_5 .

Leaf Area

The leaf area was calculated on the 42^{nd} day of the experiment and Image J, which is an application in Biology, was utilized for this purpose. Table 4 presents the average leaf area of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) and the percent inhibition of each treatment with respect to the positive control (T₂).

Table 4. Herbicidal activity of *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolic extract on the leaf area of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weed.

Treatment	Leaf Area (cm²)	Percent Inhibition against T ₂
T_1	158.15	27646%
T_2	0.57	0%
T ₃	147.01	25692%
T ₄	90.81	15831%
T_5	3.74	556%

The highest leaf area was observed in the negative control and the lowest leaf area was found in the positive control (T_1). Among the treatments with rice allelochemicals, the percent reduction in leaf area was lowest (556%) in the T_5 . The leaf area of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) was least at T_5 due to the higher herbicidal activity of rice straw methanolic extract.

Root Length

The herbicidal activity of rice straw methanolic extract on the root length of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) is summarized in table 5. The positive control exhibited the shortest root length. From the three treatments applied with rice straw methanolic extract, T_5 indicated the highest level of herbicidal activity as it produced the shortest roots of the weed. The table above posits that as the concentration of *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR

(rice) straw methanolic extract progressively increases, its herbicidal effect on the root length of the *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. also increases, hence impeding the growth of the roots of the weeds.

Table 5. Herbicidal Activity of *Oryza sativa* L.var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolicextract on the root length of *Echinochloa crus-galli*L. (barnyard grass) weed.

Treatment	Mean Root Length (mm)	Percent Inhibition against T ₂
T_1	53.31	499%
T_2	8.90	0%
T ₃	45.99	417%
T ₄	46.29	420%
T ₅	11.14	25%

Root Number

The herbicidal activity of *Oryza sativa* L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolic extract on the root length of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) and the percentage inhibition with respect to the positive control are presented in table 6. Both the positive control and T_5 recorded the lowest average counts of roots. T_5 has 0% percentage inhibition which means that its herbicidal activity on the number of roots is comparable to that of Butachlor.

Table 6. Herbicidal Activity of *Oryza sativa* L.var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolicextract on the root number of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weed.

Treatment	Root Number	Percent Inhibition against T ₂
T_1	12	300%
T_2	3	0%
$\frac{T_3}{T_4}$	11	267%
T_4	8	167%
T_5	3	0%

As previously stated, a higher concentration of *Oryza* sativa L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolic extract results in a higher level of herbicidal activity, specifically in the emergence of secondary roots.

Shoot Number

The shoot of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) refers to the part which has a stem, leaves, and flowers. As a monocot, there are only one shoot present in all *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard

grass) samples, regardless of the treatments. Further, the study did not report the percentage inhibition against the positive control since the result is indeterminate for all the treatments considering the formula utilized in this study.

Dry Weight

The dry weights of the sample *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) from each treatment were determined using a digital balance which was recorded after the weed samples were heated in an electric oven at 70°C. In the context of this study, the percentage inhibition was not calculated, taking into consideration that the dry weight obtained for the positive control (T_2) was zero. Table 7 indicates the dry Weight of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass). The negative control exhibited the highest dry weight, while the positive control and T5 had the lowest dry weight. The positive control showed the same result observed with T5.

As the concentration of rice straw methanolic extract increases, the dry weight of the weed decreases.

Table 7. Herbicidal Activity of *Oryza sativa* L. var.NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolic extract onthe dry Weight of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyardgrass) weed.

Treatment	Dry weight (g)
T 1	12
T_2	3
T_3	11
T_4	8
T5	3

Average Percent Inhibition (API)

Table 8 presents the summary of the rate of reduction of the weed parameters as compared to the positive control treatment. Among the treatments with rice straw methanolic extract, T_2 indicated the lowest level of herbicidal activity. T_5 exhibited the highest level of herbicidal activity and indicated an API equal to 67% which suggests that the growth of *Echinochloa crusgalli* L. (barnyard grass) was mostly inhabited by the higher concentration of rice straw methanolic extract. **Table 8.** Average Percent Inhibition (API) of Oryzasativa L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolicextract on the growth of Echinochloa crus-galli L.(barnyard grass) weed.

Average Percent Inhibition
2520%
0%
2307%
1400%
67%

Test of significant differences between the treatments and Echinochloa crus-galli L. (barnyard grass) weed growth parameters

Table 9 posits the differences in the means on all the Echinochloa crus-galli L. (barnyard grass) weed growth parameters that were measured and were found statistically significant, as indicated in the pvalues less than 0.01. Thus, the positive and negative controls and the different concentrations of Oryza sativa L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolic extract have different herbicidal effects on the growth of the said weed along the height, leaf number, leaf area, root length, root number, and dry weight. As to the height of Echinochloa crus-galli L. (barnyard grass), the post hoc analysis revealed statistically no significant difference between the positive control, T₂ (0.142g Butachlor - Machete(3.5) (15.88) and T₅ (3.5) g Oryza sativa L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) methanolic extract/100g soil) (33.47) (p=1.00). However, the rest of the pairs indicated a statistically significant difference. As to leaf number, there was no significant difference between T_2 and T_5 (p=1.00). There is also no statistically significant difference between the effects of T₃ and T₁ which is the negative treatment applied with distilled water (152.92) (p=1.00). All other pairwise comparisons have statistically significant differences. Post hoc test for leaf area reveals that there was no significant difference between T_2 and T_5 (p=1.00). All other group combinations reveal statistically significant differences. As to root length, the Games-Howell test reveals that there was a statistically significant difference in all pairwise comparisons except between T_3 and T_4 where the difference is not significant statistically. Pairwise comparison was also initiated to determine which among the pairs of treatments show

significant differences with regard to root number. Post hoc test reveals that there was no statistically significant difference between T2 and T5. Also, no statistically significant difference between T₃ and T₁ (distilled water) (181.97) (p=0.080) was observed. All other pairs of treatments were statistically significant. Along with dry weight, the results of the post hoc test reveal that there was no significant difference between T₂ and T₅. However, there was a statistically significant difference in any other group combination. Generally, there were no statistically significant differences in the effects of the herbicidal activities of T_2 and T_5 on the growth of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) along the height, leaf number, leaf area, root number, and dry weight. Overall, while some rice straw methanolic extract treatments do differ significantly with the effects of the positive control using commercially available and synthetic herbicides, it is worth noting that the use of Oryza sativa L. var. NSIC RC 218 SR (rice) straw methanolic extract at 3.0g per 100g of soil resulted to herbicidal activities and rates of reduction in height, leaf number, leaf area, root number, and dry weight that are identical to that of the synthetic herbicide.

Table 9. Results of the test of differences in theeffects of the treatments on the growth of*Echinochloa crus-galli* L. (barnyard grass) weed.

Growth	Computed	p-	
Parameters	ANOVA (df)	Kruskal-Wallis (df)	value
Height		168.367 (4)	< 0.01
Leaf number		134.01 (4)	< 0.01
Leaf area		208.972 (4)	< 0.01
Root length	11443.38 (4, 78.836)		< 0.01
Root number		192.330 (4)	< 0.01
Dry weight		210.090 (4)	< 0.01
x 1 101			

*significant at 0.05, ** significant at 0.01

Acknowledgements

The researcher would like to whole-heartedly thank the administration of Don Mariano Marcos Memorial State University, his colleagues, and his family for their contribution to making this research worthwhile and meaningful.

References

Abbas T, Nadeem MA, Tanveer A, Farooq N, Zohaib A. 2016. Mulching with allelopathic crops to manage herbicide resistant littleseed canarygrass. Herbologia 16(1). **Abouziena HF, Radwan SM.** 2015. Allelopathic effects of sawdust, rice straw, bur-clover weed and cogongrass on weed control and development of onion. Int. J. ChemTech Res. 7, 337-345.

Ahn JK, Chung IM. 2010. Allelopathic potential of rice hulls on germination and seedling growth of barnyard grass. Agron J. **92(6)**, 1162-1167.

Ahn JK, Hahn SJ, Kim JT, Khanh TD, Chung IM. 2005. Evaluation of allelopathic potential among rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) germplasm for control of *Echinochloa crus-galli* P. Beauv in the field. Crop Protection **24(5)**, 413-419.

Alam MA, Hakim MA, Juraimi AS, Rafii MY, Hasan MM, Aslani F. 2018. Potential allelopathic effects of rice plant aqueous extracts on germination and seedling growth of some rice field common weeds. Italian Journal of Agronomy 134-140.

Alsaadawi IS. 2001. Allelopathic influence of decomposing wheat residues in agro-ecosystems. J Crop Prod, **4(2)**, 185-196.

Altop EK, Mennan H. 2011. Genetic and morphologic diversity of *Echinochloa crus-galli* populations from different origins. Phytoparasitica **39(1)**, 93-102.

Amb MK, Ahluwalia AS. 2016. Allelopathy: Potential role to achieve new milestones in rice cultivation. Rice Science **23(4)**, 165-183.

Ampong-Nyarko K, De Datta SK. 2010. A handbook for weed control in rice. International Rice Research Institute.

Asaduzzaman M, Islam MM, Sultana S. 2011. Allelopathy and alleclochemicals in rice weed management. Bangl Res. Pub. J. **4(1)**, 1-14.

Bais HP, Park SW, Weir TL, Callaway RM, Vivanco JM. 2004. How plants communicate using the underground information superhighway. Trends in plant science **9(1)**, 26-32.

Bajwa AA, Jabran K, Shahid M, Ali HH, Chauhan BS. 2015. Eco-biology and management of *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. Crop Protection **75**, 151-162.

Band-Schmidt CJ, Zumaya-Higuera MG, López-Cortés DJ, Leyva-Valencia I, Quijano-Scheggia SI, Hernández-Guerrero CJ. 2020. Allelopathic effects of *Margalefidinium polykriko*ides and *Gymnodinium impudicum* in the growth of *Gymnodinium catenatum*. Harmful algae **96**, 101846.

Bashir K, Hanada K, Shimizu M, Seki M,Nakanishi H, Nishizawa NK.2014.Transcriptomic analysis of rice in response to irondeficiency and excess. Rice 7(1), 1-15.

Basile A, Sorbo S, Giordano S, Ricciardi L, Ferrara S, Montesano D, Ferrara L. 2000. Antibacterial and allelopathic activity of extract from Castanea sativa leaves. Fitoterapia **71**, S110-S116.

Belz RG. 2007. Allelopathy in crop/weed interactions an update. Pest Management Science: formerly Pesticide Science **63(4)**, 308-326.

Bertin C, Weston LA, Kaur H. 2007. Allelopathic crop development: Molecular and traditional plant breeding approaches. Plant Breeding Reviews **30**, 231-258.

Bhadoria P. 2018. Allelopathy: a natural way towards weed management. Am. J. Exp. Agric 1, 7-20.

Bi HH, Zeng RS, Su LM, An M, Luo SM. 2007. Rice allelopathy induced by methyl jasmonate and methyl salicylate. Journal of Chemical Ecology **33(5)**, 1089-1103.

Biswas O, Paul KP, Ghosh S, Karim SR. 2010. Allelopathic effects of parthenium weed debris in soil on the emergence and development of rice. J Agrofor Environ **4**, 193-196.

Blum U, Rebbeck J. 1989. Inhibition and recovery of cucumber roots given multiple treatments of ferulic acid in nutrient culture. J Chem Ecol **15(3)**, 917-928. Bouillant ML, Jacoud C, Zanella I, Favre-Bonvin J, Bally R. 1994. Identification of 5-(12heptadecenyl)-resorcinol in rice root exudates. Phytochemistry **35(3)**, 768-771.

Burgos, NR, Talbert RE, Mattice JD. 1999. Cultivar and age differences in the production of allelochemicals by Secale cereale. Weed Science **47(5)**, 481-485.

Cai, H, Al-Fayez M, Tunstall RG, Platton S, Greaves P, Steward WP, Gescher AJ. 2005. The rice bran constituent tricin potently inhibits cyclooxygenase enzymes and interferes with intestinal carcinogenesis in ApcMin mice. Molecular Cancer Therapeutics **4(9)**, 1287-1292.

Chauhan BS, Abugho SB. 2012. Phenotypic plasticity of spiny amaranth (*Amaranthus spinosus*) and longfruited primrose-willow (*Ludwigia octovalvis*) in response to rice interference. Weed Science **60(3)**, 411-415.

Chauhan BS, Johnson DE. 2011. Ecological studies on *Echinochloa crus-galli* and the implications for weed management in direct-seeded rice. Crop Protection **30(11)**, 1385-1391.

Cheema Z, Farooq M, Khaliq A. 2013. Application of allelopathy in crop production: Success story from Pakistan, in Allelopathy, eds Z. A. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag Press 113-143.

Cheema ZA, Khaliq A, Saeed S. 2014. Weed control in maize (*Zea mays* L.) through sorghum allelopathy. J. Sustain. Agric **23**, 73-86..

Cheema ZA, Khaliq A. 2010. Use of sorghum allelopathic properties to control weeds in irrigated wheat in a semi-arid region of Punjab. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ **79**, 105-112.

Cheng F, Cheng Z. 2015. Research Progress on the use of plant allelopathy in agriculture and the physiological and ecological mechanisms of allelopathy. Front Plant Sci. **6**, 1020. http://europepmc.org/articles/PMC46471

Chin, D. V. 2001. Biology and management of barnyardgrass, red sprangletop and weedy rice. Weed Biology and Management **1(1)**, 37-41.

Chou CH, Chang FJ, Oka HI. 1991. Allelopathic potentials of a wild rice, Oryza perennis. Taiwania **36(3)**, 201-210.

Chou CH, Chiang YC, Cheng HH, Farrow FD. 1982. Transformation of 15N-enriched fertilizer nitrogen during rice straw decomposition in submerged soil. Botanical Bulletin Academia Sinica **23**, 119-133.

Chou CH, Lin HJ. 1976. Autoinhibition mechanism of *Oryza sativa*: 1. Phytotoxic effect of decomposing rice residue in soil. J Chem Ecol **2(3)**, 253-367.

Chou CH, Lin TJ, Kao CI. 1977. Phytotoxins produced during decomposition of rice stubbles in paddy soil and their effect on leachable nitrogen. Bot Bull Acad Sin **18**, 45-60.

Chou CH. 1990. The role of allelopathy in agroecosystems: Studies from tropical Taiwan. In: Gliessman S R. Agro-ecology: Researching the Ecological Basis for Sustainable Agriculture. New York, USA: Springer-Verlag: 104-121.

Chung IM, Ahn JK, Yun SJ. 2001. Assessment of allelopathic potential of barnyard grass (*Echinochloa crus-galli*) on rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars. Crop protection **20(10)**, 921-928.

Chung IM, Hahn SJ, Ahmad A. 2005. Confirmation of potential herbicidal agents in hulls of rice, *Oryza sativa*. Journal of Chemical Ecology **31(6)**, 1339-1352.

Chung IM, Hahn SJ, Ahmad A. 2013. Confirmation of potential herbicidal agents in hulls of rice, *Oryza sativa*. J Chem Ecol **31(6)**, 1339-1352.

Chung IM, Park SK, Thiruvengadam M, Lee JH, Kim SH, Rajakumar G. 2018. Review of the biotechnological applications of rice allelopathy in agricultural production. Weed Biology and Management **18(2)**, 63-74. **Cohen J.** 1988. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). Psychology Press.

Dahiya S, Kumar S, Khedwal RS, Jakhar SR. 2017. Allelopathy for sustainable weed management. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry **6**, 832-837.

Dhima KV, Vasilakoglou IB, Eleftherohorinos IG, Lithourgidis AS. 2008. Allelopathic potential of winter cereals and their cover crop mulch effect on grass weed suppression and corn development. Crop. Sci **46**, 345-352. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2005-0186.

Dhungana SK, Kim ID, Adhikari B, Kim JH, Shin DH. 2019. Reduced germination and seedling vigor of weeds with root extracts of maize and soybean, and the mechanism defined as allelopathic. Journal of Crop Science and Biotechnology **22(1)**, 11-16.

Dilday RH, Lin J, Yan W. 1994. Identification of allelopathy in the USDA-ARS rice germplasm collection. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture **34(7)**, 907-910.

Dilday RH, Lin J, Yan WG. 2009. Identification of allelopathy in the Usda-Ars rice germplasm collection Aust J Exp Agric **34 (7)**, 907-910.

Dilday RH, Lin J, Yan WG. 2014. Identification of allelopathy in the Usda-Ars rice germplasm collection. Aust J Exp Agric **34(7)**, 907-910.

Dilday RH, Yan WG, Moldenhauer KA, Gravois KA. 2009. Allelopathic activity in rice for controlling major aquatic weeds. https://link.springer.com/ article/10.1023/A:1013731828945

Dongre PN, Yadav B. 2005. Inhibitory allelopathic effects of weed leaf leachates on seed germination of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Crop Research-Hisar-**29(3)**, 458.

Dongre PN, Yadav BP. 2007. Allelopathic inhibition of growth and productivity of rice by some noxious weeds in pot culture. Research on Crops **8(2)**, 301-304.

Dunn OJ. 1964. Multiple comparisons using rank sums. Technometrics **6**, 241-252.

Ebana K, Yan W, Dilday RH, Namai H, Okuno K. 2001. Variation in the allelopathic effect of rice with water soluble extracts. Agronomy Journal **93(1)**, 12-16.

Errera RM, Roelke DL, Kiesling RL, Brooks BW, Grover JP, Schwierzke L, Pinckney JL. 2008. Effect of imbalanced nutrients and immigration on *Prymnesium parvum* community dominance and toxicity: results from in-lake microcosm experiments. Aquatic Microbial Ecology **52(1)**, 33-44.

Farooq M, Hussain T, Wakeel A, Cheema ZA. 2014. Differential response of maize and mungbean to tobacco allelopathy. Exp. Agric **50**, 611-624. DOI: 10.1017/S0014479714000106.

Gealy D, Moldenhauer K, Duke S. 2013. Root distribution and potential interactions between allelopathic rice, sprangletop (*Leptochloa* spp.), and barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa crus-galli*) based on 13 C isotope discrimination analysis. Journal of chemical ecology **39(2)**, 186-203.

Gealy DR, Yan W. 2012. Weed suppression potential of 'Rondo'and other indica rice germplasm lines. Weed Technology **26(3)**, 517-524.

Gianessi LP. 2013. The increasing importance of herbicides in worldwide crop production. Pest Management Science **69(10)**, 1099-1105.

Guo L, Qiu J, Ye C, Jin G, Mao L, Zhang H, Fan L. 2017. *Echinochloa crus-galli* genome analysis provides insight into its adaptation and invasiveness as a weed. Nature communications **8(1)**, 1-10.

Haider G, Cheema ZA, Farooq M, Wahid A. 2015. Performance and nitrogen use of wheat cultivars in response to application of allelopathic crop residues and 3, 4-dimethylpyrazole phosphate. Int. J. Agric. Biol. **17**, 261-270. Han X, Cheng ZH, Meng HW, Yang XL, Ahmad I. 2013. Allelopathic effect of decomposed garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) stalk on lettuce (*L. sativa* Var. Crispa L.). Pak. J. Bot **45**, 225-233.

He H, Wang H, Fang C, Wu H, Guo X, Liu C, Lin W. 2012. Barnyard grass stress up regulates the biosynthesis of phenolic compounds in allelopathic rice. Journal of Plant Physiology **169(17)**, 1747-1753.

Hiroshi N. 2008. Effects of husk extracts of wild rice spp. on seedling growth of lettuce, barnyard grass and Eclipta thermalis. Allelop J, **22(2)**, 391-396.

Inderjit RDS, Foy CL. 2004. Multifaceted approach to determine rice straw phytotoxicity. Canadian Journal of Botany **82(2)**, 168-176.

Inderjit RDS, Foy CL. 2014. Multifaceted approach to determine rice straw phytotoxicity. Can J Bot **82**, 168-176.

Inderjit WJ. 2001. Plant allelochemical interference or soil chemical ecology? Persp Plant Ecol Evol Syst **4**, 3-12

Iqbal J, Cheema ZA, An M. 2007. Intercropping of field crops in cotton for the management of purple nutsedge (*Cyperus rotundus* L.). Plant Soil **300**, 163-171. DOI: 10.1007/s11104-007-9400-8.

Jabran K, Farooq M. 2013. Implications of potential allelopathic crops in agricultural systems. In: Cheema Z A, Farooq M, Wahid A. Allelopathy Current Trends and Future Applications. New York: Springer-Verlag: 349-385.

Jabran K, Mahajan G, Sardana V, Chauhan BS. 2015. Allelopathy for weed control in agricultural systems. Crop protection **72**, 57-65.

Jabran K, Mahajan G, Sardana V, Chauhan BS. 2015. Allelopathy for weed control in agricultural systems. Crop. Prot **72**, 57-65. DOI: 10.1016/ j.cropro.2015.03.004.

Possible

2005.

Jabran K, Mahajan G, Sardana V, Chauhan BS. 2015. Allelopathy for weed control in agricultural systems. Crop. Prot **72**, 57-65. DOI: 10.1016/j. cropro.2015.03.004.

Jabran K. 2017. Rice allelopathy for weed control. In Manipulation of Allelopathic Crops for Weed Control (pp. 35-47). Springer, Cham.

Jung WS, Kim KH, Ahn JK, Hahn SJ, Chung IM. 2004. Allelopathic potential of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) residues against *Echinochloa crus-galli*. Crop protection **23(3)**, 211-218.

Jung WS, Kim KH, Ahn JK, Hahn SJ, Chung IM. 2011. Allelopathic potential of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) residues against *Echinochloa crus-galli*. Crop Prot **23(3)**, 211-218.

Kabir AKMS, Karim SMR, Begum M, Juraimi AS. 2010. Allelopathic potential of rice varieties against spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*). Int. J. Agric. Biol **12**, 809-815.

Kadapi M, Sobardini D, Helena E, Hanindianingrum H, Noor F, Wicaksana N. 2021. Allelopathic Effect of West Java Local Black Rice Varieties on Barnyard Grass (*Echinochloa crusgalli* (L.) Beauv.) at Germination Stage. Current Applied Science and Technology 673-685.

Kaiira MG. 2019. Allelopathic Interactive Effects of Rice, Cymbopogon, Desmodium, Mucuna and Maize in Uganda (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).

Karikari SK, Bagai C, Segwagwe A. 2000. Allelopathic activity of five Botswana weed species on Bambara groundnut [*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc] and sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench]. Crop Research (Hisar) **20(3)**, 397-406.

Kato-Noguchi H, Ino T, Ota K. 2008b. Secretion of momilactone A from rice roots to the rhizosphere. J Plant Physiol **165(7)**, 691-696.

J. Agric. Biol Khang DT, Anh LH, Ha T, Tuyen PT, Quan NV,

Minh LT, Trung KH. 2016. Allelopathic activity of dehulled rice and its allelochemicals on weed germination. International Letters of Natural Sciences 58.

Kato-Noguchi H, Ino T.

Plant Physiol 162(6), 718-721.

Physiology 168(10), 1016-1020.

Indian Society of Allelopathy 59-60.

involvement of momilactone B in rice allelopathy. J

Kato-Noguchi H, Ota K, Ino T. 2008a. Release of momilactone A and B from rice plants into the rhizosphere

Kato-Noguchi H. 2011. Barnyard grass-induced rice allelopathy and momilactone B. Journal of Plant

Kayode J, Ayeni JM. 2009. Allelopathic effects of

some crop residues on the germination and growth of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Pac J Sci Technol **10**, 345-349.

Khan AH, Vaishya RD. 2012. Allelopathic effects of

different crop residues on germination and growth of

weeds. In: Tauro P, Narwal S S. Proceedings of National Symposium on Allelopathy in Agroecosystem. India:

and its bioactivities. Allelop J 22(2), 321-328.

Khanh TD, Chung IM, Xuan TD, Tawata S. 2015. The exploitation of crop allelopathy in sustainable agricultural production. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science **191**, 172-184.

Khanh TD, Chung MI, Xuan TD, Tawata S. 2005. The exploitation of crop allelopathy in sustainable agricultural production. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science **191(3)**, 172-184.

Khanh TD, Hong NH, Xuan TD, Chung IM. 2005. Paddy weed control by medicinal and leguminous plants from Southeast Asia. Crop Protection **24(5)**, 421-431.

Khanh TD, Linh LH, Linh TH, Ham LH, Xuan TD. 2013. Rapid and high-precision marker assisted backcrossing to introgress the SUB1 QTL into the Vietnamese elite rice variety. J Plant Bred Crop Sci **5(2)**, 26-33.

Khanh TD, Xuan TD, Chung IM. 2007. Rice allelopathy and the possibility for weed management. Annals of Applied Biology **151(3)**, 325-339.

Khanh TD. 2007. Role of allelochemicals for weed management in rice. Allelopathy J **19**, 85-96.

Kohli RK. 1993. Project Report: Allelopathic Impact of Sunflower on Weeds and Crops of Punjab. India: Punjab State Council for Science and Technology.

Kong CH, Chen XH, Hu F, Zhang SZ. 2011. Breeding of commercially acceptable allelopathic rice cultivars in China. Pest management science **67(9)**, 1100-1106.

Kong CH, Li HB, Hu F, Xu XH, Wang P. 2006. Allelochemicals released by rice roots and residues in soil. Plant and soil **288(1)**, 47-56.

Kong CH, Xu XH, Zhang M, Zhang SZ. 2010. Allelochemical tricin in rice hull and its aurone isomer against rice seedling rot disease. Pest management science **66(9)**, 1018-1024.

Le Thi H, Lin CH, Smeda RJ, Leigh ND, Wycoff WG, Fritschi FB. 2014. Isolation and identification of an allelopathic phenylethylamine in rice. Phytochemistry **108**, 109-121.

Li L, Fu W, He W, Liu H. 2010. Study on allelopathic effects of Rice and Wheat Soil-Like Substrate on several plants. 38th COSPAR Scientific Assembly **38**, 5.

Li ZH, Wang Q, Ruan X, Pan CD, Jiang DA. 2010. Phenolics and plant allelopathy. Molecules **15**, 8933-8952. doi: 10.3390/molecules15128933.

Lin J, Smith RJ, Dilday RH. 2009. Allelopathic activity of rice germplasm on weed. In: Proceedings in Southern Weed Science Society **45**, 99.

Lin J. 1992. Allelopathic activity of rice germplasm on weeds. In Proceedings of the Southern Weed Science Society (Arkansas, USA) Executive Committee of Congress, Arkansas, USA, 1992 (pp. 45-99).

Lotz Lap, Van der Weide RY, Horeman GH, Joosten LTA. 2010. Weed management and policies: From prevention and precision technology to certifying individual farms. In: Proceedings 2010 12th EWRS (European Weed Research Society) Symposium, Wageningen 2-3.

Ma Y, Zhang M, Li Y, Shui J, Zhou Y. 2014. Allelopathy of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) root exudates and its relations with *Orobanche cumana* Wallr. and Orobanche minor Sm. germination. Journal of Plant Interactions **9(1)**, 722-730.

Mabele AS, Ndong'a MFO. 2019. Efficacy of guava (Psidium guajava) mulch allelopathy in controlling tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) weeds. East African Journal of Agriculture and Biotechnology **1(1)**, 7-11.

Macias FA, Marin D, Oliveros-Bastidas A, Varela RM, Simonet AM, Carrera C. 2012. Allelopathy as a new strategy for sustainable ecosystems development. Biol. Sci. Space 17, 18-23.

Macias FA, Oliveros-Bastidas A, Marin D, Castellano D, Simonet AM, Molinillo JM. 2008. Degradation studies on benzoxazinoids. Soil degradation dynamics of 2,4-dihydroxy-7-methoxy-(2H)-1,4-benzoxazin-3(4H)-one (DIMBOA) and its degradation products, phytotoxic allelochemicals from gramineae. J. Agric. Food Chem **52**, 6402-6413. DOI: 10.1021/jf0488514

Mahmood A, Cheema ZA, Mushtaq MN, Farooq M. 2013. Maize-sorghum intercropping systems for purple nutsedge management. Arch. Agron Soil Sci **59**, 1279-1288.

Marambe B. 2021. An Overview of Weeds and Weed Management in Rice of South Asia. http://192.248.98.23:8080/jspui/handle/123456789

Mari M, Iori R, Leoni O, Marchi A. 1993. In vitro activity of glucosinolate-derived isothiocyanates against postharvest fruit pathogens. Annals of Applied Biology **123(1)**, 155-164.

Masum SM, Hossain MA, Akamine H, Sakagami JI, Ishii T, Gima S, Bhowmik PC. 2018. Isolation and characterization of allelopathic compounds from the indigenous rice variety 'Boterswar' and their biological activity against *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. Allelopath. J **43**, 31-42.

Mattice JD, Dilday RH, Gbur EE, Skulman BW. 2008. Barnyard grass growth inhibition with rice using high-performance liquid chromatography to identify rice accession activity. Agronomy Journal **93**, 8-11.

Mayton HS, Olivier C, Vaughn SF, Loria R. 1996. Correlation of fungicidal activity of Brassica species with allyl isothiocyanate production in macerated leaf tissue. Phytopathology **86(3)**, 267-271.

Melander B. 2012. Optimization of the adjustment of a vertical axis rotary brush weeder for intra-row weed control in row crops. Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research **68**, 39-50.

Melander B. 2014. Non-Chemical Weed Control: New Directions in. In: Encyclopedia of Plant and Crop Science (ed. RobertM Goodman), 3. Marcel Dekker, New York, USA. Available at: http://www.dekker.com (accessed on 28 March 2014).

Minh TN, Xuan TD, Khanh TD, Trung KH. 2016. Allelopathic Activity of Dehulled Rice and its Allelochemicals on Weed Germination.

Molish H. 2007. Dereinpluss a plant to the other. Allelopathy Gustav Fischer, United Iag, Jena.

Moraes PVD, Agostinetto D, Vignolo GK, Santos LS, Panozzo LE. 2009. Cover crop management and weed control in corn. Planta Daninha 27, 289-296.

Narwal SS. 2010. Weed management in rice: Wheat rotation by allelopathy. Crit Rev Plant Sci **19**, 249-266.

Nawaz A, Farooq M, Cheema SA, Cheema ZA. 2014. Role of allelopathy in weed management. In Recent advances in weed management (pp. 39-61). Springer, New York, NY. **Nirmal KJI, Amb MK, Bora A.** 2010. Chronic response of Anabaena fertilissima on growth, metabolites, and enzymatic activities by chlorophenoxy herbicide. Pest Biochem Physiol **98(2)**, 168-174.

Olofsdotter M, Jensen LB, Courtois B. 2002. Improving crop competitive ability using allelopathy—an example from rice. Plant Breeding **121(1)**, 1-9.

Olofsdotter M, Navarez D, Moody K. 2010. Allelopathy potential in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) germplasm. Annals of Applied Biology **127**, 543-560.

Olofsdotter M, Navarez D, Rebulanan M, Streibig JC. 1999. Weed-suppressing rice cultivars: Does allelopathy play a role? Weed research (Print) **39(6)**, 441-454.

Olofsdotter M, Navarez D, Resbulanan M. 2007. Weed-suppressing rice cultivars - does allelopathy play a role? Weed Research **39**, 441-68.

Olofsdotter M. 2001. Getting closer to breeding for competitive ability and the role of allelopathy—an example from rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Weed Technology **15(4)**, 798-806.

Olofsdotter M. 2001. Rice—a step toward use of allelopathy. Agronomy Journal **93(1)**, 3-8.

Pramanik MHR, Nagai M, Asao T, Matsui Y. 2000. Effects of temperature and photoperiod on phytotoxic root exudates of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) in hydroponic culture. Journal of Chemical Ecology **26(8)**, 1953-1967.

Pratap Chandran R, Deepak V, Krishna S, Fathima S, Ameena T, Raj J. 2018. Allelopathic Activity of Leaf Extracts of *Mimosa pudica* on Growth Parameters of *Brassica juncea* Seeds. Baoj Biotech **4**, 33.

Putnam AR. 1988. Allelochemicals from plants as herbicides. Weed Technol **2(4)**, 510-518.

Rao AN, Wani SP, Ahmed S, Haider AH, Marambe B. 2017. An overview of weeds and weed management in rice of South Asia. http://oar.icrisat.

Ravi V, Mohankumar CR. 2007. Cassava-based multiple cropping systems. In: Janick J. Horticultural Reviews. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons 355-500.

Reeves DW, Price AJ, Patterson MG. 2015. Evaluation of three winter cereals for weed control in conservation-tillage nontransgenic cotton. Weed Technol **19**, 731-736. doi: 10.1614/WT-04-245R1.1.

Rice EL. 1984. Allelopathy. 2nd. New York, USA: Academic Press.

Rice EL. 1995. Biological control of weeds and plant diseases: Advances in Applied Allelopathy. University of Oklahoma Press.

Rice EL. 2010. In Allelopathy, 2nd (ed.), p. 422. Academic Press.

Rimando AM, Olofsdotter M, Dayan FE, Duke SO. 2001. Searching for rice allelochemicals: an example of bioassay-guided isolation.

Sanjerehei MM, Jafari M, Mataji A, Meybodi NB, Bihamta MR. 2011. Facilitative and competitive interactions between plant species (an example from Nodushan rangelands, Iran). Flora-Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants **206(7)**, 631-637.

Sathishkumar A, Srinivasan G, Subramanian E, Rajesh P. 2020. Role of Allelopathy in Weed Management: A Review. Agricultural Reviews **41(4)**.

Seal AN, Haig T, Pratley JE. 2004. Evaluation of putative allelochemical in rice root exudates for their role in the suppression of arrowhead root growth. J Chem Ecol **30(8)**, 1663-1678.

Seal AN, Pratley JE, Haig T, An M. 2004. Identification and quantification of compounds in a series of allelopathic and non-allelopathic rice root exudates. J Chem Ecol **30(8)**, 1647-1662. **Serra Serra N, Shanmuganathan R, Becker C.** 2021. Allelopathy in rice: A story of momilactones, kin recognition, and weed management. Journal of Experimental Botany **72(11)**, 4022-4037.

Shaktawat RPS, Somvanshi SPS, Bhadoria SS, Singh HP. 2019. Assessment of Premix Broad Spectrum Herbicides for Weed Management in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Journal of Krishi Vigyan 7(2), 11-14.

Shende NV, Bagde NT. 2014. Economic consequences of pesticides use in paddy cultivation. Am Int J Res Hum Arts Soc Sci **4**, 25-33.

Shibayama H. 2010. Weeds and weed management in rice production in Japan. Weed Biology and Management 1, 53-60.

Silva RMG, Brante RT, Santos VHM, Mecina GF, Silva LP. 2014. Phytotoxicity of ethanolic extract of turnip leaves (*Raphanus sativus* L.). Biosci. J. **30**, 891-902.

Singh HP, Batish DR, Kohli RK. 2013. Allelopathic interactions and allelochemicals: New possibilities for sustainable weed management. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. **22**, 239-311. doi: 10.1080/713610858.

Singh VK, Dwivedi BS, Mishra RP, Shukla AK, Timsina J, Upadhyay PK, Panwar AS. 2019. Yields, soil health and farm profits under a rice-wheat system: Long-term effect of fertilizers and organic manures applied alone and in combination. Agronomy **9(1)**, 1.

Stauber LG, Smith RJ, Talbert RE. 1991. Density and spatial interference of barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa crus-galli*) with rice (*Oryza sativa*). Weed Science **39(2)**, 163-168.

Stewart AJ, Stewart RF. 2008. Phenols. Encyclopedia of Ecology.

Sturm DJ, Peteinatos G, Gerhards R. 2018. Contribution of allelopathic effects to the overall weed suppression by different cover crops. Weed Research **58(5)**, 331-337.

Subbarao GV, Ito O, Sahrawat KL, Berry WL, Nakahara K, Ishikawa T, Rao IM. 2006. Scope and strategies for regulation of nitrification in agricultural systems-challenges and opportunities. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences **25(4)**, 303-335.

Subbarao GV, Nakahara K, Hurtado MDP, Ono H, Moreta DE, Salcedo AF, Ito O. 2009. Evidence for biological nitrification inhibition in Brachiaria pastures. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **106(41)**, 17302-17307.

Tabaglio V, Gavazzi C, Schulz M, Marocco A. 2008. Alternative weed control using the allelopathic effect of natural benzoxazinoids from rye mulch. Agronomy for sustainable development **28(3)**, 397-401.

Takeuchi Y, Kawaguchi S, Yoneyama K. 2011. Inhibitory and promotive allelopathy in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Weed Biol Man. **1**, 147-156.

Tang J, Xu L, Chen X, Hu S. 2009. Interaction between C4 barnyard grass and C3 upland rice under elevated CO2: Impact of mycorrhizae. Acta Oecologica **35(2)**, 227-235.

Tarek AES. 2010. Rice straw as an allelopathic agent for controlling weeds. In: Seventeenth Australasian Weeds Conference, Christchurch, New Zealand. 26-30 September: 143-146.

Tesio F, Ferrero A. 2010. Allelopathy, a chance for sustainable weed management Int J Sust Dev World Ecol **17(5)** pp. 377-389.

Ulén B, Aronsson H. 2018. Nitrogen and phosphorus leaching under the potential biennial oilseed plant *Lepidium campestre* L. in a field trial. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B—Soil & Plant Science **68(6)**, 555-561.

Waller GR, Einhellig FA. 1999. Overview of allelopathy in agriculture, forestry and ecology. Biodiversity and Allelopathy. Taipai: Academia Sinica 221-245. Wang CM, Jhan YL, Yen LS, Su YH, Chang CC,
Wu YY. 2013. The allelochemicals of litchi leaf and its potential as natural herbicide in weed control.
Allelopathy J. 32, 157-173.

Weisenburger DD. 2010. Human health effects of agrichemical use. Retrieved on the 12th of March 2018 from https://doi.org/10.1016/0046-8177(93)90.

Weston LA. 1996. Utilization of allelopathy for weed management in agroecosystems. Agron J **88(6)**, 860-866.

Wezel A, Casagrande M, Celette F, Vian JF, Ferrer A, Peigne J. 2014. Agroecological practices for sustainable agriculture. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. **34**, 1-20. DOI: 10.1007/s13593-013-0180-7

Wortman SE, Drijber RA, Francis CA, Lindquist JL. 2013. Arable weeds, cover crops, and tillage drive soil microbial community composition in organic cropping systems. Appl. Soil Ecol. **72**, 232-241.

Wu H, Walker S, Rollin MJ, Tan DKY, Robinson G, Werth J. 2007. Germination, persistence, and emergence of flaxleaf fleabane (*Conyza bonariensis* [L.] Cronquist). Weed biology and Management **7(3)**, 192-199.

Yamagishi H, Morishima H, Oka HI. 1978. An experiment on the interaction between cultivated rice and barnyard grass at different planting densities. Agro-Ecosystems **4(4)**, 449-458.

Yang CM, Lee CN, Chou CH. 2002. Effects of three allelopathic phenolics on chlorophyll accumulation of rice (*Oryza sativa*) seedlings: I. Inhibition of supply-orientation. Botanical Bulletin of Academia Sinica 43.

Yildirim E, Guvenc I. 2008. Intercropping based on cauliflower: More productive, profitable and highly sustainable. Eur. J. Agron **22**, 11-18.

Zeng R. 2013. Allelopathy in Chinese ancient and modern agriculture, in Allelopathy in Sustainable Agriculture and Forestry, eds R. Zeng, A. Mallik and S. Luo (New York: Springer New York Press) 39-59.

Zhang Q, Zheng XY, Lin SX, Gu CZ, Li L, Li JY, He HB. 2019. Transcriptome analysis reveals that barnyard grass exudates increase the allelopathic potential of allelopathic and non-allelopathic rice (*Oryza sativa*) accessions. Rice **12(1)**, 1-14.

Zhang Z, Gu T, Zhao B, Yang X, Peng Q, Li Y, Bai L. 2017. Effects of common *Echinochloa* varieties on grain yield and grain quality of rice. Field Crops Research **203**, 163-172. **Zohaib A, Tabassum T, Anjum SA, Abbas T, Nazir U.** 2018. Allelopathic effect of some associated weeds of wheat on germinability and biomass production of wheat seedlings. Planta Daninha 35.