

International Journal of Biosciences | IJB | ISSN: 2220-6655 (Print) 2222-5234 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 23, No. 6, p. 165-177, 2023

OPEN ACCESS

Soil amendment with biochar improves wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) growth, yield and post-harvest soil properties

Mst. Asifa Afroz, Alok Kumar Paul, Md. Omar Ali Mollick^{*}, Faysal Ahmed, Tarik Ahmed

Department of Soil Science, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Key words: Wheat, Biochar, Plant growth, Yield, Soil properties

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/23.6.165-177 Article published on December 10, 2023

Abstract

The current study was conducted in the research area of the Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University (SAU), Dhaka, Bangladesh, between November 2021 and March 2022 during the Rabi season to examine the impact of biochar on wheat yield and soil properties and to figure out the ideal dosage of biochar when used with inorganic fertilizer for maximizing wheat yield. A total of 8 treatments were used in the experiment as $T_1 = \text{Control}, T_2 = \text{RFD}$ (Recommended Fertilizer Dose); $T_3 = \text{RFD} + \text{Biochar} @ 1.0 \text{ tha}^{-1}$; $T_4 = \text{RFD} +$ Biochar @ 1.5 t ha⁻¹; $T_5 = \text{RFD} + \text{Biochar} @ 2.0 \text{ tha}^{-1}$; $T_6 = 75\%$ of RFD + Biochar @ 1.0 t ha⁻¹; $T_7 = 75\%$ of RFD + Biochar @ 1.5 t ha⁻¹; $T_8 = 75\%$ of RFD + Biochar @ 2.0 t ha⁻¹. Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications was used to set up the experiment. BARI Gom-32 was the variety that was tested. Information was gathered on the growth, yield characteristics of wheat, and nutrient content of the post-harvest soil. To assess the effectiveness of the treatment, the acquired data were statistically examined. Results revealed that when biochar was applied along with the recommended amount of chemical fertilizers, it significantly improved the development and yield of wheat as well as the soil.

* Corresponding Author: Md. Omar Ali Mollick 🖂 omarali@sau.edu.bd

Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the leading cereals in the world. It is the world's most widely cultivated cereal crop which ranks first followed by rice. It is more preferable to rice for its higher seed protein content. It ranks first both in acreage and production among the grain crops of the world (FAO, 2008).

Wheat grain is rich in food value containing 12% protein, 1.72% fat, 70% carbohydrate, 2% fiber, 2.7% minerals and 12% moisture (Javid *et al.*, 2022). Wheat is a major staple food for more than 4.5 billion people (Grote, 2021). It is cultivated in almost every country of the world contributing about 30% of total food grain production (Halecki and Bedla, 2022). Around 780.59 million metric tons of wheat is produced globally in more than 220 million ha, with an average productivity of 3.52 t ha⁻¹ (USDA, 2022).

Production of wheat in Bangladesh has increased many folds from the time of independence. The annual production of wheat grain in Bangladesh in the year 2018–2019 was 10.16 lakh metric tons obtained from 3.30 lakh hectares of land and in the year 2019–2020 it was 10.29 lakh metric tons from 3.32 lakh hectares of land (BBS, 2020). During the Rabi season of 2021-22, Bangladesh has produced 1.18 million metric tons of wheat from an area of 314 thousand hectares with an average productivity of 3.44 t ha⁻¹ (BBS, 2022). Dinajpur, Rajshahi and Rangpur are the major wheat producing districts in Bangladesh (Rahman and Miah, 2017).

Bangladesh is not self-sufficient in wheat to feed her ever increasing population, but there is a possible scope to pull our food deficit by means of increasing wheat production with adoption of modern varieties scientific technologies and improved agronomic practices.

The utilization of biochar as an amendment to improve soil health and the environment has been a catalyst for the recent global enthusiasm for advancing biochar production technology and its management (Atkinson *et al.*, 2010). Biochar is carbonaceous material (Abbas *et al.*, 2020) produced by thermal pyrolysis of organic feed stocks under a very low oxygen atmosphere (Nawaz et al., 2022; Coomes and Miltner, 2017) or through hydrothermal carbonization of wet organic material by high pressure and mild temperatures (Libra et al., 2011). The biochar is comprised of plant macro (N, Ca, K, P etc.) as well as micro-nutrients (Cu Zn, B etc.) (Ippolito et al., 2015; Qayyum et al., 2015). Besides boosting soil fertility conditions, biochar application to soils can increase their nutrient retention, improve water holding capacity (Ullah et al., 2023; Mukhtar et al., 2020; Basso et al., 2013; Kinney et al., 2012), promote plant growth (Hossain et al., 2011), bind with pollutants and mitigate greenhouse gas emissions (Kammann et al., 2011). So, the judicious application of biochar may provide optimum yield of wheat by reducing the negative effect of water stress along with supply essential plant nutrients and improve soil physical properties such as reducing soil bulk density (Mukherjee and Lal, 2013, Busscher et al., 2011; Mankasingh et al., 2011), increases the water retention capacity (Li et al., 2015; Karhu et al., 2011; Vaccari et al., 2011; Brockhoff et al., 2010; Dugan et al., 2010; Laird et al., 2010; Briggs et al., 2005) and increases soil pH, EC, CEC of acidity soil (Abewa et al., 2014) and reduced fertilizers need for sustainable crop production. These changes will influence plant growth because the depth of roots and the availability of air and water within the root zone are largely determined by soil physical properties (Downie et al., 2009). Considering the above perspective, the present study has been designed with the following objectives: a) To examine how biochar affects wheat yield and characteristics that contribute to yield, b) To assess how biochar affects soil physicochemical properties for sustainable crop production.

Materials and methods

Experimental site

The research work was conducted at the Shere-Bangla Agricultural University farm, Dhaka-1207 during November 2021 to March 2022. The experimental area was located at $23^{0}77'$ N latitude and $90^{0}33'$ E longitude at an altitude of 8.6 meter above the sea level (Anon, 2004). The field was located at the southeast-west corner of main

academic building of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University.The experimental filed belongs to the Agro-Ecological Zone of The Madhupur Tract, AEZ-28 (Anon, 2003a). Over the Modhupur Clay, this region of complicated relief and soils that were produced with unconsolidated clay forming nearly level like topography which dissected locally having red and grey mottled compact Clay about 8m thick adjacent to Dhaka. The characteristics of the experimental soil are presented below (Table 1).

Table 1. Physical and chemical properties of experimental field soil at the beginning of experiment at a depth 0-15 cm of surface soil

Physical	Value	Chemical properties	Value
properties			
Mechanical		pH	5.6
fractions:		_	
% Sand (2.0-	27	Organic carbon (%)	0.45
0.02 mm)			
% Silt (0.02-	43	Total N (%)	0.03
0.002 mm)			
% Clay (<0.002	30	Available P (ppm)	20
<u>mm)</u>			
Textural class	Clay	Exchangeable K	0.1
	loam	(me/100g soil)	
		Available S (ppm)	18

Treatments of the experiment

There were 8 treatment combinations and these were as ; T_1 = Control (no chemical fertilizer & biochar), T_2 = RFD (Recommended Fertilizer Dose), T_3 = RFD + Biochar @ 1.0 t ha⁻¹, T_4 = RFD + Biochar @ 1.5 t ha⁻¹, T_5 = RFD + Biochar @ 2.0 t ha⁻¹, T_6 = 75% of RFD + Biochar @ 1.0 t ha⁻¹, T_7 = 75% of RFD + Biochar @ 1.5 t ha⁻¹, T_8 = 75% of RFD + Biochar @ 2.0 t ha⁻¹; RFD (Recommended Fertilizer Dose): for wheat N₁₅₀, P₂₅, K₁₀₀, S₁₅, Zn₂, B₁ kg ha⁻¹ (FRG, 2012).

Experimental design and layout

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. The fertilizers N, P, K, S, Zn and B in the form of Urea, Triple Super phosphate (TSP), Murate of Potash (MoP), Gypsum, Zinc sulphate and Boric acid respectively were applied. The entire amount of TSP, MoP, Gypsum, Zinc sulphate, Boric acid and 2/3rd of urea was applied during the final preparation of land. Rest of urea was top dressed after first irrigation. Biochar was collected from Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University (BSMRAU) & Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI). Then biochar was added to the soil of each plot as per assigned treatments of the time of final land preparation just before the application of chemical fertilizers. The seeds of wheat (BARI Gom-32) were sown in rows made by hand plough at the rate of 120 kg ha⁻¹. The seeds were sown in line having a depth of 2-3 cm from the soil surface. Seeds were then covered properly with loose soil. Row to row distance was 25 cm. Different intercultural operations were performed to provide better growth and development of the crop.

Table 2.	Methods	used t	for soil	sample	analysis
----------	---------	--------	----------	--------	----------

Element	Extraction method	Reference
Nitrogen	Micro-Kjeldahl method	Bremner and
(N)		Mulvaney, 1982
Phosphoru	so.5M NaHCO ₃ , pH 8.5	Olsen and
(P)	extraction method	Sommers, 1982
Potassium	NH ₄ OAc extraction, pH	Schollenberger,
(K)	7.0 (Flame photometry)	Simon, 1945
Sulphur	$CaCl_2$ extraction method	Fox <i>et al.,</i> 1964
(S)		

Harvest and post-harvest operations

On 16th March, 2022, the crop was harvested at maturity when 90% of the plants became brown in colour. The harvested crop of each individual plot was bundled separately. After harvesting, the samples were sun dried. Enough care was taken during threshing and cleaning period of wheat grain. Fresh weight of wheat grain and straw were recorded in m⁻² in plot wise. The grains were cleaned and weighed. The weight was adjusted to a moisture content of 14%. The yields of wheat grain and straw m⁻² were recorded and converted to t ha⁻¹.

Harvesting of crop and collection of data

The sampling of crop and soil was done at the time of harvest. Five plants were selected randomly from each plot. The selected plants of each plot were cut carefully at the soil surface level. The crop from 1.25 m^2 harvested area (leaving the boarder lines and destructive harvest line) was harvested as per

experimental treatments and then threshed. Seed were cleaned and properly dried under sun. Then seed yield in 1.25 m⁻² was recorded and converted to t ha⁻¹.The following data were collected during the research work; these were: Plant height, Spike length, Number of spikelets spike⁻¹, Number of grains spike⁻¹, 1000-grain weight, Grain yield and Straw yield.

Soil analysis

The collected samples were cleaned, air dried, sieved $(\leq 2 \text{ mm})$ and mixed properly and prepared working samples as per standard protocol. Soil samples were analyzed for determining the status of N, P, K, & S following the standard extraction methods as mentioned in Table 2. Soil analysis also includes texture, pH and organic carbon contents (Table 3).

Table 3. Analysis of soil properties

Parameter	Method	Reference
Texture	Hydrometer method	Jackson, 1973
рН	Glass electrode pH meter (1:2.5 soil-water ratio)	McLean, 1982
Organic carbon	Wet oxidation method	Nelson and Sommers, 1982

Statistical analysis

The data obtained for different parameters were statistically analysed to observe the level of significance following Statistical tool Statistix-10 and mean differences were determined using the Least Significant Difference (LSD) test at the 5% level of significance (Gomes and Gomes, 1984).

Results and discussion

Effect of biochar on Plant height (cm)

Application of various quantities of biochar coupled with chemical fertilizers had a substantial impact on plant height (Table 4). The T_5 (RFD + biochar @ 2 t ha⁻¹) treatment had the tallest plants at harvest (77 cm), while the T_1 (control) treatment had the shortest plants (60.40 cm). The use of various quantities of biochar in conjunction with RFD greatly boosted plant height. According to Schulz and Glaser (2012), adding biochar to the soil greatly increased plant growth. Both Mollick *et al.* (2020) and Carter *et al.* (2013) reported that the use of biochar considerably improved plant height.

Spike length (cm)

Spike length of wheat plant showed significant variation due to the application of different doses of biochar (Table 4). Among the different treatments T_4 (RFD + biochar @ 1.5 t ha⁻¹) showed the highest spike length (10.36cm) which was statistically similar to T_5 (RFD + biochar @ 2.0 t ha⁻¹) treatment. On the other hand, lowest spike length (6.60 cm) was observed in the treatment T_1 (control). Li and Shangguan (2018), Zee *et al.* (2017), Iqbal (2017), Gebremedhin *et al.* (2015), Alburquerque *et al.* (2013) investigated that spike length was influenced significantly by biochar application.

Spikelets spike-1

Spikelets spike⁻¹ was significantly influenced due to application of different levels of biochar (Table 4). The maximum spikelets 18.20 at harvesting stage was recorded from T_4 (RFD + biochar @ 1.5 t ha⁻¹) treatment which was statistically similar to T5 (RFD + biochar @ 2.0 t ha⁻¹) treatment whereas, the minimum spikelets 13.06 was recorded from T_1 (control) treatment. Spikelets spike⁻¹ was significantly increased due to application of different level of biochar. Biochar application with chemical fertilizers was able to increase spikelets per spike (Sadaf *et al.*, 2017).

Number of grains spike-1

Number of grains spike⁻¹ of wheat plant showed significant variation due to application of different doses of biochar (Table 4). Among the different biochar doses, T_4 (RFD + biochar @ 1.5 t ha⁻¹) treatment showed the maximum number of grains spike⁻¹ (47.08) which is statistically similar to T_5 (RFD + biochar @ 2.0 t ha⁻¹) treatment having of grains spike⁻¹ (46.33). On the other hand, minimum number of grains spike⁻¹ (28.33) was observed in T_1 (control) treatment. Application of biochar in soil significantly increased the number of grains spike⁻¹ over control treatment (Sadaf *et a,l.* 2017).

1000-seed weight (g plot-1)

1000-seed weight of wheat showed non-significant variation due to the application of different doses of biochar (Table 4). Among different treatments T_5 (RFD + biochar @ 2.0 t ha⁻¹) showed the maximum 1000-seed weight (50.4g) whereas the minimum 1000-seed weight (48.2g) was recorded in T_1 (control) treatment but such variation was statistically non-significant. The rest of the treatments also showed non-significant effect on the 1000-seed weight. Zaheer *et al.* (2019) reported that a higher 1000-grain weight results in a larger grain production per plant. Akter (2017) found that 1000-seed weight of wheat significantly influenced due to different doses of biochar.

Grain yield of wheat

Grain yield of wheat (t ha⁻¹) showed significant variation due to different doses of biochar application in combination with chemical fertilizers (Table 4). Among the different treatments T_5 (RFD + biochar @ 2.0 t ha⁻¹) treatment showed the highest grain yield (4.21 t ha⁻¹). On the other hand, minimum grain yield (2.10 t ha⁻¹) was observed in the T_1 (control) treatment. The second highest grain yield (4.02 t ha⁻¹) was recorded in T₄ (RFD + biochar @ 1.5 t ha⁻¹), which was statistically at par with rest of the treatments except control (T1). The treatment T2 (RFD), where only chemical fertilizers were used also produced identical yield (3.77 t ha-1) with biochar recommended treatments (T3-T8). This result revealed that integrated use of biochar and chemical fertilizers did not bring significant yield variation over chemical fertilizers alone although biochar recommended treatments produced 4-12% higher yield over sole application of chemical fertilizer (T₂). Similar results were also found in Jeffery et al. (2022), Mollick et al. (2020), Gebremedhin et al. (2015), Nair et al. 2014, Cartar et al. (2013), Zhang et al. (2012), Van-Zwieten et al. (2010). Integrated application of biochars and chemical fertilizer resulted in higher grain yield, nitrogen uptake and soil carbon content than control or from the sole application of biochar (Sadaf et al., 2017).

Table 4. Effect of biochar on plant height (cm), spike length (cm), spikelet spike-1, grains spike-1, 1000-seed weight (g plot-1), grain yield (ton ha-1) and straw yield (ton ha-1)

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Spike length (cm)	Spikelet spike ⁻¹	Grains spike-1	1000-seed weight (g plot ⁻ 1)	Grain yield (ton ha ⁻¹)	straw yield (ton ha-1)
T_1	60.40 ^d	6.60 ^c	13.06 ^c	28.33 ^e	48.2	2.10 ^c	1.96 ^c
T_2	71.26 ^{bc}	9.30 ^{ab}	16.73 ^{ab}	42.38^{abcd}	49.77	3.77^{ab}	3.09 ^{ab}
T_3	71.53^{bc}	9.33 ^{ab}	17.13 ^{ab}	44.86 ^{abc}	50.03	3.96 ^{ab}	3.45^{a}
T_4	76.16 ^{ab}	10.36 ^a	18.20 ^a	47.08 ^a	49.77	4.02 ^b	3.45^{a}
T_5	77.0 ^a	9.80 ^{ab}	17.96 ^a	46.33 ^{ab}	50.4	4.21 ^a	3.18^{ab}
T ₆	72.16 ^{abc}	8.70 ^{ab}	15.56 ^b	37.80^{d}	48.4	3.79^{ab}	3.22^{ab}
T_7	69.76 ^c	8.40 ^b	15.60 ^b	38.86^{cd}	48.47	3.81 ^{ab}	2.61 ^{bc}
T ₈	72.56 ^{abc}	8.90 ^{ab}	15.93 ^{ab}	40.60 ^{bcd}	48.77	3.91 ^{ab}	2.71^{b}
LSD (0.05)	5.2109	1.6915	2.3593	6.2667	NS	0.98	0.72

Straw yield of wheat

Biochar in combination with chemical fertilizers also produced significantly higher straw yield over control (Table 4). The highest straw yield (3.45 t ha^{-1}) was observed in both T₃ (RFD + biochar @ 1.0 t ha⁻¹) and T₄ (RFD + biochar @ 1.5 t ha⁻¹) and they were significantly higher over T₁, T₇ and T₈ but significantly identical to rest of the treatments. The combination of biochar over sole application of RDF (T₂) was 3 to 12% only. According to Biederman and Harpole, (2013) and Liu *et al.* (2013) application of biochar in soil has been reported to increase by 10% plant productivity and 25% for aboveground biomass.

Bulk density

There was a decreasing trend of soil bulk density due to application of different levels of biochar in combination with chemical fertilizers (Table 5). The highest bulk density (1.40 g/cc) was recorded in T₁ (control) and T₂ (100% RFD) which was exactly similar to that of initial soil. However due to application of biochar the bulk density of soil slightly reduced to (1.30 g/cc) at the best T₈ (75% of RFD + biochar @ 2.0 t ha⁻¹) treatment. Bulk density decreased with the biochar concentration increased. Chen *et al.* (2010), Laird *et al.* (2010) investigated that biochar application significantly decreased SBD compared to the control group.

Low SBD can enhance soil structure, aid in nutrient release and retention and significantly lessen soil compaction. This is due to the fact that biochar typically has a lower bulk density than soil, which lowers the bulk density of the soil (Verheijen *et al.*, 2009). After the addition of biochar, the soil influence soil fungal growth and microbial activity and enhances soil agglomeration besides SBD also affects the development of roots and hyphae (Steiner *et al.* 2007). Adding biochar to soil has been recorded to greatly reduce SBD and raise total porosity (Oguntunde *et al.*, 2008; Qin *et al.*, 2016). Blanco-Canqui (2017) stated that biochar application may reduce BD and its ability to improve soil aggregation and stability, which in turn improves soil porosity.

Particle density

Soil particle density was significantly influenced due to application of different levels of biochar (Table 5). Among the different biochar doses T₁ (control) treatment showed the highest particle density (2.62 g/cc). On the other hand, lowest particle density (2.35 g/cc) was observed in T8 (75% of RFD + biochar @ 2.0 t ha-1) treatment. Particle density decreased with the biochar concentration increased. Githinji (2014) found that particle density decreased linearly $(r_2 =$ 0.915) with biochar application at rates of 0, 25, 50, 75, and 100% by volume. The particle density values were 2.62 g cm⁻³ for 0%, 2.43 g cm⁻³ for 25%, 2.37 g cm⁻³ for 50%, 2.09 g cm⁻³ for 75%, and 1.60 g cm⁻³ for 100% application rate of biochar, indicating that application of biochar at 100% by volume can reduce particle density by 64%. In a field study, Usowicz et al. (2016) reported that application of 30 Mg ha⁻¹ of wood biochar reduced soil particle density from 2.55 to 2.20 g cm⁻³ (14% decrease).

Table 5. Effect of biochar on Organic carbon, Organic matter, Total nitrogen (N) Available phosphorus (P), Exchangeable potassium (K) and Available sulphur(S)

Treatment	Bulk	Particle	Porosity	pН	Organic C	Total N	Available	Exchangeable	Available
	density	density	(%)		(%)	(%)	P(ppm)	K(cmol/kg	Sulphur
	(g/cc)	(g/cc)						soil)	(ppm)
T_1	1.40 ^a	2.62 ^a	44.68 ^d	6.0	0.67 ^h	0.05^{h}	16.5 ^e	0.12 ^e	12.25 ^c
T_2	1.40 ^a	2.54^{ab}	43.88 ^h	6.0	0.69 ^g	0.063 ^g	20.66 ^d	0.15^{d}	15.75 ^{bc}
T_3	1.38 ^{ab}	2.47^{ab}	44.13 ^g	6.07	0.70 ^f	0.078 ^c	22.2C ^d	0.16 ^c	16.25 ^b
T_4	$1.35^{\rm cd}$	2.42 ^{ab}	44.21^{f}	6.15	$0.72^{\rm e}$	0.084 ^b	27.2 ^a	0.17 ^c	19.25 ^{ab}
T_5	1.32 ^{ef}	2.39^{b}	44.77 ^c	6.17	0.74 ^c	0.086 ^a	26.67 ^{ab}	0.21 ^a	21.33 ^a
T_6	1.36 ^{bc}	2.44 ^{ab}	44.26 ^e	6.09	0.73^{d}	0.069 ^f	$25.5^{ m abc}$	0.19 ^b	20.5 ^a
T_7	1.33 ^{de}	2.37^{b}	44.88 ^b	6.10	0.75^{b}	0.072^{e}	23.25^{cd}	0.17 ^c	16.0 ^{bc}
T8	1.30^{f}	2.35^{b}	46.56 ^a	6.13	0.76 ^a	0.075^{d}	23.5^{bcd}	0.16 ^c	19.25 ^{ab}
LSD (0.05)	0.022	0.2313	0.0351	NS	8.10	0.04	3.34	0.02	3.98

Porosity

Soil porosity was significantly influenced due to application of different levels of biochar (Table 5). It was found that T_8 (75% of RFD + biochar @ 2.0 t ha⁻¹) treatment showed the highest (46.56%) porosity and lowest porosity (43.88%) was observed in T_2 (RFD) treatment. Porosity increased with the biochar concentration increased. Devereux *et al.* (2013) investigate that compared to the control soil, when the 1.5% biochar soils had lower porosities and the 5% biochar soils had higher porosities, with the 2.5% biochar decreasing porosity in the first scan and increasing in the second scan. The variable effect of biochar on soil total porosity. Jones *et al.* (2010) found that the percentage of total porosity decreased with increasing biochar. The application of biochar causes the soil pore size distribution to change to smaller pore size and positively effects crop growth (Dokoohaki *et al.*, 2017). The change in soil porosity was detected in the range of 5–10 and 25 μ m after adding biochar (Rasa *et al.*, 2018). Sun and Lu (2014) observed that the addition straw biochar increases the number of macropores and mesopores in clay soils. The use of biochar not only changes soil porosity but also promotes pore reorganization, which alters soil pore distribution.

In addition to modifying soil porosity and pore distribution and enhancing soil pore connectivity, biochar can also boost air and water circulation, reduce soil compaction, and enhance soil fertility (Zhang *et al.*, 2021).

Soil pH

Soil pH was non-significantly influenced due to application of different levels of biochar (Table 5).It was found that almost all the treatment brought approximately same value, but among them T₅ slightly better. Though all the treatment resulted in statistically similar pH value, yet numerically the maximum soil pH (6.17) was recorded in T_5 (RFD + Biochar @ 2.0 t ha-1) while the lowest soil pH was found in T_1 when the plot treated with no biochar. Alburquerque et al. (2013) disagreed with present investigation, they investigated that implementation of the wheat crop, biochar significantly increased soil pH from 6.5 in the control soil to 8.2 and 7.6 in the soil treated with the highest biochar application rate. Indawan et al. (2018), Yang et al. (2015), Collins et al. (2013), Dou et al. (2012) and Moses (2011) reported that Biochar had the potentiality to increase soil pH. The agricultural soil pH increased by almost 1 pH unit for biochar treatment (Laird et al., 2010).

Organic carbon

Significant different in soil organic carbon was found due to application of different level of biochar (Table 5). It was found that the highest organic carbon (0.76%) was recorded in T₈ (75% of RFD + Biochar @ 2.0 t ha-1) treatment while the lowest organic carbon (0.67%) was recorded from T_1 (control) treatment. The increase in soil organic matter was due to increase in organic carbon as the rate of biochar application increased. The results of our findings were in line with the findings of Indawan et al. (2018), Yang et al. (2015), Borchard et al. (2014), Zheng et al. (2013) and Baronti et al. (2010) who found that soil amended with biochar increased the soil organic carbon. Increase in organic C (up to 69%) due to biochar application was found by Laird et al. (2010), Haque et al. (2021) investigate that carbon contents were increased by 25-33% from the initial levels. Diatta (2016) stated that biochar application to soils significantly increased total soil C compared to

un-amended soils. Xu *et al.* (2015) reported that addition of biochar improves total soil C. Wang *et al.* (2016) observed similar results after application of biochar.

Total Nitrogen (N)

Application of Biochar significantly influence the total nitrogen (%) content of soil. The maximum total nitrogen (0.086%) was recorded in T_5 (RFD + Biochar @ 2 t ha⁻¹) treatment and minimum was recorded from control treatment (Table 5). We found that biochar application increased the total nitrogen (%) content of soil. Such an effect could be interpreted as CEC was increased due to the presence of cation exchange sites on the biochar surface (Lehmann, 2007; Sohi *et al.*, 2010). This contributed to retain higher NH₄⁺ concentrations leading to improved N nutrition in the biochar-amended soil (Hollister *et al.*, 2013). Liard *et al.* (2010) found that the biochar amendments significantly increased total N (up to 7%).

Available phosphorus

Available phosphorus in soil significantly influenced due to application of different levels of biochar (Table 5). It was found that the maximum available phosphorus (27.2 ppm) was recorded in T₄ (RFD + biochar @ 1.5 t ha-1) which was statistically similar with T_5 (RFD + biochar @ 2.0 t ha⁻¹) (26.67 ppm), T_6 (RFD + biochar @ 1.5 t ha⁻¹) (25.5 ppm) while the lowest available phosphorus (16.5 ppm) was recorded in T₁ (control) treatment. Xu et al. (2014) showed that biochar affects P availability by interaction with other organic and inorganic components in the soil, including organic matter or other base cations in the soil. Atkinson et al. (2010) reviewed that biochar can enhance availability and plant uptake of P and it acts as source of soluble P salts and exchangeable P forms. Most of the applied P becomes unavailable to plant due to sorption, precipitation, and microbial immobilization processes, which can remove phosphate ions from the soil solution (Zhu et al., 2018). The biochar surface is often negatively charged and can directly adsorb cations such as Ca²⁺, Al³⁺, Fe²⁺, and Fe³⁺, resulting in a decrease in P sorption and precipitation (Xu et al., 2014).

Exchangeable potassium (K)

Exchangeable potassium was significantly influenced by different treatment. The highest exchangeable potassium (0.21 cmol/kg soil) was recorded in T_5 treatment while the lowest exchangeable potassium (0.12 cmol/kg soil) was recorded in T_1 treatment (Table 5). Wang *et al.* (2014) indicated that the amounts of the extractable K increased by biochar addition and they found that the K content of soil increased from 0.11 to 0.83 cmol kg⁻¹ soil.

Available Sulphur (S)

The available Sulphur (ppm) in soil significantly influenced due to the application of different level of biochar (Table 5). From figure 15, it was found that the maximum available Sulphur (21.33 ppm) was recorded in T_5 (RFD + biochar @ 2.0 t ha⁻¹) treatment while the minimum (12.25 ppm) was recorded in T_1 (control) treatment. But Liard *et al.* (2010) found that extractable S decreased with increasing levels of biochar.

Conclusion

Wheat growth, grain yield and postharvest soil quality were significantly increased by the application of recommended dose of fertilizer along with Biochar at different level. Application of 2kg tha⁻¹ along with recommended dose of fertilizer showed the best results in respect of crop yield, yield contributing parameters like, spike length , spikelets spike⁻¹, grains spike⁻¹, 1000-seed weight , grain yield and straw yield and postharvest soil bulk density (g/cc), particle density (g/cc), porosity (%), pH, organic carbon (%), organic matter (%), available P (ppm), available S (ppm).

References

Abbas A, Azeem M, Naveed M, Latif A, Bashir S, Ali A, Bilal M, Ali L. 2020. Synergistic use of biochar and acidified manure for improving growth of maize in chromium contaminated soil. International Journal of Phytoremediation **22(1)**, 52-61.

Abewa A, Yitaferu B, Selassie YG, Amare T. 2014. The role of biochar on acid soil reclamation and yield of teff (eragrostistef [Zucc] trotter) in Northwestern Ethiopia **6**, 1-12. **Akter M.** 2017. Effect of Biochar on the growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under reduced irrigation condition. M.S. thesis, SAU, Dhaka.

Alburquerque JA, Calero JM, Barron V, Torrent J, Del-Campillo MC, Gallardo A, Villar R. 2013. Effects of biochars produced from different feedstocks on soil properties and sunflower growth. Journal of Plant Nutrion and Soil Science 177(1), 16-25.

Anonymous. 2003a. The year book of production. FAO, Rome, Italy.

Anonymous.2004. Effect of seedling throwing on the grain yield of wart land rice compared to other planting methods. Crop soil water management program agronomy division, BRRI, Gazipur-1710. p. 56.

Atkinson CJ, Fitzgerald JD, Hipps NA. 2010. Potential mechanisms for achieving agricultural benefits from biochar application to temperate soils: a review. Plant Soil **33**, 1–18.

Baronti S, Alberti G, Vedove GD, Di Gennaro F, Fellet G, Genesio L, Miglietta F, Peressotti A, Vaccari FP. 2010. The biochar option to improve plant yields: first results from some field and pot experiments in Italy. Italian Journal of agronomy **5**, 3-11.

Basso AS, Miguez FE, Laird DA, Horton R, Westgate M. 2013. Assessing potential of biochar for increasing water-holding capacity of sandy soils. GCB Bioenergy **5(2)**, 132-143.

BBS. 2020. Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. Statistics Division, Ministry of Planning, Govt. of the People's Republic of Bangladesh. p. 76.

BBS. 2022. Statistical year book of Bangladesh. Statistics Division, Ministry of Planning, Govt. of the People Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka. pp. 1-8.

Biederman LA, Harpole WS. 2013. Biochar and its effects on plant productivity and nutrient cycling: a meta-analysis. GCB Bioenergy **5(2)**, 202-214.

Blanco-Canqui H. 2017. Biochar and Soil Physical Properties. Soil Science Society of America Journal **81(4)**, 687-711.

Borchard N, Siemens J, Ladd B, Moeller A, Amelung W. 2014. Application of biochars to sandy and silty soil failed to increase maize yield under common agricultural practice. Soil and Tillage Research **144**, 184-194.

Bremner JM, Mulvaney CS. 1983. Nitrogentotal. Methods of soil analysis: Part 2 chemical and microbiological properties **9**, 595-624.

Briggs CM, Breiner J, Graham RC. 2005. Contributions of Pinus Ponderosa Charcoal to Soil Chemical and Physical Properties. The ASA-CSSA-SSSA

Brockhoff SR, Christians NE, Killorn RJ, Horton R, Davis DD. 2010. Physical and mineralnutrition properties of sand-based turfgrass root zones amended with biochar. Agronomy Journal **102(6)**, 1627-1631.

Busscher WJ, Novak JM, Ahmedna M. 2011. Physical effects of organic matter amendment of a south-easten us coastal loamy sand. Open Journal of Soil Science **176**, 661-667.

Carter S, Shackley S, Sohi S, Suy TB, Haefele S. 2013. The impact of biochar application on soil properties and plant growth of pot grown lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) and cabbage (*Brassica chinensis*). Journal of Agronomy **3(2)**, 404-418.

Chen Y, Shinogi Y, Taira M. 2010. Influence of biochar use on sugarcane growth, soil parameters and ground water quality. Australian Journal of Soil Research. **48**, 526-530.

Collins HP, Streubel J, Alva A, Porter L, Chaves B. 2013. Phosphorus Uptake by Potato from Biochar Amended with Anaerobic Digested Dairy Manure Effluent. Agronomy Journal. **105(4)**, 989-998. **Coomes OT, Miltner BC.** 2017. Indigenous Charcoal and Biochar Production: Potential for soil improvement under shifting cultivation systems. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences and Technology **28(3)**, 811-821.

Devereux RC, Sturrock CJ, Mooney SJ. 2013. The effects of biochar on soil physical properties and winter wheat growth. Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh **103**, 13–18.

Diatta AA. 2016. Effects of biochar application on soil fertility and pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) yield. Master of Science in crop and soil environmental sciences. Faculty of the Virginia polytechnic institute and state university.

Dokoohaki H, Miguez FE, Laird D, Horton R, Basso AS. 2017. Assessing the biochar effects on selected physical properties of a sandy soil; an analitical approch. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis **48**, 1387-1398.

Dou L, Komatsuzaki M, Nakagawa M. 2012. Effects of biochar, mokusakueki and bokashi application on soil nutrients, yields and qualities of sweet potato. International Research Journal of Agricultural Science and soil Science **2(8)**, 318-327.

Downie A, Crosky A, Munroe P. 2009. Physical properties of biochar. In Lehmann, J. L. & Joseph, S. (Eds) Biochar for environmental management: Science and Technology. 13-32. London: Earthscan.

Dugan E, Verhoef A, Robinson S, Sohi S. 2010. Bio-char from sawdust, maize stover and charcoal: Impact on water holding capacities (WHC) of three soils from Ghana. In 19th world congress of soil science, soil solutions for a changing world 11. Brisbane, Australia: Published on DVD.

FAO. 2008. World fertilizer trends and outlook. Italy, Rome.

FAO. 2022. World fertilizer trends and outlook. Italy, Rome.

Fox CA, Siegesmund KA, Dutta CR. 1964. The Purkinje cell dendritic branchlets and their relation with the parallel fibers: Light and electronmicroscopic observations. Morphological and Biochemical Correlates of Neural Activity, M. M. Cohen and R. S. Snider, Editors. New York, Harper and Row, pp. 112-141.

FRG. 2012. Fertilizer Recommendation Guide, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council (BARC), Farmgate, Dhaka-1215. p 274.

Gebremedhin GH, Haileselassie B, Berhe D, Belay T. 2015. Effect of biochar on yield and yield components of wheat and post-harvest soil properties in Tigray, Ethiopia. Journal of Fertilizers & Pesticides **6**, 158.

Githinji L. 2014. Effect of biochar application rate on soil physical and hydraulic properties of a sandy loam. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science **60**, 457-470.

Gomez KA, Gomez AA. 1984. Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research (2nd Edn.). International Rice Research Institute, A Wiley-Interscience Publication pp 28-192.

Grote U, Fasse A, Nguyen TT, and Erenstein O. 2021. Food Security and the dynamics of wheat and maize value chains in Africa and Asia. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems. **4**, 61-70.

Halecki W, Bedla D. 2022. Global wheat production and threats to supply chains in a volatile climate change and energy crisis. Resources **11(12)**, 118.

Haque ANA, Uddin MK, Sulaiman MF, Amin AM, Hossain M, Solaiman ZM, Mosharrof M. 2021. Biochar with alternate wetting and drying irrigation: A potential technique for paddy soil management. Agriculture 11, 367.

Hollister CC, Bisogni JJ, Lehmann J. 2013. Ammonium, nitrate, and phosphate sorption to and solute leaching from biochars prepared from corn stover (*Zea mays* L.) and oak wood (*Quercus* spp.). Journal of Environmental Quality **42**, 137–144. Hossain MK, Strezov V, Chan KY, Ziolkowski A, Nelson PF. 2011. Influence of pyrolysis temperature on production and nutrient properties of wastewater sludge biochar. Journal of Environment Management **92(1)**, 223-228.

Indawan E, Lestari SU, Thiasari N. 2018. Sweet potato response to biochar application on suboptimal dry land. Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management **5(2)**, 1133-1139.

Ippolito J, Stromberger M, Lentz R, Dungan R. 2015. Hardwood biochar influences calcareous soil physicochemical and microbiological status. Journal of Environmental Quality **43**, 681-689.

Iqbal MT. 2017. Utilization of biochar in improving yield of wheat in Bangladesh. *Bulgarian* Journal of Soil Science **2(1)**, 53-74.

Jackson WA, Flesher D, Hageman RH. 1973. Nitrate uptake by dark-grown corn seedlings: Some characteristics of apparent induction. Plant Physiology **51(1)**, 120-127.

Javid IM, Shams N, Fatima K. 2022. Nutritional Quality of Wheat. J. Wheat 1, 2-12.

Jeffery S, Van de Voorde TFJ, Harris WE, Mommer L, Groenigen JWV, Deyn GBD, Ekelund F, Briones MJI, Bezemer TM. 2022. Biochar application differentially affects soil micro-, meso-macro-fauna and plant productivity within a nature restoration grassland. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 174, 108-789.

Jones BEH, Haynes RJ, Phillips IR. 2010. Effect of amendment of bauxite processing sand with organic materials on its chemical, physical and microbial properties. Journal of Environment Management **91(22)**, 81-88.

Kammann CI, Linsel S, Gößling JW, Koyro H. 2011. Influence of biochar on drought tolerance of *Chenopodium quinoa* Willd and on soil-plant relations. Plant Soil **345**, 95-210. Karhu K, Mattila T, Bergström I, Regina K. 2011. Biochar addition to agricultural soil increased CH_4 uptake and water holding capacity-results from a short-term pilot field study. Agriculture Ecosystem and Environment **140(1-2)**, 309-313.

Kinney TJ, Masiello CA, Dugan B, Hockaday WC, Dean MR, Zygourakis K, Barnes RT. 2012. Hydrologic properties of biochars produced at different temperatures. Biomass and Bioenergy **41**, 34-43.

Laird D, Fleming P, Wang B, Horton R, Karien D. 2010. Biochar impact on nutrient leaching from a Midwestern agricultural soil. Geoderma **185**, 436-442.

Laird DA, Fleming P, Davis DD, Horton R, Wang BQ, Karlen DL. 2010. Impact of biochar amendments on the quality of a typical Midwestern agricultural soil. Geoderma 158(3-4), 443–449.

Lehmann J. 2007. A handful of carbon. Nature **447(4)**, 143–144.

Li S, Shangguan Z. 2018. Positive effects of apple branch biochar on wheat yield only appear at a low application rate, regardless of nitrogen and water conditions. Journal of Soil Sediments 18, 3235-3243.

Li Z, Qi X, Fan X, Wu H, Du Z, Li P, Lü M. 2015. Influences of biochars on growth, yield, water use efficiency and root morphology of winter wheat. Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering **31(12)**, 119-124.

Libra JA, Ro KS, Kammann C, Funke A, Berge ND, Neubauer Y, Titirici M, Fühner C, Bens O, Kern J, Emmerich K. 2011. Hydrothermal carbonization of biomass residuals: A comparative review of the chemistry, processes and applications of Wet and Dry Pyrolysis **2(1)**, 71-106.

Liu X, Zhang A, Ji C, Joseph S, Bian R, Li L, Pan G, Paz-Ferreiro J. 2013. Biochars effect on crop productivity and the dependence on experimental conditions-a meta-analysis of literature data. Plant Soil **373**, 583-594. Mankasingh U, Choi P, Ragnarsdottir V. 2011. Biochar application in a tropical, agricultural region: A plot scale study in. Appl. Geochem. **26**, 218-221.

McLean LCIW, Foster WD, Zimmerman LE. 1982. Uveal melanoma: location, size, cell type, and enucleation as risk factors in metastasis. Human Pathology **13(2)**, 123-132.

Mollick M, Paul A, Alam I, Sumon M. 2020. Effect of Biochar on Yield and Quality of Potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) Tuber. International Journal Bio Resources and. Stress Management **11(5)**, 445-450.

Moses HD, Sai G, Hagan EB. 2011. Biochar production potential in Ghana-A review. Renewable Sustainable Energy Reviews **15**, 3539-3551.

Mukherjee A, Lal R. 2013. Biochar impacts on soil physical properties and greenhouse gas emissions. Indian Journal of Agronomy **42(4)**, 510-516.

Mukhtar A, Amen R, Yaseen M, Klemeš JJ, Saqib S, Ullah S, Al-Sehemi AG, Rafiq S, Babar M, Fatt CL, Ibrahim M, Asif S, Qureshi KS, Akbar MM, and Bokhari A. 2020. Lead and cadmium removal from wastewater using eco-friendly biochar adsorbent derived from rice husk, wheat straw and corncob. Cleaner Engineering and Technology 1, 10-34.

Nair A, Kruse RA, Tillman JL, Lawson V. 2014. Biochar application in potato production. Iowa state Res. farm progress Rep., 2027. http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms reports/2027 Last accessed Dec. 16th 2017.

Nawaz F, Rafeeq R, Majeed S, Ismail MS, Ahsan M, Ahmad KS, Akram A, Haider G. 2022. Biochar amendment in combination with endophytic bacteria stimulates photosynthetic activity and antioxidant enzymes to improve soybean yield under drought stress. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition **23**, 746-760.

Nelson DW, Sommers LE. 1982. Total carbon, organic carbon and organic matter. In A. L. Page, R. H. Miller, and D. R. Keeney (Eds.). Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. Agronomy **9**, 539-579.

Oguntunde PG, Abiodun BJ, Ajayi AE, Giesen NV. 2008. Effect of charcoal production on soil physical properties in Ghana. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science **171**, 591-596.

Olsen SR, Sommers LE. 1982. Phosphorus. In: Page, A.L., Miller, R.H., Keeney, D.R. (Eds.). Methods of Soil Analyses, Part 2, Chemical and Microbiological Properties, 2nd ed. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI, USA, pp. 403–430.

Page AL, Miller RH, Keeney DR. 1982. Methods of analysis part 2, chemical and microbiological properties, second edition American society of agronomy, Inc., soil science society of American Inc. Madson, Wisconsin, USA. pp. 403-430.

Qayyum MF, Abid M, Danish S, Saeed MK, Ali MA. 2015. Effect of biochars on seed germination and carbon mineralization in an alkaline soil. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Science **51(4)**, 977-982.

Qin X, Li Y, Wang H, Liu J, Li J, Wan Y, Gao Q, Fan F, Liao Y. 2016. Long-term effect of biochar application on yield-scaled greenhouse gas emissions in a rice paddy cropping system: A four-year case study in south China. Science Total Environment 569-570, 1390-1401.

Rahman DMM, Miah MG. 2017. Wheat production in north-west region of Bangladesh. Bangladesh Journal of Administration and Management **15**, 1-19.

Rasa K, Heikkinen J, Hannula M, Arstila K, Kulju S, Hyvaluoma J. 2018. How and why does willow biochar increase a clay soil water retention capacity. Biomass Bioenergy **119**, 346-356.

Sadaf J, Shah GA, Shahzad K, Ali N, Shahid M, Ali S, Hussain A, Ahmed ZI, Traore B, Ismail IMI, Rashid MI. 2017. Improvements in wheat productivity and soil quality can accomplish by coapplication of biochars and chemical fertilizers. Science Total Environment **607–608**, 715–724. Schollenberger C, Simon R. 1945. Determination of exchange capacity and exchangeable bases in soil-ammonium acetate method. Soil science **59(1)**, 13-24.

Schulz H, Glaser B. 2012. Effects of biochar compared to organic and inorganic fertilizers on soil quality and plant growth in a greenhouse experiment. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science **175(3)**, 410-422.

Sohi SP, Krull E, López-Capel E, Bol R. 2010. A review of biochar and its use and function in soil. Advance Agronomy **105**, 47–82.

Steiner C, Teixeira WG, Lehmann J, Nehls T, De Macedo JLV, Blum WE, Zech W. 2007. Long term effects of manure, charcoal and mineral fertilization on crop production and fertility on a highly weathered Central Amazonian upland soil. Plant Soil **291(1-2)**, 275-290.

Sun FF, Lu SG. 2014. Biochars improve aggregate stability, water retention and pore space properties of clayey soil. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science **177**, 26-33.

Ullah S, Ali I, Yang M, Zhao Q, Iqbal A, Wu X, Ahmad S, Muhammad I, Khan A, Adnan M, Yuan P, Jiang L. 2023. Partial substitution of urea with biochar induced improvements in soil enzymes activity, ammonia-nitrite oxidizers and nitrogen uptake in the double-cropping rice system. Microorganisms 11(2), 527.

USDA 2022. United state department of agriculture. Foreign and agricultural service. Anreport on grain and feed update in Bangladesh. p: 5.

Usowicz B, Lipiec J, Lukowski M, Marczewski W, Usowicz J. 2016. The effect of biochar application on thermal properties and albedo of loess soil under grassland and fallow. Soil Tillage Research **164**, 45–51.

Vaccari FP, Baronti S, Lugato E, Genesio, Castaldi S, Fornasier F, Miglietta F. 2011. Biochar as a strategy to sequester carbon and increase yield in durum wheat. European Journal of Agronomy **34(4)**, 231-238.

Van-Zwieten L, Kimber S, Morris S, Chan K, Downie A, Rust J, Joseph S, Cowie A. 2010. Effects of biochar from slow pyrolysis of paper mill waste on agronomic performance and soil fertility. Plant Soil **327(1)**, 235-246.

Verheijen FGA, Jefferey S, Bastos AC, Velde M, Diafas I. 2009. Biochar application to soils a critical scientific review of effects on soil properties, processes and functions. JRC scientific and technical report EUR 24099 EN. Luxembourg: Office for the official publications of the European communities. p.149.

Wang J, Xiong Z, Kuzyakov Y. 2016. Biochar stability in soil: meta-analysis of decomposition and priming effects. GCB Bioenergy **8**, 512–523.

Xu C, Bai S H, Hao Y, Rachaputi RCN, Xu Z, Wallace HM. 2015. Peanut shell biochar improves soil properties and peanut kernel quality on a red Ferrosol. Journal of Soils Sediments 15, 2220-2231.

Xu G, Sun J, Shao H, Chang SX. 2014. Biochar had effects on phosphorus sorption and desorption in three soils with differing acidity. Ecological Engineering **62**, 54-60.

Xu G, Sun JN, Shao HB, Chang SX. 2014. Biochar had effects on phosphorus sorption and desorption in three soils with differing acidity. Ecological Engineering **62**, 54–60.

Yang Y, Ma S, Zhao Y, Jing M, Xu Y, Chen J. 2015. A field experiment on enhancement of crop yield by rice straw and corn stalk-derived biochar in Northern China. Sustainability 7, 13713-13725.

Zaheer MS, Raza MAS, Saleem MF, Khan IH, Ahmad S, Iqbal R, Manevski K. 2019. Investigating the effect of *Azospirillum brasilense* and *Rhizobium pisi* on agronomic traits of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science **65**, 1554–1564.

Zee TE, Nelson NO, Newdigger G. 2017. Biochar and nitrogen effects on winter wheat growth. Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports. **3(3)**, 6.

Zhang A, Bian R, Pan G, Cui L, Hussain Q, Li L, Zheng J, Zheng J, Zhang X, Han X. 2012. Effects of biochar amendment on soil quality, crop yield and greenhouse gas emission in a Chinese rice paddy: A field study of 2 consecutive rice growing cycles. Field Crops Resource **127**, 153–160.

Zhang Y, Wang J, Feng Y. 2021. The effects of biochar addition on soil physicochemical properties. Catena **202**, 105-284.

Zheng P, Sun H, Yu L, Sun T. 2013. Adsorption and catalytic hydrolysis of carbaryl and atrazine on pig manure-derived biochars: impact of structural properties of biochars. Journal of Hazardous Materials **244**, 217-224.

Zhu J, Li M, Whelan M. 2018. Phosphorus activators contribute to legacy phosphorus availability in agricultural soils: a review. Science of the Total Environment **612**, 522–537.