J. Bio. & Env. Sci. 2024



Journal of Biodiversity and Environmental Sciences (JBES) ISSN: 2220-6663 (Print) 2222-3045 (Online) Vol. 24, No. 6, p. 69-78, 2024 http://www.innspub.net

**RESEARCH PAPER** 

# OPEN ACCESS

Perception and mechanisms for managing complaints and conflicts arising from the contamination of soil and crops by pesticides in the cotton zone of North-West Benin

Hervé Kouessivi Janvier Bokossa<sup>\*1</sup>, Daouda Orou Bello<sup>2</sup>, Yêyinou Laura Estelle Loko<sup>3</sup>, Gounou Alexandre Saka<sup>1</sup>, Djimon Bruno Ahouanse<sup>1</sup>, Parfait Djossou<sup>1</sup>, Roch Christian Johnson<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Hygiene-Sanitation, Eco-Toxicology and Environment-Health (HECOTES), Interfaculty Centre for Training and Research in Environment for Sustainable Development, University of Abomey-Calavi (CIFRED/UAC), Cotonou, Benin <sup>2</sup>Integrated Soil and Crop Management (ISCM) Unit, Soil Sciences Laboratory, School of Plant Production Sciences and Techniques, Faculty of Agronomic Sciences, University of Abomey-Calavi, Cotonou, Benin <sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Applied Zoology and Plant Health (ZASVE), National University of Sciences, Technologies, Engineering and Mathematics (UNSTIM), Dassa, Benin

Article published on June 09, 2024

Key words: Contamination, Pesticide, Conflict, Soil, Benin

# Abstract

To analyze the farmers' perceptions of the existence of conflicts, their management mechanisms, and common human diseases linked to the pesticide uses, 200 randomly selected farmers were interviewed throughout 20 villages in the Kérou and Péhunco municipalities in Benin. The results of the study indicate that the age of producers ( $40.18\pm1.30$  years) is not significantly different (p>0.05). The largest areas allocated to different speculations are mainly found in the commune of Kérou (p<0.01 to p<0.001). The majority of farmers (96%) reported that pesticides are helpful in the pests and weeds control and foster good yields but their use harms health, impoverishes the soil, and disrupts aquatic ecosystems and plant life cycles. Furthermore, farmers (55%) revealed that the region faces problems of contamination or excessive toxicity of food products caused by pesticides, which is creating conflicts among cotton and food farmers. Dialogue (51%), the intervention of the farmers' association committee (25%) and the intervention of the Territorial Agency for Agricultural Development (ATDA) municipal unit (20%) were the main management mechanisms of conflicts. In perspective, it is possible to lay the foundations for preventive actions and responsible cotton production to avoid the emergence of land conflicts between farmers, promoting peace.

\*Corresponding Author: Hervé Kouessivi Janvier Bokossa 🖂 riqbokossa@gmail.com

Chemical pesticides have been used worldwide to control crop pests and diseases since the second half of the 20th century (Soro et al., 2018; Chen, 2019). Unfortunately, substituted ureas. triazines. organochlorines, pyrethroids, organophosphates, and other chemicals pesticides families can be found in ecosystems (Sharma et al., 2018). Indeed, even at very low levels in the environment, some of them have toxic properties (Yao et al., 2018). Their spreading in the environment through spraying or precipitation thus affects non-target organisms and causes an imbalance in the entire ecosystem. The World Health Organization (WHO) indicates that approximately 3 million cases of pesticide poisoning are reported each year, resulting in the deaths of more than 250,000 people worldwide (Oguh et al., 2019). Although Africa uses fewer pesticides by volume, its pesticides arsenal is extensive in agriculture (Yao et al., 2018). Unfortunately, suppliers, agricultural development stakeholders and farmers are rarely informed about their impact on health and ecosystems. Thus, by contaminating ecosystems, pesticides induce a drastic reduction in the nutritional potential of aquatic environments and agricultural fields, thus amplifying poverty in the population, particularly the disadvantaged segment of developing countries who make their living from farming and fishing activities (Sharma et al., 2018).

In the Republic of Benin, one of the leading cotton producing countries in Africa, the non-organic sector represents the largest share of production (Sossou et al., 2021). The latter uses both the addition of fertilizers and that of pesticides (fungicides, herbicides, and insecticides). To double its cotton production over the last seven years (2016 - 2023), the Beninese government has increased subsidies for chemical fertilizers and pesticides (Ayokpon-Hondo et al., 2021). These pesticides are mainly distributed by the Society for the Development of Cotton (SODECO) but farmers can also find additional ones from agro-suppliers and on local markets. Although their effectiveness is obvious (Adechian et al., 2015), the excessive use of pesticides in cotton production could cause soil and water pollution, the development of pest resistance, as well as the destruction of many useful organisms (Zaki et al., 2020).

In the cotton-growing producing areas of the northern Benin, farmers reported cases of discomfort including headaches, colds, rashes and fatigue after pesticide spraying (Ayokpon-Hondo *et al.*, 2021). In addition, studies conducted between 1996 and 2002 showed that pesticide levels in natural systems have continued to increase in Benin, particularly in protected areas constituting a serious obstacle to sustainable development in Benin (Ahouangninou *et al.*, 2019).

In the main cotton production areas in Benin such as the Kérou and Péhunco municipalities, intensive cotton production requires the use of pesticides to control pests and maximize yields (Ahouangninou et al., 2019). Therefore, indirect contamination cases can arise when a farmer uses pesticides or chemical fertilizers that spread to neighboring farmers' land, thereby leading to crop losses and environmental damage. These incidents have negative consequences not only on farmers' income, but also on social relations within the farming community, creating conflicts between farmers (Werrie et al., 2020). Contamination between farmers in the Kérou-Péhunco cotton area poses a major challenge in terms of managing agricultural conflicts. Between 2012 and 2016, the commune of Kérou recorded 235 cases of poisoning including 14 cases of death (Sambieni et al., 2022). These preliminary data, which provide information on the problem of pesticides and the contamination of food crops, deserve to be deepened. In this context, it is crucial to conduct in-depth studies to examine strategies for appropriate management of conflicts between farmers after cases of environmental contamination between farmers to restore equity between communities and restore peace. Therefore, this study aims to analyze the perception and management mechanisms of conflicts resulting from soil contamination by synthetic chemical pesticides in the main cotton-growing producing area in the Republic of Benin.

# Material and methods

### Study area

The study was carried out in the Kérou and Péhunco municipalities (1°39'51" and 2°17' 18" of North latitude and 10°03'27" and 11°23' 46" East longitude) of the Republic of Benin (Fig. 1). This region has a climate type similar to Sudano-Guinean, which is characterized by a

rainy season from mid-April to mid-October, and a dry season from mid-October to mid-April. They belong to an agroecological zone characterized by a rainfall that oscillates between 800 and 1100 mm of rain per year and spread over nearly 170 days. The average temperature is 26°C, with a maximum of 32°C during February. The Atacora range, which covers the Kouandé and Péhunco municipalities, has an average precipitation of 1200 to 1300 mm per year, with occasional rainfall exceeding 1400 The mm. development of agricultural activities heavily is dependent on rainfall in the study area. This drives farmers to sow more to increase production.



**Fig. 1.** Geographical and administrative situation of the Kérou and Péhunco districts

## Choice of surveyed villages

The surveyed villages were chosen based on the cotton field areas, pesticides use, and village accessibility. Based on this, 20 villages were selected both municipalities (Table 1). An exploratory study was carried out in April 2023 to obtain an overview of the use of pesticides by farmers and the methods of conflict management (Shrestha *et al.*, 2022).

### Survey sample size

The sample size (N) was obtained using the normal approximation of the binomial distribution proposed by Dagnelie (1986): N =  $\left[ \left( U_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right)^2 \times p(1-p) \right] / d^2$ 

With  $U_{1-\alpha/2}$  the value of the normal random variable for the probability value of  $1-\alpha/2$ ,  $\alpha$  being the risk of error. For  $\alpha = 5\%$ , the probability  $1-\alpha/2 = 0.975$  and we have  $U_{1-\alpha/2}= 1.96$ . P is the proportion of farmers using or not using pesticides and having knowledge of conflict management processes resulting from pesticide applications in adjoining fields and d ( $1\% \le d \le 15\%$ ), the margin of error of estimate, retained at 5% in this study. Using the p values (0,70) from the results of the exploratory phase of the study, 200 farmers were selected (120 in Kérou and 80 in Péhunco). In each locality, the surveyed farmers were identified using a simple random sampling (Bello *et al.*, 2017; Avaligbé *et al.*, 2021).

### Survey process

In each village, farmers with an age greater than or equal to 30 years and having knowledge on the mechanisms for managing conflicts arising from the use of pesticides and at least 8 years of experience in agricultural production were selected with the help of the village chief. The individual survey was carried out according to the method described by Bello et al. (2017) and Sambieni et al. (2022) and involved 200 cotton farmers. Data were collected using a semi-structured questionnaire whose questions were related to the sociodemographic characteristics of the surveyed households (sex, age, household size, level of education, years of experience in agricultural production, workforce employed, size of farms, farming methods, acquisition of land, main speculations, cotton yields, and areas sown). Farmers' perceptions of the use of synthetic chemical pesticides, and the mechanisms for managing conflicts between farmers according to the overuse of pesticides were also documented. The actual areas considered are those corrected by the difference obtained between the declared values and those measured with the Garmin eTrex 20 brand GPS from a sample of 10 producers per district (Bello et al., 2017; Tajudeen et al., 2022).

Districts	Villages	Sociolinguistic groups
Kérou-centre	Gantodo, Karigourou, Ouoré, Sinagourou, Tingourou, Warou N'gourou	
Firou Bobéri, Djoléri, Kabongourou, Sokogourou, Tokongourou		Bariba, Gourmantché, Peulh, Yoruba,
Brignamaro	Bassini, Kossou, Gando Bakar, Brignamaro	
Péhunco-Centre Tobré	Gbérasson, Sinaworou, Soamborékoun, Tokoro Wassa-maro	Bariba, Peulh, Ditamari
	Districts Kérou-centre Firou Brignamaro Péhunco-Centre Tobré	DistrictsVillagesKérou-centreGantodo, Karigourou, Ouoré, Sinagourou, Tingourou, Warou N'gourouFirouBobéri, Djoléri, Kabongourou, Sokogourou, TokongourouBrignamaroBassini, Kossou, Gando Bakar, BrignamaroPéhunco-Centre TobréGbérasson, Sinaworou, Soamborékoun, Tokoro

Table 1. List of the surveyed villages according to the sociolinguistic groups

Tah	log Socio-acor	nomic chara	ctarictics o	f curvavad	formore in t	ha ctudy araa i	(n – Samnl	(ariza)
Lav	<b>IC 2.</b> 50010-0001	ionne chara		i sui veyeu	ianners m t	ne study area	u – Sampi	ic size)

Variables	Terms		Total				
			Kérou		Pé	(n=200)1	
		Brignamaro	Firou	Kérou-	Tobre	Péhunco -	-
		$(n = 5)^{1}$	(n=55)1	centre	(n=23)1	centre	
				(n=60)1		(n=57)1	
	Single	20	12,7	8,3	8,7	17,5	12,5
Marital status	Married	80	87,3	90	87	75,4	84,5
	Divorced	-	-	-	-	1,8	0,5
	Widower	-	_	1,7	4,3	5,3	2,5
	age $\leq 35$	20	45,5	40	26,1	29,8	36,5
Age	35 < age ≤ 60	80	52,7	56,7	73,9	66,7	61
	age > 60	-	1,8	3,3	-	3,5	2,5
Sex	Female	-	5,5	10	-	7	6,5
	Male	100	94,5	90	100	93	93,5
Origin	Allochtonous	20	7,3	8,3	-	5,3	6,5
	aboriginal	80	92.7	91.7	100	94.7	93.5
	Noone	80	49.1	50	60.9	63.2	55.5
I evel of education	literate	-	7.3	3.3	13	12.3	8
	Primary	20	12.7	18.3	13	10.5	14
	Secondary	-	23.6	20	13	10.5	17
	University	-	7.3	8.3	-	3.5	5.5
	Farmer	100	90.9	93.3	100	84.2	91
Main activity	Craftsmen		5.5	1.7	-	-	4
	Teacher	-	3.6	3.3	-	5.3	3.5
	Market gardener	-	-	1.7	-	10.5	3.5
Experience in agriculture	Exp ≤ 10	80	56.4	51.7	26.1	57.9	52.5
	10< Exp ≤ 20	20	30.9	31.6	34.8	26.3	30
	Exp > 20	-	12.7	16.7	39.1	15.8	17.5
Cultivable area	5ha < Sup ≤ 10ha	20	18.2	26.7	47.8	26.3	26.5
	Sup > 10 ha	80	81.8	73.3	52.2	73.7	73.5

# Data analysis

The data collected were coded and analyzed with descriptive statistics using SPSS software version 20. The quantitative collected data were subjected to an analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the PROC GLM procedure of the SAS (Statistical Analysis System) software version 9.2. Multiple mean comparisons were carried out using the Student Newman-Keuls test (Dagnelie, 1986). To describe farmers' perceptions linked to knowledge and pesticide uses, data was coded 1 if the farmer has knowledge about pesticides and 0 if not, and the obtained matrix was subjected to a Principal Component Analysis (PCA). The same analytical approach was carried out to analyze the conflict management mechanisms according to the surveyed

district. For each district, the number of farmers who opted for each identified mechanism was calculated. Correspondence Factor Analysis was performed on the resulting contingency table using Minitab 14 software (Bello *et al.*, 2017).

### Results

# Socioeconomic characteristics of the surveyed households

The majority of the surveyed farmers were male (93.5%), married (84.5%), and illiterate (55.5%). The age of the surveyed farmers throughout the study area was between 30 and 85 years, with an average age of 40 years. There was no significant difference (p> 0.05) between the districts concerning farmers' age (Table 2).

Municipalties	District	Age	Experience in	Cultivable area	Area allocated	Quantity of
		(year)	agriculture	(Ha)	to speculation	pesticide
		-	(year)		(Ha)	(L/ha)
	Kérou-centre	40.11±1.38a	13.16±0.84ab	12.85±0.44ab	3.39±0.27b	1.8±0.08a
Kérou	Firou	36.96±1.09a	12.07±0.94b	13.63±0.36ab	5.24±0.37a	1.74±0.08a
	Brignamaro	38±2.09a	8.8±1.82b	15±1.51a	5.4±1.46a	2±0.31a
	Péhunco -	42.45±1.94a	13.43±0.82ab	12.77±0.4ab	3.30±0.24b	2.05±0.13a
Péhunco	centre					
	Tobré	40.82±1.7a	18.21±1.39a	11.87±0.69b	2.39±0.29b	1.82±0.1a
F value		1.8	4.29	1.93	9.98	1.42
Probability		0.13	0.0024	0.01	0.0001	0.23

Table 3. Quantitative data (mean values ± standard errors) on producers in the five districts

Means followed by the same alphabetical letters are not significantly different (p > 0.05) according to the Student Newman-Keuls test.

The farming experience of the majority of respondents varied between 5 years and 25 years with surveyed farmers of Péhunco district having more experience (p<0.01) compared to those of Kérou district. The great majority of the surveyed farmers (55.5%) was illiterate. All the surveyed farmers cultivate on land inherited from their parents, and the majority of them (91%) have farming as main activity. In the study area, the majority (73.5%) of the surveyed farmers sown cotton over large areas (greater than 10 ha), with those on Brignamaro district (Kérou municipalities) significantly (p<0.01) cultivating the largest areas (Table 3). The surveyed farmers have very little access to credit and work on their own funds (78%). Likewise, very few of them belong to farmers' organizations (20%).

Table 4. Eigen value of the first	three (03	) components
-----------------------------------	-----------	--------------

Axe de PC	Eigen value	Proportion	Cumulative
			proportion
PC1	7.6248	0.477	0.477
PC2	5.2274	0.327	0.803
PC3	2.3257	0.145	0.949



**Fig. 2.** Projection of farmers' perceptions of the advantages and disadvantages of pesticides using a Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

The words bearing CP stand for Pesticide Knowledge,

those bearing CP stand for negative impacts, and those bearing PUP stand for Problems of Pesticide Use.

### Farmers' knowledge on the pesticide uses

The results of the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) indicate that the first two axes explain 80.3% of the total information (Table 4). The projection of farmers from the five districts in the axes defined by the different perception of pesticide (Fig. 2) revealed that farmers living in the Kérou-centre district know that pesticides are benefits for cotton production. These farmers state that contamination is one of the negative impacts linked to pesticides. In addition, they believe that the contamination of crops by the use of these pesticides is at the root of the problems of conflicts between cotton producers and food crop producers. In the Brignamaro district, producers think that pesticides allow good production and fight against pests and weeds, but also think that they deplete the soil and are harmful to aquatic ecosystems. Farmers in Firou district state that pesticides are harmful to both human health and aquatic ecosystems. Pesticides are believed by these farmers to deplete the soil and disrupt plant life cycle. According to them, the problems related to the use of pesticides between cotton producers and food crop producers are due to their toxicity. According to cotton producers in the Péhunco -center and Tobré districts, pesticides contaminate crops and pollute water, air and soil. Their belief is that when crops are lost, there are problems related to the use of pesticides among producers. In addition, farmers in the Tobré district also mentioned the problems of tensions or conflicts between farmers following the use of pesticides (Fig. 2).

Axes Inertia Proportion Cumulative 0.6301 0.0660 0.6301 1 2 0.0257 0.2450 0.8751 0.0082 0.0779 0.9531 3

Table 5. Analysis of the contingency table



**Fig. 3.** Result of the Correspondence Factor Analysis (CFA) related to the conflict management between farmers

Factors driving GC are conflict management mechanisms.

# Pesticide use and management of conflicts related to their use

Surveys indicate that there are multiple ways to manage conflict among farmers who acknowledge the existence of disputes related to pesticide use. Dialogue between the concerned farmers (51%) was mentioned as the main action for conflict management, following by the intervention of the committee of the producers' association (25%), the intervention of the Territorial Agency for Agricultural Development (ATDA) (20%), and the court hearings (4%). The Correspondence Factor Analysis (CFA) carried out to describe the relationships between these different mechanisms according to the five districts showed that the first two axes explain 87.51% of the total information (Table 5).

The results of the CFA showed that in the Kérou-centre and Brignamaro districts, the court hearings, the intervention of the farmers' association committee, the intervention of the ATDA were the different mechanisms for conflict management between farmers (Fig. 3). The primary way to resolve disputes in Tobré and Péhunco center districts is through dialogue. In Firou district, local authorities and dialogue are the main sources of assistance for farmers. Furthermore, pesticides such as Thalis, Soja Faba, and Deal are commonly used by cotton producers in the Kérou-Centre district. Califor G, Belt-Expert, Atrazila, Killer and Cotochem G pesticides were more commonly used in the Firou district. However, Herbextra, Pyro, and Kalach pesticides were more commonly used by farmers in the Brignamaro, Péhunco-center, and Tobré districts respectively (Fig. 3).

Table 6 provides information on the characteristics of pesticides utilized by cotton producers in the two municipalities. Cotton farmers experience certain ailments after using these pesticides in their fields. For Kérou-Centre producers, the conditions include skin irritation, headaches, and other illnesses such as colds, coughs, and fevers. After spraying, Firou producers report nausea and vomiting, while Brignamaro producers experience fatigue. In Péhunco -center and Tobré, cotton producers faced common ailments such as skin irritation, headaches, and fatigue (Fig. 3).

<b>Lable of</b> I conclude commonly abea by the bar (c) ca cottom producers in the stady are	Table 6. F	Pesticide commonl	y used by	the survey	red cotton	producers i	in the stud	y area
--	------------	-------------------	-----------	------------	------------	-------------	-------------	--------

	-		
Pesticides	Trade name	Active ingredient	Families
	Killer 480SL	Glyphosate	Organophosphates
	Cotochem G 560SC	Fluometuron 250g/L Prometryn 250 g/L Glyphosate 60 g/L	Substituted ureas Triazines
Herbicide	Callifor G 560SC	Glyphosate 60g/L Fluometuron 250 g/L Prometryn 250 g/L	Organophosphates Substituted ureas Triazines
	Deal 110D	Trifloxysulfuron	Substituted ureas
	Herbextra	2.4D amine salt	Phenoxy-herbicides (arvloxyacides)
	Atrazila SojaFaba Kalach	Atrazine Diuron Glyphosate	Triazines Substituted ureas Organophosphates
	Thalis 112 EC	Emamectin benzoate 48 g/L + Acetamiprid 64 g/L	avermectins + Neonicotinoids
Insecticide	Belt-Expert	Flubendiamide (240 g/L) Thiacloprid (240 g/L)	Benzoyl Ureas Organochlorines
	Pyro FTE 472EC	Cypermethrin 72 g/L + Chlorpyrifos- ethyl 400 g/L	Synthetic pyrethroids Organophosphates

## 74 | Bokossa et al.

## Discussion

The majority of the surveyed cotton producers were young, illiterate, and affiliated with a farmers' organization. According to (Yai et al., 2022), younger sections of the population have difficulty accessing land that can be used in the long term for perennial species. This is why they are enthusiastic about growing annual crops, especially cotton and food crops. According to (Ogouniyi et al., 2017), the problem of illiteracy among farmers, especially those who produce food and cotton crops, is prevalent in the West African sub-region. The importance of men in cotton and food crops production can be explained by customary rules, which restrict the rights of women and do not favor women in access to land ownership (Avaligbé et al., 2021). The same observation was made among yam producers in North-West Benin where women represent 7.33% of producers with 58% illiterate (Aza et al., 2020). Most of the surveyed farmers sown areas greater than 10 ha in the two municipalities. The importance of the areas given to the cotton and food crops in the two municipalities reflects the enthusiasm that farmers have for these two crops. According to (Adechian et al., 2015), this result can be explained by the fact that cotton cultivation allows producers to also have inputs on credit for other speculations in Benin.

According to the surveyed farmers, the negative impacts related to pesticides are contamination. In addition, they believe that the contamination of crops by the use of these pesticides is at the root of the problems of conflicts between cotton producers and food producers. In the Brignamaro district, producers think that pesticides have some benefits for crop production, but they also think that pesticides deplete the soil and are harmful to aquatic ecosystems. Several authors have also reached similar conclusions in Benin (Sambieni et al., 2022; Ahouangninou et al., 2011), Burkina Faso (Son et al., 2017), Ivory Coast (Wognin et al., 2013), Togo (Kanda et al., 2013) and Democratic Republic of Congo (Ngweme et al., 2019; Korangi et al., 2021). According to these authors, large quantities of pesticides are used due to the drastic decline in soil fertility and to obtain better yields. Similarly, Ngweme et al. (2019) and Sambieni et al. (2022) in their studies demonstrated that producers use pesticides to combat crop pests and increase yield. Our

observations are in line with the results that Son *et al.* (2017), who state that pesticides help to simplify the work of producers. Cotton producers in Firou district state that pesticides have a negative impact on human health and aquatic ecosystems. These producers also believe that pesticides deplete the soil and disrupt the life cycle of plants. According to them, the problems related to the use of pesticides between cotton producers and food crop producers are due to their toxicity. According to Tchamadeu *et al.* (2017) and Ake *et al.* (2023), the lack of labor due to rural exodus explains the use of herbicides by producers. Likewise, the decline in capacity with age is a constraint to which farmers are subject and which explains the use of pesticides according to Tchamadeu *et al.* (2017).

According to Chen *et al.* (2019), contamination through the use of pesticides presents risks to human health, biodiversity and the environment in general. Ngalimat *et al.* (2021) reported that pesticides cause the disruption of the local ecosystem, air pollution, soil and water contamination. Ramírez *et al.* (2020) and Tchamadeu *et al.* (2017) highlighted the health and environmental risks linked to the use of pesticides. Similarly to Ngalimat *et al.* (2021), our research has shown that contamination of food crops caused by pesticides is at the origin of conflicts between neighboring producers. This is why it's crucial to emphasize what may relate to the genuine conflict of simple tensions on a local scale. Our research indicates that proximity has a certain impact on the daily life and practices of farmers.

The high level of illiteracy among farmers in the study area could explain ignorance or a lack of assimilation of the recommended instructions for pesticide use. This could explain the poor pesticide use practices and the environmental risk observed among producers, especially since the labels are always in French or English (Ake *et al.*, 2023; Toé *et al.*, 2013). According to Compaoré *et al.* (2019), illiteracy and lack of training constitute a limit to compliance with good practices for the pesticides use, in particular the wearing of appropriate personal protective equipment, the method of preparation, and pesticides use. The health risks associated with pesticide exposure could be explained by this. These health risks are in agreement with those cited by Ahouangninou *et al.* (2011) and Tyagi *et al.* (2015), who showed that farmers did not regularly protect themselves when using pesticides due to the high cost of equipment and, above all, lack of awareness of the dangers to which they are exposed. They are most often satisfied with minimal protection of daily clothing such as pieces of fabric.

Our results revealed that among the pesticides used by the cotton producers in two municipalities, organophosphates, organochlorines, substituted ureas and triazines are the most used. Sossou et al. (2021) had revealed organophosphates, already that organochlorines, synthetic pyrethroids, substituted ureas and carbamates are the main families of pesticides used in Benin. However, Adechian et al. (2015) showed that organochlorines were the most used by farmers in cotton cultivation. Farmers believe that pesticides sometimes cause conflicts because they contaminate crops belonging to other producers in the area. The survey carried out revealed that the mechanisms for managing these cases of conflicts between neighboring producers are, among other things, dialogue (exchanges and understandings) between the concerned producers. Korangi et al. (2021) reported similar cases of conflict management between farmers in the Democratic Republic of Congo with intervention of local authorities to resolve them.

## Conclusion

Increasing productivity and improving farmers' income requires the use of pesticides in agriculture. The diagnostic study highlighted a predominance of aging men and active women in demographic terms, but their level of education and training regarding the use of pesticides is limited. The lack of knowledge creates the possibility of health and environmental contamination. The way farmers perceive this problem has a significant impact on its response. Therefore, it is important to raise awareness among farmers about the risks associated with overusing pesticides, as well as about more ecofriendly alternative methods. Establishing open and transparent communication channels is crucial for handling complaints and resolving conflicts between producers. Farmers must be able to report problems related to soil contamination and the effects of pesticides

on their health, without fear of reprisal. It is necessary for local authorities and relevant organizations to mediate conflicts and find solutions acceptable to all parties involved.

### Acknowledgments

The authors sincerely thank the German Academic Exchange Office (DAAD) for its support through the Network of Excellence for Land Governance in Africa (NELGA).

#### References

Adechian AS, Baco MN, Akponikpe I, Toko II, Egah J, Affoukou K. 2015. Les pratiques paysannes de gestion des pesticides sur le maïs et le coton dans le bassin cotonnier du Bénin. Vertigo – La revue électronique en sciences de l'environnement **15**(2). DOI: 10.4000/vertigo.16534.

Ahouangninou C, Boko SYW, Logbo J, Komlan FA, Martin T, Fayomi BJAS. 2019. Analyse des déterminants des pratiques phytosanitaires des producteurs maraîchers au sud du Bénin. Afrique Science **15**(5), 252 – 265.

Ahouangninou C, Fayomi BE, Martin T. 2011. Évaluation des risques sanitaires et environnementaux des pratiques phytosanitaires des producteurs maraîchers dans la commune rurale de Tori-Bossito (Sud-Bénin). Cahiers Agricultures **20**(3), 216–222. DOI: 10.1684/agr.2011.0485.

Ake A, Amian ARF, Yeo GM, Etchian AO, Yao LA, Yapo AF, Ble MC. 2023. Analyse sociodémographique des agriculteurs de la zone de marnage du lac Buyo (Côte d'Ivoire) et risques potentiels liés à l'utilisation des pesticides. ESI Preprints 17, 1-1. DOI: 10.19044/esipreprint.5.2023.p1

Avaligbé YJF, Gnanglè CP, Yabi I, Bello OD, Ahoton EL, Saïdou A. 2021. Tendances climatiques, perceptions des gestionnaires des parcs à karité sur la productivité du karité (*Vitellaria paradoxa*) au Bénin. Journal of Applied Biosciences **157**, 16237 – 16253. DOI: 10.35759/JABs.157.9. **Ayokpon-Hondo N, Landeou RC, Sopoh GE, Johnson RC.** 2021. Contamination of the waters and fishery resources of lake Nokoué by pyrethroid molecules. International Journal of Science Academic Research **02**(05), 1528-1534.

Aza VC, Togbé CE, Ahoton LE, Ahohuendo BC. 2020. Influence des systèmes culturaux sur la gestion des maladies du maïs (*Zea mays* L.) au Sud-Bénin. Bulletin de la Recherche Agronomique du Bénin (BRAB) **30**(01).

Bello DO, Ahoton LEA, Saidou IPB, Akponikpè VA, Ezin I, Balogoun NA. 2017. Climate change and cashew (*Anacardium occidentale* L.) productivity in Benin (West Africa): perceptions and endogenous measures of adaptation. International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences **11**(3), 924-946. DOI: 10.4314/ijbcs.v11i3.1.

Chen MC, Wang JP, Zhu YJ, Liu B, Yang WJ, Ruan CQ. 2019. Antibacterial activity against *Ralstonia solanacearum* of the lipopeptides secreted from the *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* strain FJAT -2349. Journal of Applied Microbiology **126**(5), 1519–1529. DOI: 10.1111/jam.14213.

**Compaoré H, Ilboudo S, Nati Bama AD, Dama Balima MM**. 2019. Les risques sanitaires liés à l'utilisation des pesticides dans les bas-fonds rizicoles de la commune de dano, province du Ioba Burkina Faso. African Crop Science Journal **27**(4), 557 – 569 DOI: 10.4314/acsj.v27i4.2.

**Dagnelie P.** 1986. Statistical theory and methods. Agronomic applications **2**, 463.

Kanda M, Djaneye-Boundjou G, Wala K, Gnandi K, Batawila K, Sanni A. 2013. Application des pesticides en agriculture maraîchère au Togo. VertigO-la revue électronique en sciences de l'environnement **13**(1), 1–17. DOI: 10.4000/vertig0.13456.

Korangi V, Kubindana G, Fingu-Mabola JC, Sulu A, Kasereka G, Matamba A, Ndindir J. 2021. Utilisation des biopesticides pour une agriculture durable en République Démocratique du Congo (Synthèse bibliographique). Revue Africaine d'Environnement et d'Agriculture **02**, 53-67.

Ngalimat MS, Yahaya RSR, Baharudin MMA, Yaminudin MMA, Karim M, Ahmad SA, Sabri S. 2021. A Review on the Biotechnological Applications of the Operational Group *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*. Microorganisms **9**(3), 614.

DOI: 10.3390/microorganisms9030614.

Ngweme G, Kiyombo G, Sikulisimwa C, Mulaji C, Aloni J. 2019. Analyse des connaissances, attitudes et pratiques des maraîchers de la Ville de Kinshasa en rapport avec l'utilisation des pesticides et l'impact sur la santé humaine et sur l'environnement. Afrique Science **15**(4), 122–133.

**Ogouniyi Adimi EB, Dassoundo-Assogba CFJ, Kinkpe AT, Yabi AJ**. 2017. Éducation en production de soja au nord-est du Bénin. Bulletin de la Recherche Agronomique du Bénin (BRAB), 57-69p.

**Oguh CE, Okpaka CO, Ubani CS, Okekaji UPSJ, Amadi EU.** 2019. View of Natural Pesticides (Biopesticides) and Uses in Pest Management- A Critical Review. Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering **2**(3), 1-18.

Ramírez M, Neuman BW, Ramírez CA. 2020. Bacteriophages as promising agents for the biological control of Moko disease (*Ralstonia solanacearum*) of banana. Biological Control **149**, 104238. DOI: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2020.104238.

**Sambieni DK, Azonhe T, Kombieni H.** 2022. Valorisation des mets traditionnels à base du maïs et du niébé dans la commune de Tanguiéta (Bénin, Afrique de l'ouest). International Journal of Progressive Sciences and Technologies **35**(1), 372-380. Sharma M, Guleria S, Singh K, Chauhan A, Kulshrestha S. 2018. Mycovirus associated hypovirulence, a potential method for biological control of *Fusarium* species. Virus Disease **29**(2), 134–140. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13337-018-0438-4.

Shrestha R, Rakhal B, Adhikari TR, Ghimire GR, Talchabhadel R, Tamang DKCR, Sharma S. 2022. Farmers' Perception of Climate Change and Its Impacts on Agriculture. Hydrology **9**, 212. https://doi.org/10.3390/hydrology9120212.

**Son D, Somda I, Legreve A, Schiffers B.** 2017. Pratiques phytosanitaires des producteurs de tomates du Burkina Faso et risques pour la santé et l'environnement. Cahiers Agricultures **26**, 25005. DOI: 10.1051/cagri/2017010.

**Soro DB, Kouadio DL, Aboua KN, Diarra M, Meite L, Traore KS**. 2018. Dégradation photocatalytique du thiabendazole en solution aqueuse. Afrique Science **14**(4), 55 – 63. http://www.afriquescience.info

Sossou CH, Olou D, Houedjofonon ME, Midingoyi S-K, Yarou Koto J, Sossou R, Ayedoun A, Sedegnan A, Codjo V. 2021. Mesure des taux de couverture des exploitations agricoles par les services de productivité (Conseil, Intrant, Financement et Foncier) au Bénin. Rapport d'analyse. INRAB. 2023, 211p.

Tajudeen TT, Omotayo A, Ogundele FO, Rathbun LC. 2022. The Effect of Climate Change on Food Crop Production in Lagos State. Foods **11**, 3987. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11243987.

**Tchamadeu NN, Nkontcheu DBK, Djomo NE.** 2017. Évaluation des facteurs de risques environnementaux liés à la mauvaise utilisation des pesticides par les maraîchers au Cameroun: le cas de Balessing à l'Ouest Cameroun. Afrique Science **13**(1), 91-100. Toé AM, Ouedraogo M, Ouedraogo R, Ilboudo S, Guissou PI. 2013. Pilot study on agricultural pesticide poisoning in Burkina Faso. Interdisciplinary Toxicology 6(4), 185-191. DOI: 10.2478/intox-2013-0027.

**Tyagi H, Gautam T, Prashar P**. 2015. Survey of pesticide use patterns and farmers' perceptions: a case study from cauliflower and tomato cultivating areas of district Faridabad, Haryana, India. International Journal of MediPharm Research **1**(3), 139–146.

Werrie PY, Durenne B, Delaplace P, Fauconnier ML. 2020. Phytotoxicity of essential oils: Opportunities and constraints for the development of biopesticides. A review. Foods **9**(9), 1–24. DOI: 10.3390/foods9091291.

Wognin AS, Ouffoue SK, Assemand EF, Tano K, Koffi-Nevry R. 2013. Perception des risques sanitaires dans le maraîchage à Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire. International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences 7(5), 1829–1827. DOI: 10.4314/ijbcs.v7i5.4.

Yai E, Biaou F, Biaou G. 2022. Analyse comparative des coûts de production des principaux produits agricoles au Bénin. International Journal of Accounting, Finance, Auditing, Management and Economics 3(3-2): 292-305.

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6591096.

Yao KS, Kouame KV, Yao KM, Atse BA, Trokourey A, Abiba Sanogo Tidou AS. 2018. Contamination, distribution et évaluation des risques écologiques par les pesticides dans les sédiments de la lagune Ebrié, Côte d'Ivoire. Afrique Science **14**(6), 400-412. http://www.afriquescience.net

Zaki O, Weekers F, Thonart P, Tesch E, Kuenemann P, Jacques P. 2020. Limiting factors of mycopesticide development. Biological Control 144, 104220. DOI: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2020.104220.