

International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research (IJAAR)

ISSN: 2223-7054 (Print) 2225-3610 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 23, No. 1, p. 28-59, 2023

REVIEW PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

Review on stored grain insect pheromones

Miguel Angel Martinez Gutierrez, Amanda Lima Cunha, Cesar Goncalves dos Santos, Joice Kessia Barbosa Dos Santos, Eliane Dos Santos, Jutahy Jorge Elias, Alex Béu Santos, Jessica Raimundo Da Rocha, Larissa Cavalcante Dos Santos, Demetrios José De Albuquerque Oliveira, Henrique Fonseca Goulart, Antônio Euzébio Goulart Santana*

Federal University of Alagoas, Natural Products Research Laboratory (LPqRN), Av. Lourival Melo Mota, S/N. Tabuleiro Dos Martins, Maceió-AL. CEP 57072-900, Cidade Universitária, Maceió-AL. Brazil

Article published on July 21, 2023

Key words: Pheromone, Stored product, Pests in storage, Pest control, Semiochemicals

Abstract

Using pheromones to control and monitor stored grain pests is a technology applied in different countries. The present review identified the primary compounds used to prevent or monitor stored grain pests, their chemical structures, functional groups and attraction mechanisms. We discuss the aspects of historical evolution, the geographic distribution of research on stored grain pests, the methodological approaches used in developing this research, the strategies used to control and monitor these pests, and the chemical synthesis of the compounds used as pheromones. We found 109 published articles that reported data on pheromones. Aggregation and sexual pheromones were the most used for control and monitoring. The surveys were distributed across six continents; most studies were conducted in North America. Laboratory studies were the most common, followed by field studies. Management using pest monitoring was the most common. Different synthetic routes to obtain pheromone constituents. This review highlighted the main aspects of using pheromones for controlling or monitoring stored grain pests.

* Corresponding Author: Antônio Euzébio Goulart 🖂 Santana aegs@ceca.ufal.br

Introduction

Agribusiness is one of the main segments of the Brazilian economy, contributing significantly to national and international trade and standing out by exporting commodities in the sector. The 2020/2021 Brazilian crop reached a production of 253.2 million tons of grains, with Brazil becoming the world leader in soybean production, estimated at 136 million tons. Brazil is also one of the largest producers of rice and corn, with an average output of 93 and 12 million tons, respectively (CONAB, 2021). The 2022 harvest is forecast at 261.6 million tons of grains. This is a 3.8% growth in production for 2020/2121, and with an increase of 3.4% in the planted area, it is estimated that it could reach 6.4%. Such voluminous production requires safe and efficient storage of these products to guarantee quality products to the consumer market. The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) recommends that grain-producing countries have more than 20% static storage capacity for the quantity produced (CONAB, 2021), indicating the importance of storing and preserving the products to minimize losses caused by deterioration and insects to ensure a better food supply to consumers. Global losses caused by insect pests in stored products are estimated at 9% in developed countries and over 20% of production in developing countries, both qualitative and quantitative. The presence of insects, fragments of dead insects and excretions that cause contamination make the grains unfit for human consumption (Pimentel, 1991; Tripathi, 2018; Weaver and Petroff, 2004), which justifies the precautions for preventing insects in stored grain. In Brazil, most problems with stored grain pests are caused by beetles (Coleoptera) and moths (Lepidoptera) (Ashworth, 1993; Lorini, 2015).

These insects are commonly controlled using chemical insecticides such as phosphine and a group of pyrethroids such as deltamethrin and bifenthrin (Fig. 1). However, the emergence of pests resistant to these compounds is a global problem (Hagstrum and Phillips, 2017; Lorini, 2015; Urrutia *et al.*, 2021). For example, phosphine, one of the main insecticides used to control stored grain pests, is recognised for having little residual effects and has shown a reduction in its efficiency due to the emergence of resistant insect populations, which may be related to the presence of a specific gene, or the selection pressure caused by the consistent application of the product. Furthermore, the continuous and sometimes excessive use of insecticides can cause harm to human health and non-target organisms and cause environmental pollution (Wakil *et al.*, 2021).



Fig. 1. Most commonly used chemical insecticides to control stored grain insect pests.

Countries such as Brazil, a large grain producer, should prioritise the search and adoption of methods capable of rationally reducing the use of conventional techniques to control pests that affect stored products (Sammani et al., 2020a). The main advances capable of combating or alleviating the problems caused by conventional pest control of stored grains are hermetic storage, use of modified/controlled atmosphere, diatomaceous earth, use of compounds of botanical origin, bioinsecticides or bioinsecticides of bacterial origin such as compounds obtained from the bacterium Saccharopolyspora spinosa, and the use of pheromones to monitor and control these pests (Mudiyanselage et al., 2020). Pheromones are compounds of natural origin used alone or in combinations that stimulate different behavioural responses in recipient individuals such as aggregation behaviours or sexual attractiveness. For example, they can be used as attractants in traps, serving as bait in pest monitoring and control (Campos and Phillips, 2013; Chambers et al., 1990). Among the main control and monitoring, techniques are mating interruption or sexual disruption, mass capture and attract-and-kill techniques (Campos and Phillips, 2013; El-Sayed et al., 2006). The advantages of using pheromones are that they have high specificity and are not harmful to non-target organisms such as natural enemies, and they do not present toxicity to humans and the environment (Campos and Phillips, 2013; Chambers et al., 1990).

In the present study, we review the current knowledge on insects that act as pests in stored grains. We also seek and determine which are the primary pests controlled and monitored through pheromones and describe the products used to manage the insect pests of grain storage.

Research questions

- 1. Which pheromones are described?
- 2. Which pests are monitored?
- 3. Which pests are controlled?
- 4. Which pheromones are attractive?
- 5. What are repellents?
- 6. Which pheromone structures have already been described?

7. What pheromones are commercially available, and how are they used?

8. What is the control form?

Materials and methods

Experimental database

A search was carried out for articles that evaluated the control or monitoring of stored grain pests through pheromones from February to April 2022, covering studies published from 1969 to 2022 using Scopus, Scielo, and PubMed, respectively Web of Science and Elsevier. The employer descriptors were "storage pests" or "stored product insects" and "aggregation pheromone" and "grain" or "grain storage" or "grain weevil" and "insect damage to stored grains" or "pest control" or "pests in storage" and "semiochemicals" or "sexual pheromone" and

"stored product". This resulted in 715 articles. The articles had to comply with the inclusion criteria established in the protocol, which was studies that reported the efficiency of pheromones in pest control that were fully available in the databases and showed how to use pheromones in monitoring/controlling, attracting or repelling stored grain pests. The exclusion criteria were works from dissertations, course conclusion work, theses, and reviews, that were not in English or did not show the form of application of pheromones in the control/monitoring of stored grain pests. In total, 128 manuscripts were selected for the systematic review. After selection, the articles were read in their entirety, and the information extracted included the year of publication, the country where the research was carried out, type of evaluation (control/monitoring), type of pheromone (including chemical class, commercial or standard name, structure or chemical name, commercial use, efficiency and if used in combination), the herbivore and parasitoid species and whether there was attraction and repellence, whether is it was a field or laboratory study, type of trap and bioassay and statistical test applied (result, standard deviation, standard error, N sample).

Results and discussion

Results and Discussion

After reading the articles, 20 compounds used to control or monitor stored grain pests were identified using pheromone baits (Table 2. Fig. 2).

Table 1. Pests of higher occurrence in stored grains in Brazil.

NT.	9	
Name	Common name	Main grains and derivatives affected
Coleoptera		
Cryptolestes ferrugineus (Stephens, 1831) (Coleoptera:		
Laemophloeidae)	Rusty Grain Beetle	Flour, Bran, various kinds of cereal
Lasioderma serricorne (F., 1792) (Coleoptera:		
Anobiidae)	Cigarette beetle	Tobacco, Soy, Tobacco, Maize (corn)
Oruzaenhilus surinamensis (I 1758) (Coleontera:		
Silvanidaa)	Grain beetle	Maize, all occurrence cultures
Dhyzonantha dominiaa (E. 1700) (Coloontoro)		Dies Parlow Southeans Maiza Wheat
Rhyzopertha aominica (F., 1/92) (Coleoptera:	Beetle	Nice, barley, Soybeans, Maize, Wheat,
Bostrichidae)		Sorgnum
Sitophilus zeamais Motschulsky, 1855 (Coleoptera:	Sawtoothed grain	Rice Barley Maize Wheat Sorghum
Curculionidae)	beetle	Rice, Burley, Maile, Wheat, Borgham
Tribolium castaneum (Herbst, 1797) (Coleoptera:	Red flour beetle	Pige Oats Souhean Meel Maize Wheat
Tenebrionidae)		Rice, Oats, Soybean Meai, Maize, Wheat
Lepidoptera		
Ephestia kuehniella Zeller, 1879 (Lepidoptera:	Mediterranean flour	Maize, Rice, Wheat Flour, Bran,
Pyralidae)	moth	Cornmeal
Plodia interpunctella (Hübner, 1813) (Lepidoptera:		Rice, Maize, Wheat, Beans, Tobacco,
Pyralidae)	Indianmeal moth	Sovheans
Sitetraga agregialla (Oliviar 1790) (Lopidoptora)	Angoumois grain	50,0000
Coloshiidoo)	moth	Rice, Barley, Rice, Maize, Wheat
Gelecinidae)	IIIOUI	

Name / Chemical structure	Commercial name	Organic function	References			
Aggregation pheromone 1-Nonanol; (Z)-3-noneol; (Z)- 2-octenol; (s)-(+)-1-octen-3- ol: (B)-(-)-1-octen-3-ol	6 4	Alcohol	Pierce <i>et al.</i> (1989); Pierce, <i>et al.</i> (1991b); Chambers <i>et al.</i> (1990)			
(4 <i>R</i> ,8 <i>R</i>) dimethyldecanal	DMD	Aldehyde	Obeng-Ofori and Coaker.,(1990a); Barak and Burkholder (1985); Lindgren <i>et al.</i> (1985); Bloch Qazi <i>et al.</i> (1998); Hawkin <i>et al.</i> (2011); Duehl <i>et al.</i> (2011); Obeng-Ofori and Coaker., (1990b); Loschiaho <i>et al.</i> (1986); Dissanayaka, <i>et al.</i> (2020c); Phillips and Doud,(2020); Sajeewani <i>et al.</i> (2020); Dissanayaka <i>et al.</i> (2020a); Dissanayaka <i>et al.</i> (2020b); Sammani <i>et al.</i> (2020a); Rajan <i>et al.</i> (2018); Daglish <i>et al.</i> (2017); Ridley <i>et al.</i> (2016); Jittanun and Chongrattanameteekul ,(2014); Buckman <i>et al.</i> (2013); Arthur <i>et al.</i> (2014); Campbell, (2012); Toews <i>et al.</i> (2009); Larson <i>et al.</i> (2008); Small, (2007); Campbell, (2013); McKay <i>et al.</i> (2019) Wakefield <i>et al.</i> (2004); Trematerra and Girgenti			
(4 <i>S</i> , 5 <i>R</i>)-5-Hydroxy-4- methyl-3-heptanone	Sitophynone	Ketone	(1989); Walgenbach <i>et al.</i> (1986); Phillips and Throne ,(2010); Walgenbach <i>et al.</i> (1983); Likhayo and Hodges,(2000); Carvalho <i>et al.</i> (2013); Athanassiou <i>et al.</i> (2006); Tang <i>et al.</i> (2009)			
4-(p-acetoxyphenyl)-butan- 2-one	×	Ketone	Perez <i>et al</i> . (2020)			
(Z,Z)-5,8-tetradecadien-13- olide; (Z, Z) -3,6-dodecadien- 11-olide; 3Z,6Z-dodecadien- 12-olide	6	Lactone	Pierce <i>et al.</i> (1987)			
(S)-(+)-1-methylbutyl-E-2- methyl-2-pentenoate; (2S, 3R)- ethylpropyl-2-methyl-3- hydroxypentanoate	(Dominicalure-1 [DL-1]) e (Dominicalure-2 [DL-2])	Ester	Sammani <i>et al.</i> . (2020a); Dissanayaka <i>et al.</i> (2020c); Dissanayaka <i>et al.</i> (2020a); Rajan <i>et al.</i> (2018);Daglish <i>et al.</i> (2017); Dowdy <i>et al.</i> (1993); McKay <i>et al.</i> (2017); Toews <i>et al.</i> (2006); Mahroof <i>et al.</i> (2010)			
1-ethylpropyl (2 <i>S</i> , 3 <i>R</i>)- 2- methyl-3-hydroxypentanoate	Sitophyllate	Ester	Likhayo and Hodges ,(2000); Athanassiou <i>et al.</i> (2006); Chambers <i>et al.</i> (1996)			
Isopropyl (2 <i>E</i> ,4 <i>E</i>)-2,4- heptadienoate; 1-methyl (<i>E</i>)- 2-methyl-2-heptenoate	Frunk-call e Frunc-call II	Ester	Obeng-Ofori and Coaker, (1990a); Ramírez- Martínez <i>et al.</i> (1994); Smith <i>et al.</i> (1999); Fadamiro <i>et al.</i> (1996); Fadamiro <i>et al.</i> (1998); Omondi <i>et al.</i> (2011); Cork <i>et al.</i> (1991)			
(<i>Z</i>)-3-dodecen-11-olide; 4,8- dimethyl, <i>E</i> , <i>E</i> -4,8-decadienolide	(Ferrulactona I) e (Ferrulactona II)	Lactones	Chambers <i>et al.</i> (1990); Holloway <i>et al.</i> (2018); Losey <i>et al.</i> (2019)			
(2Z-6E)-7-ethyl-33,11- dimethyl-2,6,10-dodecatriene Sex pheromone	₩-	Unsaturated hydrocarbon	Chiluwal <i>et al.</i> (2018)			
Octadecanal	84	Aldehyde	Vuts et al. (2015) Marrison et al. (2020): Castañá et al. (2020):			
(Z)-14-methyl-8-hexadecanal	Frogodermal	Aldehyde	Larson <i>et al.</i> (2020); Castane <i>et al.</i> (2020); Larson <i>et al.</i> (2008); Arthur <i>et al.</i> (2014); McKay <i>et al.</i> (2017)			
(4 <i>S</i> , 6 <i>S</i> , 7 <i>S</i>)-7-hdroxy-4,6- dimethyl-3-nonanone	Serricornine	Ketone	Fardisi and Mason, (2013); Perez <i>et al.</i> (2020); Arthur <i>et al.</i> (2014); McKay <i>et al.</i> (2017); Larson <i>et al.</i> (2008)			
(Z, E)-9,12-tetradecadienayl acetatate	ZETA OU TDA	Ester	Mulen and Dowdy, (2001); Frenaterra <i>et al.</i> (2011); Sambaraju and Phillips ,(2008); McKay <i>et al.</i> (2017); Campos <i>et al.</i> (2013); Campos <i>et al.</i> (2014); Trematerra <i>et al.</i> (2013); Small, (2007); Perez <i>et al.</i> (2020)			
(2 <i>Z</i> , 6 <i>E</i>)-7-ethyl-3,11-dimethyl- 2,6,10-dodecatrienal	Homofarnesal	Aldehyde	Chiluwal <i>et al</i> . (2017)			
<i>R</i> , <i>E</i> - methyl-2, 4,5- tetradacatrienoate; methyl (2 <i>E</i> , 4 <i>E</i> , 7 <i>Z</i>)- 2,4,7- decatrienoate	84	Ester	Vuts <i>et al</i> . (2015)			
(3 <i>E</i> , 6Z)- 3,7,11-trimethyldodeca- 1,3,6,10-tetraene	α-Farnesene	Unsaturated hydrocarbons	Vuts <i>et al.</i> (2015)			

Table 2. Classification, organic function, chemical and commercial name of the pheromones identified in the cited literature.

*Not informed in the articles.

Research on pheromone traps, both in pest control and monitoring, has been growing since the 1990s and has helped to minimize the use of synthetic insecticides. Pheromone traps can be applied to detect insect presence, population density and flight activity. The benefits of pheromones include their ability to generate an alert of the incidence of the insect and its distribution in both area and time (Zarbin et al., 2009). The most used types of pheromones in control or monitoring are aggregation and sexual pheromones. The prevalence of these two groups of semiochemicals is explained by their being the most frequent in stored grain pests and, consequently, the most studied, in addition to being efficient in pest monitoring and

control strategies (Moreira *et al.*, 2005). Among the compounds most cited in research (Fig. 3) is (R, R)-4,8-dimethyldecanal, an aggregation pheromone used to control T. *castaneum* and R. *dominica*. Other aggregation pheromones are (S)(+) -(E)-2-methyl-2-pentenoate of 1-methyl butyl and (S)(+)(E)-2,4-dimethyl-2-pentenoate of 1- methyl butyl, applied to control or monitor R. *dominica*. One of the leading sex pheromones is o (Z, E)-9,12-tetradecadienyl acetate, used to monitor and control the Indianmeal moth, P. *interpunctella*. Table 2 shows the pheromones with their chemical structure, IUPAC and commercial names and chemical function, and (Fig. 3) shows the citation percentages of pheromones in the consulted literature.



Fig. 2. Chemical structure and trade name of identified pheromones in the consulted literature for stored grains pests. *Not informed in the articles.



Fig. 3. Citation index of compounds identified as pheromones in the consulted literature.

Research with pests in stored grains - historical evolution

The database search strategy resulted in 715 articles. In the screening, 30 duplicate articles were excluded. After analysing the title, keywords and abstracts, 287 articles were excluded for failing to meet the inclusion criteria. The 398 remaining articles were read in total, which resulted in the exclusion of another 289 studies, including bibliographic reviews and research that presented only the synthesis of stored grain pest pheromones but still needed to demonstrate their application. In total, 109 manuscripts were included in the systematic review (Fig. 4)

There has been a significant increase in the number of studies published since the 1970s (Fig. 3). The number of publications demonstrates an expansion of research on stored grain pests. The first identified and isolated insect pheromone was the sex pheromone released by the moth *Bombyx mori* L., 1758 (Lepidoptera: Bombycidae) (Butenandt *et al.*, 1961). The first study on stored grain pests was published in France in 1974 by Burkholder and Boush (1974) and referred to the use of pheromones to capture insects of the genera *Attagenus, Trogoderma, Anthrenus* and *Lasioderma*.

Studies on pheromones for various stored product pests have been identified and are included in the synthesis. In the last 50 years, several pheromones have become commercially available, and they are used for monitoring and detecting stored grain pests and control (Phillips and Throne, 2010). Although pheromones of some species have been identified since the 1970s, for example, the lack of commercially available products for their control, the search for healthy foods and care for the environment mitigated the search for new pheromones for the application of pheromones already determined for some species.



Fig. 4. Data summary

After the description of the first pheromones, the number of publications remained low until the 1980s, when the search for the control of these insects increased and continued over time, always aiming to investigate the possible pheromones of the different species of insect pests of stored grains, highlighting among them S. granarius (L., 1758), S. oryzae (L., 1763), S. zeamais and T. castaneum. Scientists were always looking to control these insects through behaviour to reduce the presence of insecticides in food, whether for human or animal use. The aggregation pheromone produced by males was identified in a study on S. zeamais. This same compound was determined for two other species of the same genus, S. granarius and S. oryzae (Burkholder and Boush, 1974; Faustini, 1982; Obeng-Ofori and Coaker, 1990a).

The identified aggregation pheromones were used to monitor and detect beetles in stored products and grains (Barak and Burkholder, 1985). Since the 1990s, as shown in (Fig. 5), the number of publications has increased significantly, thus indicating that research on insect behaviour to monitor and control of numerous species has important implications for the integrated management of stored grain pests.



Fig. 5. Time trend of pheromone research of stored product pests over the last 50 years.

Geographic distribution of studies

Research studies were carried out in 27 countries (Fig. 6) distributed across six continents, with 37.42% of these studies originating in North American countries, mainly in the United States (n = 50) and Canada (n = 12). A total of 28.91% of the studies were carried out in 11 countries on the European continent, with most studies concentrated in the United Kingdom (n = 20), followed by Italy (n = 6). Already 14.05% of the studies originated from the Asian continent, highlighting studies carried out in Japan (n = 22). About 2.79% were carried out in South America, 3.91% in Oceania and 2.23% in Africa. Brazil, which has a humid tropical climate, is the largest grain exporter and the second largest producer but has minimal participation in these studies, highlighting the need to invest in this area to protect crops. Insects associated with stored agricultural products cause numerous direct and indirect losses (Hagstrum and Subramanyam, 2006). Quantitative losses in the harvested crop due to damage caused by these insects vary with the geographic area: 10% in temperate countries and 50% in the humid tropics (Wijayaratne et al., 2018). These insect losses occur in different magnitudes during post-harvest practices. cereal production is seasonal, harvested As production must be stocked to meet demand during the off-season (Dowell and Dowell, 2017). About 20-40% of post-harvest losses occur during field and post-harvest operations; among these losses, 55% occur during storage. The worldwide damage to food grains from insect infestation is estimated to be 10-40% per year (de Souza et al., 2016) (de Souza et al., 2016). In India, storage losses for cereals were around

0.75-1.21%, while losses for pulses and oilseeds were 1.18-1.67% and 0.22-1.61%, respectively (Jha *et al.*, 2015). In Asia, about 6% of total post-harvest losses are due to inadequate storage facilities, of which insects and fungi account for half (3%) of storage losses (Sharon *et al.*, 2014).



Fig. 6. Geographic distribution of research on pest management of stored grains.

In Sri Lanka, post-harvest losses of paddy rice amount to approximately 15%, including a storage loss of 4-6% (Palipane, 2000; Wijayaratne et al., 2009). Surplus production of post-harvest rice in Sri Lanka is subject to severe insect infestations, necessitating appropriate pest management methods during grain storage (Dissanayaka et al., 2018a). In Brazil, estimates of losses caused by insect attacks on the 35 leading crops vary between 2% and 43%. It is estimated that, on average, insects cause losses of 7.7% in these crops, causing significant annual damage to the Brazilian economy, despite the adoption of control measures (Oliveira et al., 2014). Massive losses while storing durable agricultural commodities in different geographic regions are a critical challenge to global food security (Kumari et al., 2020). Insect damage is not limited to weight and nutritional loss but includes monitoring and management costs. In a world scenario, some cultures are highlighted, but due to biotic factors, such as insect pests, the quality of a given product will not be the same. These insects are primarily polyphagous and can be essential pests for stored products and the culture itself. Contamination can come from the field of production. Some insect pests can attack about 69 different products worldwide (Hagstrum and

Subramanyam, 2009); generally, the exempt ones acquired resistance to most of the used insecticides (Konemann et al., 2017). This causes most affected countries to look for new methods to use in their control to promote the early detection of certain insect pest species in these products. One of the main grains of importance for the world scenario is the maize Zea mays L. (Poaceae). Over the last few decades, this cereal has become the largest agricultural crop in the world, in addition to being relevant in terms of food safety and human and animal nutrition. It is possible to use maize to produce many products, such as fuels, beverages and biodegradable polymers, including natural polymers such as chitosan, cellulose and collagen (Contini et al., 2019; Tabasum et al., 2019).

Maize and rice Oryza sativa L. (Poaceae) are important cereals with high nutritional value and potential for energy conversion (biofuels), thus promoting food security and clean energy production worldwide. Brazil is the largest corn exporter and the world's largest producer of soybeans, Glycine max (L.) Merr. (Fabaceae) and is responsible for 50% of the world market for this grain. Soybean is the most cultivated oilseed in the world, and production is expanding in Brazil (EMBRAPA, 2021). One of the leading agricultural products sold abroad is soy. Since the 1990s, its global demand has increased by 145% worldwide, and it is projected to increase by 70-80 million metric tons annually over the next ten years. Argentina, Brazil, and the United States are the main actors in global soy production; together, they accounted for 80.5% of world production between 2012 and 2018 and 86.2% of exports during that period (FAO, 2021). Massive losses that occur during the storage of agricultural products constitute a significant challenge for world food security. A common feature of the work carried out in each country is that they are related to beetles of the genus Tribolium, whose insects are considered the main pests of many food products. They have a devastating action, are voracious and attack different agricultural commodities, both raw and processed, stored after harvest and coexist in different habitats of the postharvest supply chain (Kumari et al., 2020).

Due to the growing environmental concerns caused by insecticides, many countries seek new monitoring and control methods (Wijayaratne *et al.*, 2018). Among these new methods, synthetic pheromones gained space and are now widely used in monitoring programs to determine these insects' presence and population density in stored products (Hagstrum *et al.*, 2012). Pheromone bait traps are the most used method in many countries (Campbell, 2012). There are many traps developed to monitor the presence of these insects, and one of the most used methods in the U.S. and other countries, which are always looking for the most convenient model for each situation, is the so-called dome trap (Phillips and Throne, 2010).

The dome trap is widely used for integrated pest management of meal beetles and other stored product insects in many countries' grain and food industries. This type of trap monitors both flying and non-flying insects simultaneously. The trap uses the pheromone produced by males of *T. castaneum*. The pheromone 4,8-dimethyldecanal (DMD) is released in an impregnated rubber septum and a food-based oil as attractants. The exact composition of the cooking oil sold with the trap is proprietary information, but it contains a grain-based cooking oil such as wheat germ oil. Food volatiles can be significant attractants. Many products have been evaluated as kairomones for stored-product insect pests, such as crude extracts or specific isolated compounds present in these foods (Collins et al., 2007). The so-called wheat weevil S. granarius is by far the most studied stored product insect pest in terms of its response to kairomones. This species responds to whole or crushed seeds of rice, wheat, corn and oats (Wakefield et al., 2004). Combining food odours with pheromones can increase the capture of insects belonging to Sitophilus, an effect observed in behavioural bioassays and traps (Wakefield et al., 2004). Assessment of the attraction of T. castaneum to food volatiles has been more limited, although most commercial monitoring uses a combination of pheromone and kairomone.

However, not all cooking oils have a pleasing effect on stored product insects. Specific cooking oil may have different levels of attraction (and even repellence) for other species of these insects.

Several applications of pheromones and kairomones in managing T. castaneum have been extensively researched (Dissanayaka et al., 2020a). The simultaneous use of pheromones with commercially available kairomone solution increases the percentage of the capture of T. castaneum (Doud et al., 2021; Phillips and Doud, 2020). In addition, the combined use of 4.8 DMD with Cocos nucifera L. (Arecaceae) coconut oil and Azadirachta indica A. Juss neem oil (Meliaceae) increases the capture of T. castaneum (Dissanayaka et al., 2018a); this effect was recently observed with other species, where the sex pheromone (Z,E)-9,12-tetradecadienyl acetate (ZETA) combined with neem oil increases the successful mating cycle of Cadra cautella (Walker, 1863) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae).

Thus, the effect of fats, especially neem, has been shown to improve the response of adults of some adult insects, such as T. castaneum, when added to its aggregation pheromone 4.8 DMD, but this effect still needs further observation (Bandara, Dissanayaka, Wijayaratne, and Morrison, 2020: Sammani et al., 2020b). With the evolution of insecticide resistance and concern about the risks posed by insecticides to human health and the environment (Bandara et al., 2021), new research has been developed that aims to obtain more friendly methods and more innovative ways to control insects in the sector storage in several countries such as the United States, United Kingdom, Japan, Russia and Canada such as behaviour control. Considering all insect pest genera presented in the 22 countries studied, the species addressed in the articles in more significant numbers belong to the genus Tribolium, with 48.43% of the species. The beetle T. castaneum was the most studied insect, with 34.08% of the articles, followed by R. dominica and P. interpuctella with 9.50% (Table 3).

Family	Genera	Species	Articles	Grain or derivatives
	T:. J	T	_	Peanut and Rice
Anobiidae	Lasioaerma	L. serricorne	7	Wheat flour
	Stegobium	S. paniceum (L., 1758)	2	Wheat flour
Bostrichidae	Prostephanus	P. truncatus (Horn, 1878)	10	Maize
Dostricindae	Rhuzopertha	R dominica	16	Wheat
	Rigzoper ina	R. dominicu	10	Rice
Chrysomelidae	Acanthoscelides	A. obtectus (Say, 1831)	1	Bean
	Calosobruchus	C. chinensis (L., 1758)	3	Bean
Cleridae	Necrobia	N. rufipes (De Geer, 1775)	1	Uninformed
	Sitona	S. lineatus (L., 1758)	1	Uninformed
		S. granaries	5	Wheat and Kice
				Wheat
		S. oryzae	13	Wheat flour
Curculionidae	Sitonhilus			and Veast
	onophilus	S ssp	1	Uninformed
		0.000	1	Wheat
		S. zeamais	12	Maize
				Rice
	Anthrenus	<i>A</i> . sp.	1	Uninformed
	A + +	A. brunneus Faldermann,	_	TT
	Attagenus	1835	1	Uninformed
Dormostidao				
Dermestidae		T. granarium Everts, 1898	1	Rice and Wheat
	Trogoderma			Wheat
		T. variabile Ballion, 1878	8	Rice
~ 1 1 !! 1	~.	~		Wheat flour
Gelechiidae	Sitotroga	S. cerealella	1	Maize
Histeridae	Teretrius	T. nigrescens (Lewis, 1891)	1	Maize
Leamanhlasidae	Comunitalization	C. ferrugineus	9	vyneat Sile groing
Laemophioeidae	Cryptotestes	C nucillus (Schénhorr, 1817)	0	Silo grains Uninformed
		C. pusitius (Schennerr, 1817)	2	Peanut
Mycetophagidae	Typhaea	T. stercorea (L., 1758).	2	Wheat flour
Nitidulidae	Carpophilus	C. heminterus (L., 1758)	1	Peanut
Tittuutiuuo	curpoprinae	er nempter ac (21, 2/30)	-	Peanut
	Cadra	C. cautella	4	Almond
				Rice flour and Bean
		E. cautella	1	Wheat
Pyralidae	Ephestia	E. elutella (Hübner, 1796)	1	Uninformed
		E. kuehniella	3	Wheat
	51 1			Wheat
	Plodia	P. interpunctella	15	Peanut
				Rice
	Ahasverus	A. advena (Walt, 1832)	2	Ual Mhaat flour
Silvanidae		C quadricollic (Cuárin		wheat nour
	Cathartus	M = M = M = M = M = M = M = M = M = M =	1	Uninformed
	Oruzaenhilus	Ω mercator (Eauvel 1880)	F	Oat
Tenebrionidae	Gnatocerus	G. cornutus (F., 1708)	5 8	Wheat flour
20100110111000		T. castaneum	42	Wheat flour
	Tribolium	T. confusum (Duval. 1868)	12	Wheat Wheat flour e Yeast
		T. destructor Uyttenboogaart.		TATI 1 (1
		1934	1	wheat nour

Table 3. Species observed in the analysed articles.

Methodological approaches adopted in the analysed works

Pheromones have been increasingly important in pest control in agriculture; several works describe their use in monitoring and controlling stored grain pests. However, it is possible to determine the compounds' efficiencies through laboratory tests with insects (bioassays) and field tests (analysis of insect response at the storage site). Of most of the 109 articles, 70 were carried out in the laboratory, while 58 described field studies (Table 4).

To investigate the effects of pheromones on pest control, it is necessary to carry out bioassays; of the 109 articles analysed, 45 presented the type of behavioural bioassay indicated in Table 5.

Table 4. Total number and percentage of works thatpresented laboratory and field approaches.

Study location	Total Number	Percentage (%)
Laboratory	56	51.8
Field	50	46.2

For this system, two airflow sources merge at a decision point (Y tube) to form a blade flow down the corridor to an initial area where the insects or larvae are placed at the beginning of an experiment (Stevenson *et al.*, 2017). The Yolfactometer is the most used to test the bioactivity of the insect against the semiochemicals.

Table 5. Total number and percentage of bioassays performed in the analysed studies.

Bioassay in olfactometry	Total Number	(%)	Reference
Petri dish arena	1	0.92	Bloch Qazi et al. (1998)
Arena	10	9.2	Awater-Salendo <i>et al.</i> (2020); Athanassiou <i>et al.</i> (2006); Smith <i>et al.</i> (1999); Wakefield <i>et al.</i> (2004); Phillips <i>et al.</i> (1993); Dowdy <i>et al.</i> (1993); Trematerra and Girgenti, (1989); Lindgren <i>et al.</i> (1985); Hodges <i>et al.</i> (1983); Athanassiou <i>et al.</i> (2006) ; Jittanun and Chongrattanameteekul, (2014); Walgenbach <i>et al.</i> (1986)
Y-olfactometer	15	21.2	Pierce <i>et al.</i> (1987), Edde <i>et al.</i> (2005); Pierce <i>et al.</i> (1991a); Pierce <i>et al.</i> (1989); Gerken <i>et al.</i> (2018); Athanassiou <i>et al.</i> (2006); Faustini <i>et al.</i> (1981) McKay <i>et al.</i> (2017); Vuts <i>et al.</i> (2015); Tang <i>et al.</i> (2009); Smith <i>et al.</i> (1999) Phillips <i>et al.</i> (1993);Barak and Burkholder, (1985); Losey <i>et al.</i> (2019) Dissanayaka <i>et al.</i> (2018b)
semi-field-controlled climate	5	5.5	Phillips and Doud, (2020) Dissanayaka <i>et al.</i> (2018b); Gerken <i>et al.</i> (2018); Sambaraju and (Phillips, 2008); Wakefield <i>et al.</i> (2004)
Wind tunnel tests	3	5.5	Fadamiro <i>et al.</i> (1998); Gerken <i>et al.</i> , 2018); Campos and Phillips, (2013)
Electroantennography (EAG)	1	1.8	Chambers <i>et al.</i> (1990)

Most studies carried out the olfactometer test in the laboratory, which is quite common as it allows us to ascertain the "preference" of insects for one or more options (treatments and controls). Olfactometry is a technique used to study how a given semiochemical affects the behaviour or physiology of the insect. The primary function of olfactometry is to produce qualitative or quantitative data, depending on the question, to be answered quickly, efficiently and accurately. Most of this study uses olfactometers, which are commercially available and have the same operating principle and can and should be adapted to the insect tested (Eiras and Mafra-Neto, 2001). Olfactometers have the same elements: a chamber for inserting the tested insects, an area for inserting the chemical compound (or odour source) and a reading area (the section where insects fly or walk if attracted by the chemical compound). The data are generated through bioassays classified into (1) indiscriminate bioassays that evaluate the attraction or not of the insect to the odour source and (2) discriminant

bioassays evaluating the entire behaviour of the insect to the odour source, observing behavioural changes even when the insect does not reach the olfactometer reading area (Eiras and Mafra-Neto, 2001). The development of different types of traps for sampling insects from stored products, along with progress in the identification and synthesis of pheromones and attractants for the main insect species, has been the subject of much research in the last two decades. In addition, the demand for products without insect contamination makes traps for the early detection of insects indispensable tools to keep grains and their products free from damage or loss (Barak et al., 1990). Several traps are efficient at controlling and monitoring stored grain pests; of the 109 articles analysed, 81 showed the types of traps.

Stored product pest insect traps fall into three categories: aerial insect traps, including sticky and funnel traps, surface traps to capture insects while walking using pheromones or food attractants and lures used directly on the grain mass, such as the loss of the glue-type trap (Barak et al., 1990). These categories become less distinct as traps are used for species other than those they were designed for. Two types of traps were used the most frequently, pitfall and dome traps. Pitfall traps are commonly used to estimate the relative abundance of terrestrial arthropods and have been used in many ecosystems. These traps are the most used method to capture invertebrates. Pitfall traps are popular because they are more affordable, cost-effective, and relatively simple to build and deploy. The fundamental design of the pitfall trap consists of a container buried in the ground with the top flush with the surface. The simplicity of this method attracts many wildlife researchers who want to investigate patterns of abundance and monitor arthropods.

Pest control and monitoring activities-approaches adopted in the studies

The management of stored grain pests is a set of monitoring and control techniques to maintain highquality grains. (Fig. 7) and (Fig. 8) show the number and percentage of articles that controlled and monitored insect pests of stored grains in the analysed reports. There is a much greater prevalence of articles that showed the monitoring of stored grain pests as an object of study, a total of 93 articles. On the other hand, we observed a total of 12 articles that presented preventive control as an essential step towards the success of an integrated pest management program in stored grains. To implement an effective integrated management program with reduced infestation potential, the management of the storage unit must be aware of the importance of the influence of ecological factors, such as temperature, grain moisture content, the relative humidity of the environment and the storage period involved in the system. In the same way, the choice of cultivar, the harvesting process, reception and cleaning, grain drying, aeration and refrigeration are also essential factors for the preventive control of stored grain pests. Several articles presented studies on pest control with different compound and grain types, as seen in Table 7.

Once stored, the grains must be monitored throughout their storage period. Tracking the evolution of pests that occur in the mass of stored grains is of fundamental importance, as it allows for detecting the beginning of infestations that may alter the final quality of the grain. This monitoring is based on an efficient pest sampling system, such as using fixed traps to capture insects or sieves with a mesh size of not less than 20 mm, and the measurement of variables such as grain temperature and humidity, which influence the conservation of the stored product. It allows researchers to record the beginning of the infestation and direct the decision-making by the storer to guarantee the quality of the grain (Lorini et al., 2015). Several articles that monitored several pests, with their respective pheromones in different types of grains, are listed in Table 8.

Table 6. Total number and percentage of traps us	ed.
--	-----

Тгар Туре	Total Number	(%)	References
Pitfall traps	30	29.6	Bloch Qazi <i>et al.</i> (1998); Hodges <i>et al.</i> , 1998); Fadamiro <i>et al.</i> (1998); Tigar <i>et al.</i> (1994); Fargo <i>et al.</i> (1994); Perez <i>et al.</i> (2020); Stevens <i>et al.</i> (2019); McKay <i>et al.</i> (2019); McKay <i>et al.</i> (2017); Wong-Corral <i>et al.</i> (2001); Obeng-Ofori and Coaker,(1990a); Loschiaho <i>et al.</i> (1986); Dissanayaka <i>et al.</i> (2018b); Holloway <i>et al.</i> (2018); Rajan <i>et al.</i> (2018); Daglish <i>et al.</i> (2017); Ridley <i>et al.</i> (2016); Arthur <i>et al.</i> (2014); Carvalho <i>et al.</i> (2013); Likhayo and Hodges ,(2000); Athanassiou <i>et al.</i> (2006); Edde <i>et al.</i> (2006); Edde <i>et al.</i> (2005); Wakefield <i>et al.</i> (2004); Campbell and Arbogast, (2004); Birkinshaw <i>et al.</i> (2004); Wong-Corral <i>et al.</i> (2001),(2001); Gerken <i>et al.</i> (2018); Campos <i>et al.</i> (2013); Losey <i>et al.</i> (2019)

Int. J. Agron. Agri. R.

Тгар Туре	Total Number	(%)	References
Dome	21	20.3	Dissanayaka <i>et al.</i> (2018a); Smith <i>et al.</i> (1999); Wakefield <i>et al.</i> (2004); Jittanun and Chongrattanameteekul, (2014); Vuts <i>et al.</i> (2015); Tang <i>et al.</i> (2009); Omondi <i>et al.</i> (2011); Toews <i>et al.</i> (2009); Larson <i>et al.</i> (2008); Small, (2007); Fedina <i>et al.</i> (2007); Toews <i>et al.</i> (2006); Hawkin <i>et al.</i> (2011); (Campbell and Mullen, (2004) Pierce <i>et al.</i> (1989); Pierce <i>et al.</i> (1987); Tang <i>et al.</i> (2009); Smith <i>et al.</i> (1999); Phillips <i>et al.</i> (1993)
Adhesive traps	9	8.3	Campbell <i>et al.</i> (2002); Dowdy <i>et al.</i> (1998), Chambers <i>et al.</i> (1996); Ramírez-Martínez <i>et al.</i> (1994), Boake, (1985); Campos <i>et al.</i> (2014), Campos <i>et al.</i> (2013); Trematerra <i>et al.</i> , 2013), Buckman <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Bucket traps with funnels	4	1.8	Obeng-Ofori and Coaker (1990b); Sambaraju and Phillips, (2008), Fadamiro <i>et al.</i> (1998); Faustini <i>et al.</i> (1981)
Panel trap	1	0.92	Bloch Qazi <i>et al.</i> (1998)

	D .	. 1		. 1			1
Table 7.	Pest	control	studies	on stored	grains	using	pheromones
rubic /·	1 000	001101	oradico	on stored	Siamo	aoms	pheromoneo.

Grain	Family	Plague species	Compounds	References	
	Pyralidae	Cadra cautela	(Z,E)-9,12-tetradecadienylacetate (ZETA) e (Z)-9-tetradecadien-1-yl acetate (ZTA)	Sammani <i>et al</i> (2020a)	
Rice	Bostrichidae	Rhyzopertha dominica	(<i>S</i>) - (+) - 1-metilbutil- (<i>E</i>) -2-metil- 2-pentenoato (DL-1) e (<i>S</i>) - (+) - 1- metilbutil- (<i>E</i>) -2,4-dimetil-2- pentenoato (DL-2)	Dissanayaka <i>et al.</i> (2020d)	
	Tenebrionidae	Tribolium castaneum	4,8-dimethyldecanal	Dissanayaka <i>et al.</i> (2020a)	
		Oryzaephilus surinamensis			
Əat Si	Cibronidae	Oryzaephilus mercator	1-octen-3-ol	Pierce <i>et al</i> . (1989)	
	Shvandae	Oryzaephilus surinamensis	(R, S)- (Z,Z) -3,6-dodecadien-11-olide (lactone II); (Z,Z) -3,6 dodecadienolide (lactone III) e (R,S) - (Z,Z) - 5,8- tetradecadien-13-olide (lactone IV)	White and Chambers, (1989),White, Chambers, <i>et al.</i> (1989)	
Bean	Chrysomelidae	Callosobruchus chinensis (L., 1758)	2E-: 2Z-homofarnesal	Chiluwal <i>et al</i> . (2017)	
	Tenebrionidae	Tribolium castaneum	Dimethyldecanal (DMD) e dominicalure 1 e 2	Stevenson <i>et al.</i> (2017)	
Wheat	Bostrichidae	Rhyzopertha dominica	Dominicalure-1 ((<i>S</i>)-1-Methylbutyl (<i>E</i>)-2,4-dimethyl-2-pentenoate) Dominicalure-2 ((<i>S</i>)-1-Methylbutyl (<i>E</i>)-2-methyl-2-pentenoate)	Edde <i>et al</i> . (2005)	
		Sitophilus granarius			
	Curculionidae	Sitophilus oryzae	4 <i>S</i> , 5 <i>R</i> -sitophinone	Trematerra and Girgenti, (1989)	
		Sitophilus zeamais			

Grain Type	Family	Species Prague	Compound	Reference	
Piece	Pteromalidae	Lariophagus distinguendus	Not specified	Tang <i>et al</i> . (2016)	
Rice	Curculionidae	Sitophilus granarius	Sitophyllate	Chambers <i>et al.</i> (1996)	
Oat	Silvanidae Silvanidae Laemophoeidae	Ahasverus advena Oryzaephilus surinamensis C. quadricollis Cryptolestes ferrugineus	3-Octanol (racemico) and 3-octanona	Pierce, <i>et al.</i> (1991a)	
Pea and Beans	Bostrichidae	Rhyzopertha dominica	Dominicalure-1 (<i>S</i>)-1-Methylbutyl (<i>E</i>)- 2,4-dimethyl-2-pentenoate) Dominicalure-2 (<i>S</i>)-1-Methylbutyl (<i>E</i>)-2- methyl-2-pentenoate)	Edde <i>et al</i> . (2006); Selitskaya and Shamshev(1995)	
	Curculionidae	Sitona lineatus	4-methyl-3,5-heptanedione	Smart <i>et al</i> . (1994)	
	Doctaichideo	Prostephanus	Trun-call 1 (1-Methylethyl (<i>E</i>)-2-methyl- 2-pentenoate)	Wong-Corral et al. (2001)	
	Bostrichidae	1878)	Trun-call 2 (2 1-Methylethyl (<i>E,E</i>)-2,4- dimethyl-2,4-heptadienoate)	Muatinte <i>et al.</i> (2018)	
Maize	Tenebrionidae	Tribolium confusun	n 4,8-dimethyldecanal	Gerken <i>et al.</i> (2018)	
	Curculionidae	Sitophilus oryzae	Sitophilure	Hodges, (2000)	
	Bostrichidae	Rhyzopertha dominica	Dominicalure-1 (<i>S</i>)-1-Methylbutyl (<i>E</i>)- 2,4-dimethyl-2-pentenoate) Dominicalure-2 (<i>S</i>)-1-Methylbutyl (<i>E</i>)-2- methyl-2-pentenoate)	Mahroof <i>et al</i> . (2010)	
	Mycetophagidae	Typhaea stercorea	4,8-dimethyldecanal	Phillips and Doud, (2020)	
	Silvanidae	Ahasverus advena			
	Bostrichidae	Oryzaephilus surinamensis	Not specified	Arthur <i>et al</i> . (2014)	
Wheat	Laemophloeidae	Cryptolestes ferrugineus	Cucujolide I e Cucujolide II	Losey <i>et al.</i> (2019)	
	Anobiidae	Lasioderma serricorne	Not specified	Fardisi and Mason ,(2013)	
	Dermestidae	Trogoderma variable	Not specified	Arthur <i>et al</i> . (2014)	
	Pyralidae	Cadra cautella	(ZETA) <i>Z, E</i>)-9,12-tetradecadienyl acetate (ZTA) (<i>Z</i>)-9-tetradecadien-1-yl acetate	e Trematerra <i>et al.</i> (2011)	

Table 8. Monitoring of stored grain pests with pheromones.



Fig. 7. Number of articles with monitoring and control studies of stored grain pests.



Fig. 8. Percentage of articles on monitoring and controlling stored grain pests.

Synthesis of pheromones

Aggregation pheromone of T. confusum and T. castaneum

In the search for articles on the synthesis of stored grain pest pheromones, 15 references were found related to the synthesis of tribolure, an aggregation pheromone of *T. confusum* and *T. castaneum*, composed of a mixture of stereoisomers (4*R*,8*S*), (4*R*, 8*R*), (4*S*,8*S*) and (4*S*,8*R*) of 4,8-dimethyl decanal in a 4:4:1:1 ratio (Fig. 9). Here, we separate the synthetic routes into two groups. Group 1 includes the routes in which citronellic acid, or its derivatives, was used as starting material. Group 2 encompasses all the other routes; these departed from the most varied compounds. The two groups follow a chronological order of publication. These data are presented in Table 9.

Table 9. P	heromone sy	ntheses of T. c	onfusum	and T. o	<i>castaneum</i> f	ound in t	the literatu	re from 19	81 through 2015.
------------	-------------	-----------------	---------	----------	--------------------	-----------	--------------	------------	------------------

Pest insects	Products	Key step	Overall yield	Number of steps	Synthesis
		GROUP 1			
T. confusum e T. castaneum	4,8-dimethyldecanal	Wittig	4%*	5	Suzuki, (1981)
		Alkylation and Grignard reaction	54%*	3	Zarbin <i>et al.</i> (1998)
			58%*	3	Santangelo <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . (2006)
		Alkylation	25%	6	Akasaka <i>et al.</i> (2011)
	(4 <i>R</i> ,8 <i>R</i>)-4,8- dimethyldecanal	Alkylation	(4 <i>S</i> , 8 <i>R</i>)-: 15% e (4 <i>S</i> , 8 <i>S</i>)-: 18%	Ten and 10	Mori and Takikawa, (1991)
		Alkylation and Grignard	58%*	3	Santangelo <i>et</i> al. (2006)
		Alkylation	25% (4 <i>R</i> , 8 <i>R</i>)-: 8% e	6	Akasaka <i>et al.</i> (2011) Mori <i>et al</i> .
T. castaneum	4,8-dimethyldecanal	Kolbe's electrolysis	(4 <i>R</i> , 8 <i>S</i>)-: 10%	5 and 5	(1985)
		Wittig and Alkylation	(4 <i>S</i> , 8 <i>S</i>)-:8%* e (4 <i>R</i> , 8 <i>R</i> /4 <i>R</i> ,8 <i>S</i>)-:0,9%	5 and 5	Suzuki <i>et al</i> . (1983)
		Kolbe's electrolysis, Alkylation and Grignard	(4 <i>S</i> , 8 <i>R</i>)-: 11%, (4 <i>S</i> , 8 <i>S</i>)-: 28%, (4 <i>R</i> , 8 <i>R</i>)-: 7,2% e (4 <i>R</i> , 8 <i>S</i>)-: 5,4%	7, 8, 6 and 6	Mori <i>et al.</i> (1983)
		GROUP 2			
T. castaneum	(4 <i>R</i> ,8 <i>R</i>)-4,8- dimethyldecanal	Grignard	5,9%*	9	Fuganti <i>et al.</i> (1988)
		Wittig	(4 <i>R</i> ,8 <i>R</i>)-: 15% e (4 <i>R</i> ,8 <i>S</i>)-: 19%	9 and 9	Cheskis <i>et al.</i> (1988)
		Grignard	34%	7	Odinokov <i>et al.</i> (1989)
T. confusum e T. castaneum	4,8-dimethyldecanal	Alkylation	15,5%	7	Odinokov <i>et al.</i> (1991)
		Ozonolysis	34%*	7	Ismuratov <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (2003)
		Conjugate addition	7%	11	Kameda and Nagano, (2006)
		Grignard	(4 <i>R</i> , 8 <i>R/S</i>)-: 30% e (4 <i>S</i> , 8 <i>R/S</i>)-: 67%	6 and 3	Wang <i>et al.</i> (2015)



Fig. 9. *T. confusum* and *T. castaneum* aggregation pheromone.

The synthetic routes for preparing tribolure components have generally improved over the years by increasing global yields, execution, and the number of steps. Furthermore, chain growth reactions using Grignard and Wittig are common in these routes and are present in most key steps. For scale preparation, overly complex and expensive reactions are only sometimes attractive. Routes like those of Zarbin et al. (1998) and Santangelo et al. (2006) are just three steps and of little complexity, resulting in products with 54% and 58% global yields, respectively, they are good candidates for this purpose. From an environmental point of view, emphasis should be given to the work of Wang et al. (2015) They used compost derived from industrial waste as a starting material and reached the products through routes of 3 and 5 steps with overall yields of 67% and 30%, respectively.

Synthesis of sitophilure, aggregation pheromone of S. oryzae and S. zeamais and sitophilate aggregation pheromone of S. granaries

Six publications concerning the synthesis of *Sitophilus* pheromones were found. Three of them deal with the synthesis of (4R,5S)-5-hydroxy-4-methyl-3-heptanone (sitophilure), an aggregation pheromone of *S. oryzae* and *S. zeamais* (Fig. 10). The others deal with the synthesis of 1-ethylpropyl (2S,3R)-3-hydroxy-2-methyl pentanoate, known as sitophilate, an aggregation pheromone of *S. granarius* (Fig. 10). All were published between 1988 and 2013 and Table 10 summarises the syntheses of each pheromone in chronological order of publication.

For both sitophilure and sitophilate, routes that use chemoenzymatic steps are of great importance from a scalable point of view. Kalaitzakis *et al.* (2006) used this approach and in just two steps, obtained the highest yield described so far in the synthesis of sitophilure. In the same group, Kalaitzakis *et al.* (2007) prepared the sitophilate with a high yield in just four steps. Emphasis should be given to Ravía *et al.* (2013), who, in addition to using enzymes, also used microwave irradiation under solvent-free conditions in two of their five steps and obtained a high overall yield.

Table 10. Synthesis of S. oryzae, S. zeamais and S. granarius pheromones.

Pest insects	Products	Key step	Overall yield	Number of steps	Synthesis
Sitophilure					
_		Grignard	16%	7	Mori <i>et al</i> . (1988)
<i>a a</i>		Microbiological reduction			Pilli and Riatto, (1999)
S. oryzae e S. zeamais	(4 <i>S</i> ,5 <i>R</i>)-5- hydroxy-4-methyl- 3-heptanone	(Saccharomyces cerevisiae)	18%	12	
		Stereoselective enzymatic		2	Kalaitzakis <i>et al</i> . (2006)
		reduction and alkylation	81%		
Sitophilato					
	(2 <i>S</i> ,3 <i>R</i>)- e (2 <i>S</i> ,	Beilis-Hillman condensation			Cheskis <i>et al.</i> (1990a)
S. granarius	3S)-3-hydroxy-2- methylpentanoate (2S,3R)-3- hydroxy-2- methylpentanoate		35%	2	
		Stereoselective enzymatic			
		reduction and enzymatic hydrolysis	63%	4	Kalaitzakis <i>et al</i> . (2007)
		Alkylation; stereoselective			Ravía <i>et al</i> . (2013)
		enzyme reduction and Mitsunobu inversion,	50%	5	



1-ETHYLPROPYL(2S,3R)-3-HYDROXY-2-METHYLPENTANOATE

Fig. 10. A) Aggregation pheromone from *S. oryzae* and *S. zeamais*. B) *S. granaries* aggregation pheromone.

Synthesis of methyl (R)-(-)-(E)-2,4,5-tetradecatrienoate and methyl (2E,4Z)-2,4-decadienoate, aggregation pheromone from A. obtectus

For the aggregation pheromone of *A. obtectus*, eight articles were found that approached the acquirement of the molecule (R)-(-)-(E)-2,4,5- methyl tetradecatrienoate (Fig. 11) between the years 1981 and 2018. Investigations continued over the years, which led to the discovery of another attractive compound, methyl (2*E*,4*Z*)-2,4-decadienoate (Mori, 2012) (Mori, 2012). Two articles were published referring to its synthesis, presented in Table 11.

Fable 11. Synthesis of A	obtectus pheromones	found in the literature.
---------------------------------	---------------------	--------------------------

Pest insects	Products	Key step	Overall yield	Number of steps	Synthesis	
Acanthoscelides obtectus	(<i>R</i>)-(-) -(<i>E</i>)-2,4,5- Methyl tetradecatrienoate	Claisen rearrangement of ortho ester	1%	7	Mori <i>et al.</i> (1981)	
		Horner-Wadsworth- Emmons reaction	29%	3	Neumann <i>et al.</i> (1998)	
		Horner-Wadsworth- Emmons reaction	35%	5	Satoh <i>et al</i> . (2002)	
		Asymmetric catalytic reaction with palladium	uninformed o	6	Ogasawara <i>et</i> al. (2005)	
	(±) -(E)- Methyl tetradeca-2,4,5- trienoate	Claisen rearrangement	22%	8	Mori, (2012)	
		Wittig Olefination	35%	3	Sakhautdinov <i>et al</i> . (2018)	
		Alkylation and lactonisation	10%	5	Melikyan <i>et al</i> .	
		Wittig and Schlosser reactions	uninformed o	uninformed o	(1990) Badanyan <i>et al.</i> (2001)	
	(2 <i>E</i> ,4 <i>Z</i>)- Methyl 2,4- decadienoate	Thermal rearrangement of allenic 3,4-esters	40%	2	Mori, (2012)	
		Wittig Olefination	42%	3	Shakhmaev et al. (2017)	



Fig. 11. (R)-(-)-(E)-2,4,5-methyl tetradecatrienoate trienoate.

From the analysis of the articles, we observed that the most used reactions for the synthesis of methyl (R)-(-)-(E)-2,4,5-tetradecatrienoate are Wittig, Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons and Claisen rearrangements. The progress in routes over the years regarding yields, reaction conditions, route shortening, and economy is also visible. The routes described by most authors are asymmetrical because of the complexity of the

reaction and difficulties in elaborating the reactions. The proposal of the racemic synthesis was published in 2018 in three stages with a yield of 35%, thus having a low number of steps, the economy of reagents, mild conditions and satisfactory yield is a promising route for large-scale pheromone production (Sakhautdinov *et al.*, 2018). For the methyl (2E,4Z)-2,4-decadienoate molecule, the best synthesis is the one described by Shakhmaev *et al.* (2017) which occurs in three steps with an overall yield of 42%.

Synthesis of cucujolides aggregation pheromones of C. ferrugineus and O. surinamensis

Macrolide lactones, the "cucujolides" (Fig. 12), are a class of aggregation pheromones (Hötling *et al.*, 2014).

In this review, 15 articles referred to synthesising these compounds from C. ferrugineus and O. surinamensis. For *C. ferrugineus*, there are two macrolides, (4*E*,8*E*)-4,8-dimethyl-4,8-decadien-10-oIide (ferrulactone I or cucujolide I) and (3Z,11S)-3-dodecen-11-olide (ferrolactone II or cucujolide II) (Hötling et al., 2015). For O. surinamensis there are five macrolides, (3Z,6Z)dodeca-3,6-dien-11-olide (cucujolide IV), (5Z,8Z,13R)tetradeca-5,8-dien-13-olide (cucujolide V), (3Z,6Z)dodeca-3,6-dien-12-olide (cucujolide IX), (5Z, 8Z,12R)tetradeca-5,8-dien-12-olide (cucujolide X) and (9Z,12Z,15R))-octadeca-9,12-dien-15-olide (cucujolide XI) (Hötling et al., 2014). Table 12 shows the articles that synthesized these compounds in chronological order of publication.

In general, the articles described obtaining ferrulactone starting from geraniol; lactonisation is the common step among them. The most exciting commercial synthesis is described by Cheskis et al. (1990b), a six-step synthesis with a 28% yield. For ferrulactone II, its production converges as a whole to form hydroxy acids that are subsequently lactonised. The routes presented have low yields. However, studies on obtaining the pheromone via fatty acids are promising for shortening the route yields (Odinokov, Ishmuratov, and higher Botsman, Vakhidov, Khametova, et al., 1992; Vanderwel et al., 1992). Finally, Cucujolide X and XI are synthesized with satisfactory yields of 29% and 37%, respectively.

Table 12. Synth	esis of <i>A. obtectus</i> pheromones found in the literature.
	Overall

Insect pest	Products	Key step	Overall yield	Number of steps	Synthesis
	Ferrulactone I	Intramolecular alkylation	11%	5	Oehlschlager <i>et al.</i> (1983)
		Macro lactonisation by Corey's method	10%	7	Sakai and Mori, (1986)
		Lactonization of α,ω- hydroxy acid	28%	6	Cheskis <i>et al.</i> (1990b)
		Oxidative cleavage	uniformed	2	Vanderwel <i>et al.</i> (1992)
Cryptolestes ferrugineus		Cycling with palladium	17%	5	Kukovinets <i>et al.</i> (1996)
	Ferrulactone II	Grignard reaction and lactonisation.	1.7%	17	Sakai and Mori, (1986)
		Wittig reaction and enantioselective reduction	17.5%	5	Keinan <i>et al</i> . (1991)
		Hydroxy acid cyclization	uniformed	3	Vanderwel <i>et al.</i> (1992)
		Ozonolysis and hydroxy acid cyclization	uniformed	uniformed	Odinokov <i>et al.</i> (1992)
		Wittig reaction	19%	8	Czeskis <i>et al.</i> (1993)
			20%	8	Cheskis <i>et al.</i> (1993)
		Cadiot - Chodkiewicz cross coupling	10%	10	Mavrov and Serebryakov, (1993)
		Horner-Emmons reaction	9%	5	Vasil'ev <i>et al.</i> (1996)
Oryzaephilus Surinamensis	Cucujolide X	Wittig reaction and Ring- closing alkyne metathesis (RCAM)	29%	7	Hötling <i>et al.</i> (2014)
	Cucujolide XI	Wittig reaction and carbodiimide esterification	37%	3	Hötling <i>et al.</i> (2015)



(5Z, 8Z, 12R)-TETRADECA-5,8-DIEN-12-OLIDE (9Z, 12Z, 15R)-OCTADECA-9, 12-DIEN-15-OLIDE

Conclusion

To our knowledge, 17 distinct compounds are used to control and monitor stored grain pests as pheromone baits, including ten aggregations and seven sexual. The compounds used as pheromones belong to different chemical classes, four alcohols, four aldehydes, three ketones, fifteen esters and two hydrocarbons. This highlights a low chemical diversity among the chemical constituents of the pheromones. The number of publications was higher in 1990, showing a decrease in 2000, an increase in 2010 and a sharp reduction in 2021, demonstrating a lack of interest in this topic for some countries. A more significant number of research studies on the use of stored grain pest pheromones have been carried out in the North American continent, demonstrating that countries like the U.S.A. have invested massively in this area of research. Despite being the largest corn exporter and the largest soybean producer in the world, Brazil does not have the most scientific studies in this area. This fact highlights the need for more significant investments in this area of research by South American countries, mainly Brazil. Considering the main pests of many food products, beetles of the genus Tribolium were the most addressed in the articles. The beetle T. castaneum is being studied, followed by R. dominica and P. interpuctella. Most of the articles in the present review monitored stored grain pests, totalling

93 articles. This is probably because this approach prevents the overuse of insecticides to control these pests. Finally, most of the studies were carried out in the laboratory, and little has been studied about the performance of these products in the field, which opens a critical window for evaluating this approach in an environment closer to reality. Since factors such as climate and location can influence the response of the pest to the pheromone, it is necessary to evaluate each pheromone compound in the context of each region, thus avoiding the wrong execution of strategies and frustration with programs that integrate different control and monitoring strategies.

References

Akasaka K, Tamogami S, Beeman RW, Mori K. 2011. Pheromone synthesis. Part 245: Synthesis and chromatographic analysis of the four stereoisomers of 4,8-dimethyldecanal, the male aggregation pheromone of the red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum*. Tetrahedron **67(1)**.

Arthur FH, Campbell JF, Toews MD. 2014. Distribution, abundance, and seasonal patterns of stored product beetles in a commercial food storage facility. Journal of Stored Products Research **56**.

Ashworth JR. 1993. The biology of *Lasioderma serricorne*. Journal of Stored Products Research **29(4)**.

Fig. 12. Macrolide lactones

AthanassiouCG,KavallieratosNG,TrematerraP.2006.Responses of Sitophilusoryzae(Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and Triboliumconfusum(Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) to traps baitedwithpheromonesandfoodvolatiles.EuropeanJournal of Entomology103(2).

https://doi.org/10.14411/eje.2006.050

Awater-Salendo S, Schulz H, Hilker M, Fürstenau B. 2020. The Importance of Methyl-Branched Cuticular Hydrocarbons for Successful Host Recognition by the Larval Ectoparasitoid *Holepyris sylvanidis*. Journal of Chemical Ecology **46(11-12)**. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-020-01227-w

Badanyan SO, Makaryan GM, Ovanesyan AL, Panosyan GA. 2001. (2E)-4,4-dimethoxy-2-butenal in the synthesis of conjugated dienes and dienals. Russian Journal of Organic Chemistry **37(5)**. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012479213224

Bandara HMDS, Wijayaratne LKW, Egodawatta WCP, Morrison WR. 2021. Orientation of *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) adults to 4,8-dimethyldecanal, kairomone and botanical oils following ambient, low, or high temperature exposure. Journal of Stored Products Research, **94**, 101893. https://doi.org

Barak A V, Burkholder WE. 1985. A versatile and effective trap for detecting and monitoring stored-product coleoptera. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment **12(3).** https://doi.org/https://doi.org

Barak AV, Burkholder WE, Faustini DL. 1990. Factors Affecting the Design of Traps for Stored-Product Insects. Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society **63(4)**, 466-485.

Birkinshaw LA, Hodges RJ, Addo S. 2004. Flight behaviour of *Prostephanus truncatus* and *Teretrius nigrescens* demonstrated by a cheap and simple pheromone-baited trap designed to segregate catches with time. Journal of Stored Products Research **40(2)**.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-474X(02)00094-2

Bloch Qazi MC, Boake CRB, Lewis SM. 1998. The femoral setiferous glands of *Tribolium castaneum* males and production of the pheromone 4,8-dimethyldecanal. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, **89(3).**

https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1570-7458.1998.00414.x

Boake CR. 1985. Genetic consequences of mate choice: a quantitative genetic method for testing sexual selection theory. Science (New York, N.Y.) **227(4690).** https://doi.org/10.1126/science.227.46

Buckman KA, Campbell JF. 2013. How varying pest and trap densities affect *Tribolium castaneum* capture in pheromone traps. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata **146(3).** https://doi.org/ 10.1111/eea.12039

Burkholder WE, Boush GM. 1974. Pheromones in Stored Product Insect Trapping and Pathogen Dissemination. EPPO Bulletin **4(4)**. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-2338.1974.tb02393.x

Butenandt A, Beckmann R, Hecker E. 1961. Über den Sexuallockstoff des Seidenspinners, I: Der biologische Test und die Isolierung des reinen Sexuallockstoffes Bombykol. Hoppe-Seyler's Zeitschrift Fur Physiologische Chemie **324(1)**. https://doi.org/10.1515/bchm2.1961.324.1.71

Campbell JF, Arbogast RT. 2004. Stored-product insects in a flour mill: Population dynamics and response to fumigation treatments. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata **112(3)**.

Campbell JF, Mullen MA, Dowdy AK. 2002. Monitoring stored product pests in food processing plants with pheromone trapping, contour mapping, and mark recapture. Journal of Economic Entomology, **95(5).** https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-0

Campbell JF, Mullen MA. 2004 Distribution and dispersal behavior of *Trogoderma variabile* and *Plodia interpunctella* outside a food processing plant. Journal of Economic Entomology **97(4)**.

Campbell JF. 2012. Attraction of walking *Tribolium castaneum* adults to traps. Journal of Stored Products Research **51**. https://doi.org/10.1016 /j.jspr.2012.

Campbell JF. 2013. Influence of landscape pattern in flour residue amount and distribution on *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) response to traps baited with pheromone and kairomone. Journal of Stored Products Research **52**. https://doi.org/

Campos M, Phillips TW. 2013. Laboratory evaluation of attract-and-kill formulations against the Indianmeal moth, *Plodia interpunctella* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Journal of Stored Products Research **52**. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.

Campos M, Phillips TW. 2014. Attract-and-kill and other pheromone-based methods to suppress populations of the *Indianmeal moth* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Journal of Economic Entomology **107(1)**. https://doi.org/10.1603/EC13451

Carvalho MO, Faro A, Subramanyam B. 2013. Insect population distribution and density estimates in a large rice mill in Portugal - A pilot study. Journal of Stored Products Research **52**.

Castañé C, Agustí N, Estal P del, Riudavets J. 2020. Survey of *Trogoderma spp.* in Spanish mills and warehouses. Journal of Stored Products Research **88**. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2020.101661

Chambers J, Morgan CP, White PR, Mori K, Finnegan DE, Pinniger DB. 1990. Rust-red grain beetle,*Cryptolestes ferrugineus*, and flat grain beetle,*Cryptolestes pusillus*: Antennal and behavioral responses to synthetic components of their aggregation pheromones. Journal of Chemical Ecology **16(12)**. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF00

Chambers J, Van Wyk CB, White PR, Gerrard CM, Mori K. 1996. Grain weevil,*Sitophilus granarius* (L.): Antennal and behavioral responses to male-produced volatiles. Journal of Chemical Ecology **22(9).** https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02272404 **Cheskis BA, Lebedeva KV, Moiseenkov AM.** 1988. Effective synthesis of (4R,8R)- and (4R,8S)enantiomers of 4,8-dimethyldecanal, the aggregation pheromone of the beatles *Tribolium confusum* and *Tribolium castaneum*. Institute of Organic Chemistry **4**, 865-871.

Cheskis BA, Moiseenkov AM, Shpiro NA, Stashina GA, Zhulin VM. 1990a. Stereochemically controlled synthesis of racemic sitophilate, the aggregational pheromone of the grain weevil. Bulletin of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR Division of Chemical Science **39(4)**.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00960333

Cheskis BA, Shpiro NA, Moiseenkov AM. 1990b. Synthesis of ferrulactone I and its dimer. Bulletin of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR Division of Chemical Science **38(11)**. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01168095

Cheskis BA, Shpiro NA, Moiseenkov AM. 1993. Effective synthesis of femrrulactone II based on the use of 2-carhoxyethyltriphenylphosphonium bromide. Russian Chemical Bulletin **42(4)**. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00704022

Chiluwal K, Kim J, Bae S Do, Maharjan R, Park CG. 2017. Attractiveness of male azuki bean beetle to the synthetic blends of 2E- and 2Zhomofarnesals. Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology **20(4).** https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2017.09.003

Chiluwal K, Kim J, Bae S Do, Roh GH, Park CG. 2018. Methyl salicylate and trans-anethole affect the pheromonal activity of homofarnesal, the female sex pheromone of azuki bean beetle. Entomological Research **48(5)**. https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-

Collins LE, Bryning GP, Wakefield ME, Chambers J, Cox PD. 2007. Progress towards a multi-species lure: Identification of components of food volatiles as attractants for three storage beetles. Journal of Stored Products Research **43(1).** https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2005.10.001 **CONAB CNDA.** 2021. Perdas em transporte e armazenagem de grãos: panorama atual e perspectivas (P. C. MACHADO JÚNIOR & S. A. dos. Reis Neto (eds.)). http://www.conab.gov.br

Contini E, Mota MM, Marra R, Borghi E, Miranda RA de, Silva AF da, Silva DD da, Machado JR de A, Cota LV, Costa RV da, Mendes SM. 2019. Milho-Caracterização e Desafios Tecnológicos. Embrapa **5(1)**, 1-45.

Cork A, Hall DR, Hodges RJ, Pickett JA. 1991. Identification of major component of male-produced aggregation pheromone of larger grain borer, *Prostephanus truncatus* (Horn) (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae). Journal of Chemical Ecology **17(4)**.

Czeskis BA, Shpiro NA, Moiseenkovt AM. 1993.Efficient Synthesis of (*S,Z*)-Dodec-3-en-11 -olide(Ferrulactone II) using 2-Carboxyethyltriphenylphosphonium Bromide.Mendeleev Communications **3(3).** https://doi.org/10.1070/MC1993v003n03ABEH000239

Daglish GJ, Ridley AW, Reid R, Walter GH. 2017. Testing the consistency of spatio-temporal patterns of flight activity in the stored grain beetles *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) and *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.). Journal of Stored Products Research **72**. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2017.03.005

de Souza VN, de Oliveira CRF, Matos CHC, de Almeida DKF. 2016. Fumigation toxicity of essential oils against *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.) in stored maize grain. Revista Caatinga **29(2).** https://doi.org/10.1590/1983-21252016v29n220rc

Dissanayaka DMSK, Sammani AMP, Wijayaratne LKW, Bamunuarachchige TC, Morrison WR. 2020c. Distance and height of attraction by walking and flying beetles to traps with simultaneous use of the aggregation pheromones from *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) and *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bostrychidae). Journal of Stored Products Research **89**. Dissanayaka DMSK, Sammani AMP, Wijayaratne LKW, Rajapakse RHS, Hettiarachchi S, Morrison WR. 2020d. Effects of aggregation pheromone concentration and distance on the trapping of *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bostrychidae) adults. Journal of Stored Products Research **88**.

https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jspr.2020.101657

Dissanayaka DMSK, Sammani AMP, Wijayaratne LKW. 2018a. Food oils as kairomones for trapping *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) adults. Journal of Stored Products Research **79**.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2018.09.005

Dissanayaka DMSK, Sammani AMP, Wijayaratne LKW. 2018b. Aggregation pheromone 4,8-dimethyldecanal and kairomone affect the orientation of *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) adults. Journal of Stored Products Research **79**.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2018.07.005

Dissanayaka DMSK, Sammani AMP, Wijayaratne LKW. 2020a. Orientation of Tribolium castaneum (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) adults at various distances to different concentrations of aggregation pheromone 4,8-dimethyldecanal. Journal of Stored Products Research 87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr. 2020.

Dissanayaka DMSK, Sammani AMP, Wijayaratne LKW. 2020b. Response of different population sizes to traps and effect of spinosad on the trap catch and progeny adult emergence in *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). Journal of Stored Products Research **86**. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2020.101576

Doud CW, Cuperus GW, Kenkel P, Payton ME, Phillips TW. 2021. Trapping *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) and other beetles in flourmills: Evaluating fumigation efficacy and estimating population density. Insects **12(2).** https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12020144

RW, Dowdy AK, Howard Seitz LM. McGaughey WH. 1993. Response of Rhyzopertha dominica (Coleoptera, Bostrichidae) to its aggregation pheromone and wheat volatiles. Environmental Entomology, 22(5). https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/22.5.965

Dowdy AK, Mullen MA. 1998. Multiple storeproduct insect pheromone use in pitfall traps. Journal of Stored Products Research **34(1)**. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-474X(97)00018-0

Dowell FE, Dowell CN. 2017. Reducing grain storage losses in developing countries. Quality Assurance and Safety of Crops and Foods **9(1)**. https://doi.org/10.3920/QAS2016.0897

Duehl AJ, Arbogast RT, Teal PE. 2011. Age and sex related responsiveness of *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) in novel behavioral bioassays. Environmental Entomology **40(1)**. https://doi.org/10.1603/EN10107

Edde PA, Phillips TW, Nansen C, Payton ME. 2006. Flight activity of the lesser grain borer, *Rhyzopertha dominica* F. (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae), in relation to weather. Environmental Entomology **35(3).** https://doi.org/10.1603/0046-225X-35.3.616

Edde PA, Phillips TW, Toews MD. 2005. Responses of *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) to its aggregation pheromones as influenced by trap design, trap height, and habitat. Environmental Entomology **34(6)**.

https://doi.org/10.1603/0046-225X-34.6.1549

Eiras AE, Mafra-Neto A. 2001. Olfatometria aplicada ao estudo do comportamento de insetos. Feromônios de Insetos: Biologia, Química e Emprego No Manejo de Pragas. Ribeirão Preto, Editora Holos **206p**, 27-39.

El-Sayed AM, Suckling DM, Wearing CH, Byers JA. 2006. Potential of mass trapping for longterm pest management and eradication of invasive species. In Journal of Economic Entomology **99(5)**. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/99.5.1550 **EMBRAPA.** 2021. Brazilian Agricultura Research Corporation Origin and History of Soy in Brazil. Embrapa Soy. https://www.embrapa.br/en/ soja

Fadamiro HY, Gudrups I, Hodges RJ. 1998. Upwind flight of *Prostephanus truncatus* is mediated by aggregation pheromone but not food volatiles. Journal of Stored Products Research **34(2-3)**. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-474X(97)00044-1

FadamiroHY,WyattTD.1996.FactorsinfluencingresponseofflyingProstephanustruncatustoitsmale-producedaggregationpheromone.PhysiologicalEntomology21(3).https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3032.1996.tb00853.x

FAO. 2021. FAOSTAT. http://www.fao.org/faostat /en /#data/QC

Fardisi M, Mason LJ. 2013. Influence of lure (food/sex pheromone) on young mated cigarette beetle (*Lasioderma serricorne* (F.)) (Coleoptera: Anobiidae) flight initiation. Journal of Stored Products Research **53**.

Fargo WS, Cuperus GW, Bonjour EL, Burkholder WE, Clary BL, Payton ME. 1994. Influence of probe trap type and attractants on the capture of four stored-grain Coleoptera. Journal of Stored Products Research **30(3)**.

Faustini DL, Burkholder WE, Laub RJ. 1981. Sexually dimorphic setiferous sex patch in the male red flour beetle,*Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae): Site of aggregation pheromone production. Journal of Chemical Ecology **7(2).** https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00995769

Faustini DL. 1982. Male-porduced aggregation pheromone of the maize weevil. Ecology **9(7)**, 831-841.

Fedina TY, Lewis SM. 2007. Effect of *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) nutritional environment, sex, and mating status on response to commercial pheromone traps. Journal of Economic Entomology **100(6).** https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493(2007)100[1924:eotcct]2.0.co;2

Franck-Neumann M, Martina D, Neff D. 1998. Amplification of chirality by transition metal coordination: Synthesis of chiral allenes and allene manganese complexes of high enantiomeric purity. Synthesis of methyl (R,E)-(-)-(2,4,5tetradecatrienoate (pheromone of *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (say)). Tetrahedron Asymmetry **9(4).** https://doi.org/10.1016/S0957-4166(98)00023-8

Fuganti C, Grasselli P, Servi S, Högberg HE. 1988. Bakers' yeast mediated preparation of (*S*)-3-(2furyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ol, a bifunctional chiral C5 isoprenoid synthon: Synthesis of (4*R*,8*R*)-4,8dimethyldecanal, a pheromone of *Tribolium castaneum*. Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions **1**, *12*. https://doi.org/10.1039

Gerken AR, Scully ED, Campbell JF. 2018. Red Flour Beetle (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) Response to Volatile Cues Varies With Strain and Behavioral Assay. Environmental Entomology **47(5)**.

Hagstrum DW, Phillips TW, Cuperus G. 2012. Stored Product Protection. Kansas State University. K-State Research and Extension.

Hagstrum DW, Phillips TW. 2017. Evolution of Stored-Product Entomology: Protecting the World Food Supply. In Annual Review of Entomology **62**. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-031616-

Hagstrum DW, Subramanyam B. 2006. Fundamentals of stored-product entomology. In D. W. Hagstrum & B. B. T.-F. of S.-P. E. Subramanyam (Eds.), American Associate of Cereal Chemists International. pp. 3-22. AACC International Press. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-

Hagstrum DW, Subramanyam B. 2009. Stored-Product Insect Resource. In Stored-Product Insect Resource. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2010.

Hawkin KJ, Stanbridge DM, Fields PG. 2011. Sampling *Tribolium confusum* and *Tribolium castaneum* in mill and laboratory settings: Differences between strains and species. Canadian Entomologist **143(5)**. https://doi.org/10.4039/n11Hodges RJ, Hall DR, Golob P, Meik J. 1983. Responses of *Prostephanus truncatus* to components of the aggregation pheromone of *Rhyzopertha dominica* in the laboratory and field. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata **34(3)**.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.1983.tb03332.x

Hodges RJ, Hall DR, Mbugua JN, Likhayo PW. 1998. The responses of *Prostephanus truncatus* (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) and *Sitophilus zeamais* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) to pheromone and synthetic maize volatiles as lures in crevice or flight traps. Bulletin of Entomological Research **88(2)**. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0007485300025700

Holloway JC, Mayer DG, Daglish GJ. 2018. Flight activity of *Cryptolestes ferrugineus* in southern New South Wales, Australia. Journal of Pest Science **91(4).** https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-018-0981-1

Hötling S, Bittner C, Tamm M, Dähn S, Collatz J, Steidle JLM, Schulz S. 2015. Identification of a Grain Beetle Macrolide Pheromone and Its Synthesis by Ring-Closing Metathesis Using a Terminal Alkyne. Organic Letters 17(20).

https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.5b02461

Hötling S, Haberlag B, Tamm M, Collatz J, Mack P, Steidle JLM, Vences M, Schulz S. 2014. Identification and synthesis of macrolide pheromones of the grain beetle *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* and the frog *Spinomantis aglavei*. Chemistry (Weinheim an Der Bergstrasse, Germany) **20(11)**. https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201304414

Ismuratov GY, Yakovleva MP, Galyautdinova AV, Tolstikov GA. 2003. Synthesis of (4R)methylnonan-1-oL and (4R,8RS)-dimethyldecanal from (*S*)-(+)-3,7-dimethyl-1,6-octadiene. Chemistry of Natural Compounds **39(1)**.

Jha SN, Vishwakarma RK, Ahmad T, Rai A. 2015. Report on Assessment of Quantitative Harvest and Post-Harvest Losses of Major Crops/ Commodities in India Sponsor. Ministry of Food Processing Industries. https://doi.org/10.13140 **Jittanun C, Chongrattanameteekul W.** 2014. Phosphine resistance in Thai local strains of *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) and their response to synthetic pheromone. Kasetsart Journal-Natural Science, **48(1)**.

Kalaitzakis D, Kambourakis S, Rozzell DJ, Smonou I. 2007. Stereoselective chemoenzymatic synthesis of sitophilate: a natural pheromone. Tetrahedron Asymmetry **18(20)**. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetasy.2007.10.008

Kalaitzakis D, Rozzell JD, Kambourakis S, Smonou I. 2006. A two-step chemoenzymatic synthesis of the natural pheromone (+)-sitophilure utilizing isolated, NADPH-dependent ketoreductases. European Journal of Organic Chemistry 10. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.200500991

Kameda Y, Nagano H. 2006. Radical mediated stereoselective synthesis of (4*R*,8*R*)-4,8-dimethyldecanal, an aggregation pheromone of *Tribolium* flour beetles. Tetrahedron **62(41).** https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2006.07.054

KeinanE,SinhaSC,SinghSP.1991.Thermostable enzymes in organic synthesis, 5.TotalsynthesisofS-(+)-Z-dodec-3-en-11-olide(ferrulactone II) using a tbadh-generated bifunctionalchiron. Tetrahedron, 47(26).

https://doi.org/10.1016 /S0040-4020(01)86469-3

Konemann CE, Hubhachen Z, Opit GP, Gautam S, Bajracharya NS. 2017. Phosphine Resistance in *Cryptolestes ferrugineus* (Coleoptera: Laemophloeidae) Collected From Grain Storage Facilities in Oklahoma, USA. Journal of Economic Entomology **110(3)**. https://doi.org/10.1093

Kukovinets OS, Odinokov VN, Tsyglintseva EY, Tolstikov GA. 1996. Pheromones of insects and their analogs. LIII. Synthesis of ferrulactone I -a pheromone of *Cryptolestes ferrugineus*. Chemistry of Natural Compounds **32(6)**.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01374028

KumariJWP,WijayaratneLKW,JayawardenaNWIA,EgodawattaWCP.2020.Quantitative and Qualitative Losses in Paddy,Maizeand Greengram Stored under Household Conditionsin Anuradhapura District of Sri Lanka.Sri LankanJournal of Agriculture and Ecosystems2(1), 99.https://doi.org/10.4038/sljae.v2i1.32

Larson Z, Subramanyam B, Herrman T. 2008. Stored-product insects associated with eight feed mills in the midwestern United States. Journal of Economic Entomology **101(3).** https://doi.org /10.1603/0022-0493(2008)101[998:siawef]2.0.co;2

Likhayo PW, Hodges RJ. 2000. Field monitoring Sitophilus zeamais and Sitophilus oryzae (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) using refuge and flight traps baited with synthetic pheromone and cracked wheat. Journal of Stored Products Research **36(4)**. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-474x(99)00052-1

Lindgren BS, Borden JH, Pierce AM, Pierce HD, Oehlschlager AC, Wong JW. 1985. A potential method for simultaneous, semiochemicalbased monitoring of *Cryptolestes ferrugineus* and *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Cucujidae and Tenebrionidae). Journal of Stored Products Research **21(2).** https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-474X(85)

Lorini I, Krzyzanowski FC, França-Neto J de B, Henning AA, Henning FA. 2015. Manejo Integrado de Pragas de Grãos e Sementes Armazenadas. In Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária.

Lorini I. 2015. Perdas anuais em grãos armazenados chegam a 10% da produção nacional. Visão Agrícola **13**.

Loschiaho SR, White NDG, Wong J, Pierce HD, Oehlschlager AC, Borden JH. 1986) Field evaluation of a pheromone to detect adult rusty grain beetles, *Cryptolestes ferrugineus* (Coleoptera: Cucujidae), in stored grain. The Canadian Entomologist **118(1)**. https://doi.org/10.4039/ Losey SM, Daglish GJ, Phillips TW. 2019. Orientation of rusty grain beetles, *Cryptolestes ferrugineus* (Coleoptera: Laemophloeidae), to semiochemicals in field and laboratory experiments. Journal of Stored Products Research **84**. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2019.101513

Mahroof RM, Edde PA, Robertson B, Puckette JA, Phillips TW. 2010. Dispersal of *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) in different habitats. Environmental Entomology **39(3).** https://doi.org/10.1603/EN09243

Mavrov MV, Serebryakov EP. 1993. Pheromones of Coleoptera .13. Synthesis of racemic ferrulactone II from three easily accessible acetylenic precursors. Russian Chemical Bulletin **42(12)**, 2035-2038.

McKay T, Bowombe-Toko MP, Starkus LA, Arthur FH, Campbell JF. 2019. Monitoring of *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) in Rice Mills using Pheromone-Baited Traps. Journal of Economic Entomology **112(3)**.

McKay T, White AL, Starkus LA, Arthur FH, Campbell JF. 2017. Seasonal Patterns of Stored-Product Insects at a Rice Mill. Journal of Economic Entomology **110(3)**. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee

Melikyan GG, Mkrtchyan VM, Atanesyan KA, Azaryan GK, Badanyan SO. 1990. Lowmolecular-mass bioregulators. II. Synthesis of methyl (±)-tetradeca-2*E*,4,5-trienoate - The sex pheromone of Acanthoscelides obtectus. Chemistry of Natural Compounds **26(1)**. https://doi.org/10.1007/

Moreira MAB, Zarbin PHG, Coracini MDA. 2005. Feromônios associados aos coleópteros-praga de produtos armazenados. Química Nova **28(3).**

Mori K, Kato M, Kuwahara S. 1985. Pheromone syntheses, LXXIII. New synthesis of (4*R*,8*R*)-4,8-dimethyldecanal, the aggregation pheromone of *Tribolium castaneum*, and its (4*R*,8*S*)-isomer. Liebigs Annalen Der Chemie **1985(4)**.

Mori K, Kuwahara S, Ueda H. 1983. Synthesis of all of the four possible stereoisomers of 4,8-dimethyldecanal, the aggregation pheromone of the flour beetles. Tetrahedron **39(14)**.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4020(01)91971-4

Mori K, Nukada T, Ebata T. 1981. Synthesis of optically active forms of methyl (*E*)-2,4,5-tetradecatrienoate, the pheromone of the male dried bean beetle. Tetrahedron **37(7)**. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0040-4020(01)92450-0

Mori K, Takikawa H. 1991. Synthesis of (4*S*,8*S*)and (4*S*,*SR*)-4,8-Dimethyldecanal, the Stereoisomers of the Aggregation Pheromone of *Tribolium castaneum*. European Journal of Organic Chemistry (5), 497-500.

Mori K, Yoshimura T, Sugai T. 1988. Pheromone synthesis, CX. Synthesis of (4S,5R)-5-hydroxy-4methyl-3-heptanone (Sitophilure), the aggregation pheromone of *Sitophilus oryzae* and *S. zeamais*. Liebigs Annalen Der Chemie **(9)**. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/jlac.198819880914

Mori K. 2012. Pheromone synthesis. Part 249: Syntheses of methyl (R,E)-2,4,5- tetradecatrienoate and methyl (2E,4Z)-2,4-decadienoate, the pheromone components of the male dried bean beetle, *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (Say). Tetrahedron **68(7)**. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2011.12.064

Morrison WR, Grosdidier RF, Arthur FH, Myers SW, Domingue MJ. 2020. Attraction, arrestment, and preference by immature *Trogoderma variabile* and *Trogoderma granarium* to food and pheromonal stimuli. Journal of Pest Science **93(1)**. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-019-01171-z

Muatinte BL, Santos LA, Van Den Berg J. 2018. The Use of Mass Trapping to Suppress Population Numbers of *Prostephanus truncatus* (Horn) (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) in Small-Scale Farmer Granaries in Mozambique. African Entomology **26(2).** https://doi.org/10.4001/003.026.0301 Mudiyanselage A, Sammani P, Mudiyanselage D, Kumara S, Kanaka L, Wijayaratne W, Robert W, Iii M. 2020. Effect of Pheromone Blend Components , Sex Ratio , and Population Size on the Mating of *Cadra cautella* (Lepidoptera : Pyralidae). **20**. https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/ieaa128

Mullen MA, Dowdy AK. 2001. A pheromonebaited trap for monitoring the Indian meal moth, *Plodia interpunctella* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Journal of Stored Products Research 37(3). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-474x(00)00

Obeng-Ofori D, Coaker TH. 1990a. *Tribolium* aggregation pheromone: monitoring, range of attraction and orientation behaviour of *T. castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). Bulletin of Entomological Research **80(4).**

https://doi.org/ 10.1017/S0007485300050707

Obeng-Ofori D, Coaker TH. 1990b. Some factors affecting responses of four stored product beetles (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae & Bostrichidae) to pheromones. Bulletin of Entomological Research **80(4).** https://doi.org/10.1017/S00074853000500

Odinokov VN, Dzhemilev UM, Ishumuratov GY, Botsman LP, Ibragimov AG, Ladenkova IM, Kargapol'teva TA, Zolotarev AP, Tolstikov GA. 1991. Pheromones of insects and their analogs. XXVIII. Practical synthesis of tetradeca-9*Z*,12*E*-dien-1-yl acetate - A component of the sex pheromones of insects of the order lepidoptera. Chemistry of Natural Compounds 27(2).

https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF00629770

Odinokov VN, Ishmuratov GY, Botsman LP, Vakhidov RR, Khametova RR, Ladenkova IM, Tolstikov GA. 1992. Insect pheromones and their analogues XXXIX. Synthesis of 11*RS*-hydroxy- and 12-hydroxydodec-3*Z*-enoic acids — Acyclic precursors of the macrolide components of the pheromones of *Cryptolestes ferrugineus* and *C. pusillus*. Institute of Organic Chemistry **28(3-4)**.

https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00630260

Odinokov VN, Ishmuratov GY, Botsman LP, Vakhidov RR, Ladenkova IM, Kargapol'tseva TA, Tolstikov GA. 1992. Insect pheromones and their analogues XL. Synthesis of dodec-3*Z*-EN-11*RS*olide (ferrulactone II - A racemic analogue of a component of the aggregation pheromone of *Cryptolestes ferrugineus*. Chemistry of Natural Compounds **28(3-4)**. https://doi.org/10.1007

Odinokov VN, Izhmuratov GY, Kharisov RY, Ibragimov AG, Sultanov RM, Dzhemilev UM, Tolstikov GA. 1989. Pheromones of insects and their analogs. XX. Methyl-branched pheromones based on 4-methyltetrahydropyran. - I. Synthesis of racemic 4,8-dimethyldecanal - The pheromone of the flour beetles *Tribolium confusum* and *Tribolium castaneum*. Chemistry of Natural Compounds **25(2)**. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00598420

Oehlschlager AC, Wong JW, Verigin VG, Pierce HD. 1983. Synthesis of Two Macrolide Pheromones of the Rusty Grain Beetle, *Cryptolestes ferrugineus* (Stephens)1. Journal of Organic Chemistry **48(25).** https://doi.org/10.1021/j000173

Ogasawara M, Nagano T, Hayashi T. 2005. A new route to methyl (R,E)-(-)-tetradeca-2,4,5-trienoate (pheromone of *Acanthoscelides obtectus*) utilizing a palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allene formation reaction. The Journal of Organic Chemistry **70(14)**, 5764-5767. https://doi.org/10.1021/j00506z

Oliveira CM, Auad AM, Mendes SM, Frizzas MR. 2014. Crop losses and the economic impact of insect pests on Brazilian agriculture. Crop Protection 56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2013.10.022

Omondi BA, Jiang N, van den Berg J, Schulthess F. 2011. The flight activity of *Prostephanus truncatus* (Horn) (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) and *Teretrius nigrescens* Lewis (Coleoptera: Histeridae) in Kenya. Journal of Stored Products Research **47(1)**.

https://doi.org/ 10.1016 /j.jspr.2010.08.002

Palipane KB. 2000. Current storage practices and quality improvement of stored grains. Proceedings of the Seminar.

Perez LM, Moore PJ, Abney MR, Toews MD. 2020. Species Composition, Temporal Abundance and Distribution of Insect Captures Inside and Outside Commercial Peanut Shelling Facilities. Insects **11(2).** https://doi.org/10.3390/insects0110

Phillips TW, Doud CW. 2020. Responses of Red Flour Beetle Adults, *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae), and Other Stored Product Beetles to Different Pheromone Trap Designs. Insects **11(11).** https://doi.org/10.3390ect3

Phillips TW, Jiang XL, Burkholder WE, Phillips JK, Tran HQ. 1993. Behavioral responses to food volatiles by two species of stored-product coleoptera, *Sitophilus oryzae* (curculionidae) and *Tribolium castaneum* (tenebrionidae). Journal of Chemical Ecology **19(4)**. https://doi.org/10.1007

Phillips TW, Throne JE. 2010. Biorational approaches to managing stored-product insects. In Annual Review of Entomology **55**. https://doi.org /10.1146/annurev.ento.54.110807.090451

Pierce AM, Pierce HD, Borden JH, Ochlschlager AC. 1989. Production Dynamics of Cucujolide Pheromones and Identification of 1-Octen-3-ol as a New Aggregation Pheromone for *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* and *O. mercator* (Coleoptera: Cucujidae). Environmental Entomology **18(5).** https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/18.5.747

Pierce AM, Pierce HD, Oehlschlager AC, Czyzewska E, Borden JH. 1987. Influence of pheromone chirality on response by *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* and *Oryzaephilus mercator* (Coleoptera: Cucujidae). Journal of Chemical Ecology **13(6).** https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01012295

PierceAM,PierceHDJ,BordenJH,OehlschlagerAC.1991a.Fungalvolatiles:Semiochemicals for stored-product beetles (Coleoptera:Cucujidae).Journal of Chemical Ecology, 17(3).

Pierce AM, Pierce HDJ, Oehlschlager AC, Borden JH. 1991b. 1-Octen-3-ol, attractive semiochemical for foreign grain beetle, *Ahasverus advena* (Waltl) (Coleoptera: Cucujidae). Journal of Chemical Ecology 17(3). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00982127

Pilli RA, Riatto VB. 1999. The Asymmetric Synthesis of (+)-Sitophilure, the Natural Form of the Aggregation Pheromone of *Sitophilus oryzae* L. and *Sitophilus zeamais* M. Journal of the Brazilian Chemical Society **10(5).** https://doi.org/10.1590 /S0103-50531999000500005

Pimentel D. 1991. World resources and food losses to pests. In Ecology and Management of Food-Industry. Pests See Ref **37**, 5-11.

Rajan TS, Muralitharan V, Daglish GJ, Mohankumar S, Rafter MA, Chandrasekaran S, Mohan S, Vimal D, Srivastava C, Loganathan M, Walter GH. 2018. Flight of three major insect pests of stored grain in the monsoonal tropics of India, by latitude, season and habitat. Journal of Stored Products Research 76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2017.12.005

Ramírez-Martínez M, de Alba-Avila A, Ramírez-Zurbía R. 1994. Discovery of the larger grain borer in a tropical deciduous forest in Mexico. Journal of Applied Entomology **118(1-5).** https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.1994.tb00811.x

Ravía SP, Risso M, Kröger S, Vero S, Seoane GA, Gamenara D. 2013. A concise and stereoselective chemoenzymatic synthesis of Sitophilate, the male-produced aggregation pheromone of *Sitophilus granarius* (L.). Tetrahedron Asymmetry **24(19).** https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetasy.

Ridley AW, Hereward JP, Daglish GJ, Raghu S, McCulloch GA, Walter GH. 2016. Flight of *Rhyzopertha Dominica* (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) A spatio temporal analysis with pheromone trapping and population genetics. Journal of Economic Entomology **109(6).** https://doi.org/10.1093 Sajeewani PAH, Dissanayaka DMSK, Wijayaratne LKW, Burks CS. 2020. Changes in Shape, Texture and Airflow Improve Efficiency of Monitoring Traps for Tribolium castaneum (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). Insects 11(11). https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11110778

Sakai T, Mori K. 1986. New synthesis of the macrolide pheromones of the rusty grain beetle, *Cryptolestes ferrugineus* stephens. Agricultural and Biological Chemistry **50(1)**.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00021369.1986.10867329

SakhautdinovIM,GumerovAM,Mukhamet'yanova AF, Atangulov AB, YunusovMS. 2018. New Synthetic Method for the Racemicform of the Bean Weevil Acanthoscelides obtectusMale Pheromone. Chemistry of Natural Compounds54(3). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10600-018-2429-5

Sambaraju KR, Phillips TW. 2008. Responses of adult *Plodia interpunctella* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) to light and combinations of attractants and light. Journal of Insect Behavior **21(5)**. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10905-008-9140-5

Sammani AMP, Dissanayaka DMSK, Wijayaratne LKW, Bamunuarachchige TC, Morrison WR 3rd. 2020a. Effect of Pheromones, Plant Volatiles and Spinosad on Mating, Male Attraction and Burrowing of *Cadra cautella* (Walk.) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Insects **11(12).** https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11120845

Sammani AMP, Dissanayaka DMSK, Wijayaratne LKW, Morrison WR. 2020b. Effects of spinosad and spinetoram on larval mortality, adult emergence, progeny production and mating in *Cadra cautella* (Walk.) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Journal of Stored Products Research **88**, 101665. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2020.101665

Santangelo EM, Corrêa AG, Zarbin PHG. 2006. Synthesis of (4R,8R)- and (4S,8R)-4,8dimethyldecanal: the common aggregation pheromone of flour beetles. Tetrahedron Letters **47(29).** https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2006.05.066 Satoh T, Hanaki N, Kuramochi Y, Inoue Y, Hosoya K, Sakai K. 2002. A new method for synthesis of allenes, including an optically active form, from aldehydes and alkenyl aryl sulfoxides by sulfoxide-metal exchange as the key reaction and an application to a total synthesis of male bean weevil sex attractant. Tetrahedron **58(13)**.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4020(02)00151-5

Selitskaya OG, Shamshev IV. 1995. Response of *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Coleoptera, Bostrichidae) to the components of aggregation pheromone. Entomological Review **74(7)**.

Shakhmaev RN, Sunagatullina AS, Akimova DA, Zorin VV. 2017. Fe-catalyzed synthesis of methyl-(2E,4Z)-deca-2,4-dienoate, a component of sex pheromones of *Pityogenes chalcographus* and *Acanthoscelides obtectus*. In Russian Journal of General Chemistry **87(7)**.

https://doi.org/10.1134/S1070363217070325

Sharon MEM, Abirami CVK, Alagusundaram K. 2014. Review Article jpht Grain Storage Management in India. Journal of Postharvest Technology **02(01)**, 12-24.

Small GJ. 2007. A comparison between the impact of sulfuryl fluoride and methyl bromide fumigations on stored-product insect populations in UK flour mills. Journal of Stored Products Research **43(4)**. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2006.11.003

Smart LE, Blight MM, Pickett JA, Pye BJ. 1994. Development of field strategies incorporating semiochemicals for the control of the pea and bean weevil, *Sitona lineatus* L. Crop Protection **13(2)**. https://doi.org/10.1016/0261-2194(94)90163-5

Smith SM, Moore D, Karanja LW, Chandi EA. 1999. Formulation of vegetable fat pellets with pheromone and *Beauveria bassiana* to control the larger grain borer, *Prostephanus truncatus* (Horn). Pesticide Science **55(7).** https://doi.org/10.1002 /(SICI)1096-9063(199907)55:7<711::AID-PS990> 3.0. CO;2-A **Stevens MM, Wood RM, Mo J.** 2019. Monitoring flight activity of *Cryptolestes ferrugineus* (Coleoptera: Laemophloeidae) in outdoor environments using a commercial pheromone lure and the kairomone 1-octen-3-ol. Journal of Stored Products Research **83**.

https://doi.org/10.1016 /j.jspr.2019.07.005

Stevenson BJ, Cai L, Faucher C, Michie M, Berna A, Ren Y, Anderson A, Chyb S, Xu W. 2017. Walking Responses of *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) to Its Aggregation Pheromone and Odors of Wheat Infestations. Journal of Economic Entomology **110(3)**. https://doi.org /10.1093 /jee/tox051

Suzuki T, Sugawara R, Ozaki J. 1983. Synthesis of Optically Active Aggregation Pheromone Analogues of the Red Flour Beetle, *Tribolium castaneum*. Agricultural and Biological Chemistry **47(4)**. https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb1961.47.869

Suzuki T. 1981. Identification of the Aggregation Pheromone of Flour Beetles *Tribolium castaneum* and *T. confusum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). Agricultural and Biological Chemistry **45(6)**. https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb1961.45.1357

Tabasum S, Younas M, Zaeem MA, Majeed I, Majeed M, Noreen A, Iqbal MN, Zia KM. 2019. A review on blending of corn starch with natural and synthetic polymers, and inorganic nanoparticles with mathematical modeling. In International Journal of Biological Macromolecules **122.** https://doi.org /10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.10.092

Tang Q, Wu Y, Liu B, Yu Z. 2009. Infochemicalmediated preference behavior of the maize weevil, *Sitophilus zeamais* motschulsky, when searching for its hosts. Entomologica Fennica **19(4)**. https:// doi.org /10.33338/ef.84443

Tang QF, Yang TS, Jiang JQ. 2016. Herbivoreinduced rice grain volatiles affect attraction behavior of herbivore enemies. Interciencia **41(5)**. Tigar BJ, Osborne PE, Key GE, Flores-S ME, Vazquez-A M. 1994. Insect pests associated with rural maize stores in Mexico with particular reference to *Prostephanus truncatus* (Coleoptera: bostrichidae). Journal of Stored Products Research **30(4).** https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-474X (94)90

Toews MD, Arthur FH, Campbell JF. 2009. Monitoring *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) in pilotscale warehouses treated with beta-cyfluthrin: are residual insecticides and trapping compatible? Bulletin of Entomological Research **99(2).** https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485308006172

Toews MD, Campbell JF, Arthur FH, Ramaswamy SB. 2006. Outdoor flight activity and immigration of *Rhyzopertha dominica* into seed wheat warehouses. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 121(1).

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-8703.2006.00462.x

Trematerra P, Athanassiou C, Stejskal V, Sciarretta A, Kavallieratos N, Palyvos N. 2011. Large-scale mating disruption of *Ephestia spp.* and *Plodia interpunctella* in Czech Republic, Greece and Italy. Journal of Applied Entomology **135(10)**. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.2011.01632.x

Trematerra P, Girgenti P. 1989. Influence of pheromone and food attractants on trapping of *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) (Col., Curculionidae): a new trap. Journal of Applied Entomology **108(1-5)**. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.1989.tb00426.x

Trematerra P, Spina G. 2013. Mating-disruption trials for control of mediterranean flour moth, *Ephestia kuehniella* zeller (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae), in traditional flour mills. Journal of Food Protection, **76(3).** https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-12-3

Tripathi AK. 2018. Pests and Their Management. In Pests and Their Management. https://doi.org/ 10.1007 /978-981-10-8687-8 **Urrutia RI, Yeguerman C, Jesser E, Gutierrez VS, Volpe MA, Werdin González JO.** 2021. Sunflower seed hulls waste as a novel source of insecticidal product: Pyrolysis bio-oil bioactivity on insect pests of stored grains and products. Journal of Cleaner Production **287**.

https://doi.org/10.1016 /j.jclepro.2020.125000

Vanderwel D, Johnston B, Oehlschlager AC. 1992. Cucujolide biosynthesis in the merchant and rusty grain beetles. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology **22(8).** https://doi.org/10.1016

Vasil'ev AA, Vlasyuk AL, Gamalevich GD, Serebryakov EP. 1996. A versatile and convenient protocol for the stereocontrolled synthesis of olefinic insect pheromones. Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry 4(3). https://doi.org/10.1016/0968-

Vuts J, Francke W, Mori K, Zarbin PHG, Hooper AM, Millar JG, Pickett JA, Tõth M, Chamberlain K, Caulfield JC, Woodcock CM, Tröger AG, Csonka ÉB, Birkett MA. 2015. Pheromone Bouquet of the Dried Bean Beetle, *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (Col.: Chrysomelidae), Now Complete. European Journal of Organic Chemistry 2015(22). https://doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.201500196

Wakefield ME, Bryning GP, Chambers J. 2004. Progress towards a lure to attract three stored product weevils, *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky, *S. oryzae* (L.) and *S. granarius* (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Journal of Stored Products Research **41(2).** https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2004.01.001

Wakil W, Kavallieratos NG, Usman M, Gulzar S, El-Shafie HAF. 2021. Detection of phosphine resistance in field populations of four key stored-grain insect pests in Pakistan. Insects **12(4)**.

Walgenbach CA, Phillips JK, Burkholder WE, King GG, Slessor KN, Mori K. 1986. Determination of chirality in 5-hydroxy-4-methyl-3heptanone, the aggregation pheromone of *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) and *S. zeamais* Motschulsky. Journal of Chemical Ecology **13(12).** https://doi.org/10.1007 Walgenbach CA, Phillips JK, Faustini DL, Burkholder WE. 1983. Male-produced aggregation pheromone of the maize weevil,*Sitophilus zeamais*, and interspecific attraction between three *Sitophilus* species. Journal of Chemical Ecology **9(7)**. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00987808

Wang C, He C, Shi Y, Xiang H, Tian W. 2015. Synthesis of tribolure, the common aggregation pheromone of four *Tribolium* flour beetles. Chinese Journal of Chemistry **33(6)**. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/cjoc.201500334

Weaver DK, Petroff AR. 2004. Pest management for grain storage and fumigation - Seed treatment -Pest control - Grain storage & seed treatment facilities
81. Montana State University. Universityhttps: //www.montana.edu/extension/pesticides/document s/manuals/FumSeed_2004.pdf

White PR, Chambers J, Walter CM, Wilkins JPG, Millar JG. 1989. Saw-toothed grain beetle *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* (L.) (Coleoptera: Silvanidae) - Collection, identification, and bioassay of attractive volatiles from beetles and oats. Journal of Chemical Ecology **15(3)**. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01015195

White PR, Chambers J. 1989. Saw-toothed grain beetle *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* (L.) (Coleoptera: Silvanidae) - Antennal and behavioral responses to individual components and blends of aggregation pheromone. Journal of Chemical Ecology **15(3)**. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01015196

Wijayaratne LKW, Arthur FH, Whyard S. 2018. Methoprene and control of stored-product insects. Journal of Stored Products Research 76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2016.09.001

Wijayaratne LKW, Fernando MD, Palipane KB. 2009. Control of insect pests under ware-house conditions using smoke generated from partial combustion of rice (paddy) husk. Journal of the National Science Foundation of Sri Lanka **37(2)**. https://doi.org/10.4038/jnsfsr.v37i2.1069 Wong-Corral FJ, Ramírez-Martínezy M, Cortez-Rocha MO, Borboa-Flores J, Leos-Martínez J. 2001. Presence of *Prostephanus truncatus* (Horn) (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) in Sonora, Mexico, first report. Southwestern Entomologist **26(2)**. **Zarbin PHG, Rodrigues MACM, Lima ER.** 2009. Feromônios de insetos: tecnologia e desafios para uma agricultura competitiva no Brasil. Química Nova **32(3)**.

https://doi.org/10.1590/s0100-40422009000300016

Zarbin PHG, Cruz WDO, Ferreira JTB. 1998. Stereospecific synthesis of two isomers of (4,8) -Dimethyldecanal: The aggregation pheromone of *Tribolium* spp. Journal of the Brazilian Chemical Society **9(5)**.

https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-50531998000500018