

International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research (IJAAR)

ISSN: 2223-7054 (Print) 2225-3610 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 25, No. 6, p. 9-22, 2024

RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

Rice farms contaminated with toxic heavy metals: The case of Agusan del Sur, Philippines

Vincent T. Cui^{*1,3}, Ruben F. Amparado Jr^{1,4}, Frandel Louis S. Dagoc¹, Corazon V. Ligaray², Hilly Ann Roa-Quiaoit¹

¹Mindanao State University-Iligan Institute of Technology, School of Interdisciplinary Studies, Department of Environmental Science, Andres Bonifacio Avenue, Iligan City, Philippines ²Mindanao State University-Iligan Institute of Technology, School of Interdisciplinary Studies, Department of Sustainable Development Studies, Andres Bonifacio Avenue, Iligan City, Philippines ³North Eastern Mindanao State University-Main Campus, College of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Rosario, Tandag City, Philippines ⁴Mindanao State University-Iligan Institute of Technology, Premier Research Institute of Science and Mathematics, Laboratory of Terrestrial Biodiversity, Andres Bonifacio Avenue, Iligan City,

Philippines

Article published on December 10, 2024

Key words: Agricultural, Lead, Mercury, Contamination, Pollutants, Rice

Abstract

Rice plays a crucial role in food security, but its production faces threats from human-induced pollutants. In the studied area, rice paddies are irrigated with water from the Solibao River, which collects runoff from creeks contaminated with heavy metals due to artisanal and small-scale mining. Heavy metal levels in the paddy soils were analyzed using Direct Air-Acetylene Flame Atomic Absorption for lead (Pb) and Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy for mercury (Hg). Among the three agricultural sites studied, the downstream site (Santa Cruz) showed heavy metal concentrations exceeding WHO limits for Pb (0.10 mg kg⁻¹) and Hg (0.08 mg kg⁻¹), with mean values of 38.6 mg kg⁻¹ and 7.71 mg kg⁻¹, respectively. Environmental indices classified this site as æExtremely Contaminated" for Geo-Accumulation Index (Igeo), at æVery High Risk" for Ecological Risk Index (ER), and æVery High Contamination" for Contamination Factor (CF) for both Pb and Hg. Midstream and upstream sites generally had levels below detection limits but require further study for other heavy metal contaminants. The high heavy metal concentrations in downstream agricultural soils are likely due to unregulated waste disposal. This contamination poses significant environmental risks, impacting irrigation water quality and the safety of agricultural soils.

* Corresponding Author: Vincent T. Cui 🖂 vincent.cui@g.msuiit.edu.ph

Introduction

Throughout human history, there has been a symbiotic relationship between our societies heavy reliance on the benefits provided by the earth, as evident in our evolving ability to sustainably manage the soil (Brodt *et al.*, 2011). Crop cultivation, a hallmark of human civilization, epitomizes the connection between humans, the earth, and food sources, underscoring soil as the fundamental bedrock of agriculture (Parikh and James, 2012).

Farmers and ranchers are pivotal in producing the food and fibers essential for daily life and soil plays a critical role in the success of agricultural practices (Tahat et al., 2020; Mehmet, 2020; Kicińska and Wikar, 2024). The nutrient content and overall health of the soil directly influence crop health (Morgan and Conolly, 2013), impacting the quality and abundance of food supplies (Silver et al., 2021). As Sindelar (2015) notes, the healthiest soils yield the most nutritious and abundant food supplies, highlighting the crucial link between soil health and agricultural success. Between 1960 and 2015, agricultural production saw a more than threefold increase, partly due to the Green Revolution's productivity-enhancing technologies and expanded use of natural resources (FAO, 2017). This period also witnessed significant industrialization and globalization in food and agriculture (Anderson, 2010). Agriculture, а cornerstone of society, not only ensures food security but also significantly contributes to the economy as well as impacts the environment (Fogle and Kime, 2021; Kicińska and Wikar, 2021). In regions like Southern and Eastern Asia, wet-rice cultivation is prevalent, utilizing small, flooded fields that support the sustenance of much of the rural population (Lee et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2021; Britannica, 2023). Soil contamination has emerged as a global concern in recent decades due to rapid industrialization, urbanization, unregulated mining, emissions, uncontrolled wastewater discharge, sewage irrigation, and prolonged pesticide usage (Blachowski et al., 2024). The issue of heavy metal contamination in agricultural soils has garnered international attention (Alloway, 2012; Guo et al., 2014). These metals are

naturally present in the environment but have accumulated in soils primarily due to human activities such as wastewater irrigation, unregulated fertilizer use, and airborne deposition from smelting and fossil fuel combustion (Guo et al., 2020; Jendruś et al., 2023). This contamination poses significant environmental and food safety risks (Hu et al., 2016). China, one of the largest producers and consumers of metals, significantly contributes to heavy metal contamination in agricultural soils (Chen et al., 2022). Accumulated heavy metals can degrade soil quality, hinder crop growth, and pose health risks through the food chain (Mouhsine et al., 2012; Nabulo, 2010), thus, raising widespread public concern about food safety (Arao et al., 2010; He et al., 2013).

In the Philippines, rice is a staple food critical to daily life and occupies a substantial portion of arable land, whereas of 2021, constitute about 4.81 million hectares of land cultivated to æPalay" (Orayza sativa) in the Philippines (NNC, 2020; Gonzalez, 2020; DOST-PCAARRD, 2024). Ensuring a stable, adequate, and affordable rice supply is essential due to its social, cultural, economic, and political importance in the Philippines (Navata and Turingan, 2013; Mamiit et al., 2021). However, heavy metal contamination in major rice-growing areas such as Zambales and Negros Occidental has been reported, with concentrations exceeding intervention values (Mangahud et al., 2015). Sources of this contamination include mine tailings, contaminated irrigation water, farm chemicals, and animal defecation (Ramos and Manangkil, 2022). Given the potential health risks posed by heavy metal contamination, it is crucial to assess and address these hazards in rice-growing regions. Monitoring metal concentrations in soils and plants can indicate potential toxicity risks to consumers (Wei et al., 2023), underscoring the need for ongoing research and intervention to ensure safe rice cultivation. This study aims (1) to investigate the presence of heavy metal pollutants on the rice paddy soils of Agusan Del Sur, (2) to determine the degree of heavy metal contamination using established soil environmental metrics, (3) to assess the transport of these pollutants to the rice paddy soils in the area and (4) to add existing data in terms of heavy metal contamination on rice paddies in the Philippines.

Materials and methods

Study area

The study was done in the Municipality of Rosario in the southern part of Agusan Del Sur, Caraga region in Northern Mindanao. It spans approximately 40,273 hectares and comprises eleven barangays which are mostly agricultural lands used for Palm and Coconut Tree Plantations, Root crops, Rice Paddies, etc. (RMPS, 2022).



Fig. 1. The study area is situated in the Province of Agusan del Sur highlighted in blue and delineating the area of the Municipality of Rosario (Pink). The three study sites are identified as follows: Barangay Cabantao (Upstream, Blue), Barangay Poblacion (Midstream, Green), and Barangay Santa Cruz (Downstream, Yellow)





Fig. 2. The red dots on the map represent the sampling points taken from a one-kilometer stretch (indicated by the yellow line). The images used were captured using Google Map

In this study, the research area is a section of the Solibao River, as this river connects to the Economic Mining Zone Creeks, where Acopiado *et al.* (2020) found elevated levels of mercury in 2019 surpassing DENR standards. Solibao River is used as one of the water sources of irrigation for their rice paddies. The study focused on three riverine barangays along the river gradient as shown in Fig. 1: Sta. Cruz as the downstream site, Poblacion, as the midstream site, and Cabantao as the upstream site and sample points (within the 1 kilometer transect) are shown in Fig. 2 and the corresponding sample point coordinates.

Soil Sampling

Soil sampling were done in all three barangays of Rosario from August 7 to 14, 2023. Following minor adjustments, the approach outlined by Anwarul Hasan et al. (2022) was followed. Within each barangay, soil samples were collected in 1-foot depth non-metallic shovel to avoid using metal contamination. Within one-kilometer stretch of rice fields using random sampling at every 100 meters, one sample was gathered resulting in nine replicates per sampling location. Each sample weighed at least one kilogram, securely stored in labeled zip-lock bags for subsequent analysis.

Preparation of soil samples for heavy metal analysis After collection, soil samples were dried at a temperature of 50°C for 24 hours to remove the moisture (Anwarul Hasan *et al.*, 2022). A 200-gram dried soil sample was then extracted from the dried soils after one day of drying, subsequently ground to pass through a 2 mm sieve using a pulverizer, with a resulting total of 27 dried and finely ground samples, meeting the minimum requirements for laboratory analysis. These samples were stored in smaller ziplock bags and transported for mercury analysis using Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (USEPA Method 3050B) and for lead analysis using Direct Air-Acetylene Flame Spectroscopy (USEPA Method 7471B) (Soares *et al.*, 2015; Parikh and James, 2012).

Although environmental impact assessment studies usually employ threshold values established by national or international authorities, unfortunately, there are no formal sediment and soil quality recommendations in the Philippines (Domingo et al., 2023). This study adopted the international quality requirements for agricultural soils published by the World Health Organization (Kinuthia et al., 2020).

Environmental indices

Geo-accumulation index (Igeo)

Since its introduction by Müller (1969), the geological accumulation index (Igeo) has been extensively used in studies and initiatives pertaining to heavy metals (Ji et al., 2008). The elevated concentrations of pollutants accumulating in the soil were determined by comparing the observed values with background or standard reference values (Abdullah et al., 2020). The following formula was applied to calculate the Igeo of the analyzed soil:

$$Igeo = log2(\frac{Cn}{1.5*Bn})$$
(1)

where Bn is the geological chemical background or standard value used by the WHO in agricultural land for lead (0.10 mg kg-1) and mercury (0.08 mg kg-1) and Cn is the observed concentration (mg kg-1) of each heavy metal in the soil. Igeo values were interpreted in Table 1.

Ecological risk index (ER)

The ER, which Hakanson (1980) established, was used to quantify the potential ecological danger related to the buildup of heavy metals in the soil. The

their properties of soil heavy metals and environmental behavior are considered when evaluating the possible ecological danger associated with these metals (Zhao et al., 2022). Furthermore, it considers the synergistic effects of various elements, pollution levels, and environmental associations with heavy metals (Liu et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021). ER

$$= \mathrm{Tr} \times \mathrm{CF} \tag{2}$$

Calculation formula is where Tr is the toxicity coefficient of heavy metals Lead and Mercury are 5 and 40 respectively (Darko et al., 2017) and CF is the contamination factor (Eq. 3) (Zhao et al., 2022). Listed in Table 1 are the interpreted values of ER.

Contamination factor (CF)

Utilizing the Contamination Factor (CF), the level of sointamination was evaluated (Kowalska et al., 2018; Sudarningsih, 2023). This index allows for the evaluation of soil contamination by considering the heavy metal content at the soil surface concerning pre-industrial reference levels (Kinthua et al., 2020).

$$CF = \frac{Cn \text{ (sample)}}{Cn \text{ (background)}}$$
(3)

Where Cn (background) is the baseline or background value (mg kg-1) of the heavy metal and Cn (sample) is the amount of concentration (mg kg-1) of each heavy metal identified in the sample agricultural soil while the assessment of values are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Classification of indexes (Igeo, ER, and CF) with their corresponding evaluation

Igeo	ER	CF
Class 1: Igeo \leq 0, Uncontaminated	LR: ER < 40, low risk	LC: CF < 1, low contamination
Class 2: $0 < \text{Igeo} \le 1$, Uncontaminated to moderately contaminated	MR: 40 ≤ ER < 80, moderate risk	MC: $1 \le CF < 3$, moderate contamination
Class 3: $1 < \text{Igeo} \le 2$, Moderately contaminated	CR: 80 ≤ ER < 160, considerable risk	CC: $3 \le CF < 6$, considerable contamination
Class 4: $2 < \text{Igeo} \le 3$, Moderately to heavily contaminated	HR: 160 ≤ ER < 320, high risk	VHC: $CF \ge 6$, very high contamination
Class 5: 3 < Igeo ≤ 4, Heavily contaminated	VHR: ER ≥ 320, very high risk	
Class 6: 4 < Igeo ≤ 5, Heavily to extremely contaminated		
Class 7: Igeo $>$ 5, Extremely contaminated		

Data analysis

The plotting of sampling points and geological maps were created using QGIS 3.32. Descriptive Statistical analyses for the data and graphical representation of

the results were performed using Excel 2019. Additionally, all sites with more than 50% of measurements below detection limits (<dl) were excluded from the graphical presentation.

Results and discussion

Heavy metal concentrations in rice paddy soils

A collection of a total of 27 samples from three different barangays: Santa Cruz, Poblacion, and Cabantao. Each sample was analyzed using Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy for Mercury and Direct Air-Acetylene Flame for Lead, resulting in a total of 54 samples analyzed for both heavy metals. This study utilized the World Health Organization's (WHO) recommended values for heavy metals in soils used for agricultural practices, as cited by Kinuthia *et al.* (2020). The permissible values for Lead and Mercury in agricultural soils are 0.10 mg kg-1 and 0.08 mg kg-1, respectively.



Fig. 3. A) Heavy metal concentrations (Pb and Hg) in the three sampling sites and B) mean and standard deviation concentration of downstream

Fig. 3 presents a graphical representation of the collected data, while Table 2 provides the laboratory results for Hg and Pb concentrations, indicating that all upstream samples fall below detectable levels for these heavy metals. Midstream samples show only 2

stations with Pb concentrations above detection limit readings S7 (1033 mg kg-1) and S9 (444 mg kg-1).

According to K.D. Villegas, (personal communication, 9 August 2023), these two sampling stations were the areas where the harvesters or automobile anchorages were placed whenever their harvest or plantation started. This probably account for the used (waste) oil from automobiles that include metals, organic and inorganic compounds such as oil additives, oxidation products, sediments, water, and metallic particles from worn gear (EEA, 2007; Ololade, 2014). This circumstance made these sampling stations highly contaminated with Pb as determined by laboratory results. Excluding these two contaminated sites because of its usage, in general, Midstreams paddy soils are all below detection limits. Downstream showed variations of Hg and Pb concentrations ranging from below the detection limit to a maximum of 85.9 mg kg-1 for Pb and 18.00 mg kg-1 for Hg. Comparing these results with the WHO recommended levels for lead and mercury in agricultural soils, the downstream site significantly exceeds the permissible limit for Pb and Hg across all sampled points, exhibiting fluctuating concentrations. The average lead concentration in downstream areas is 38.6 ± 30.2 mg kg-1, whereas the permissible limit for agricultural soils is 0.10 mg kg-1. Similarly, mercury concentrations in downstream stations are 7.71 ± 5.91 mg kg-1, compared to the permissible limit of 0.08 mg kg-1. Water sources for irrigation and cultivation are also indicated in Table 3.

Table 2. Analyzed Pb and Hg concentrations in all sampling poin

Stations	Downstream		Midstı	ream	Upstream	
	Pb ^b	Hg ^c	Pb ^b	Hg ^c	Pb ^b	Hg ^c
	0.10 ^a	0.08 ^a	0.10 ^a	0.08 ^a	0.10 ^a	0.08 ^a
1	65.9	18.0	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""></dl<>
2	11.1	1.55	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""></dl<>
3	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""></dl<>
4	20.7	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""></dl<>
5	16.1	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""></dl<>
6	85.9	9.05	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""></dl<>
7	25.4	7.61	1033	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""></dl<>
8	13.2	2.31	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""></dl<>
9	70.3	7.72	444	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""></dl<>
Mean±SD	38.6 ± 30.2	7.72 ± 5.91	738±416	-	-	-

Detection Limit^a; <dl: below detection limit; - : no calculated data

Reference: USEPA Method 7471Bb and USEPA Method 3050Bc

Stations	Water sources							
	River-Water	Rain-Water	Deep-Well					
Downstream	~	*	*					
Midstream	*	~	~					
Upstream	*	~	~					

Table 3. Different water sources used in Rice PaddySoils within sampling sites

✓ Frequently used; * Occasionally used

These water sources are identified on the sites based on availability for the utilization of the farmers as mentioned by K.D. Villegas, (personal communication, 9 August 2023). Since river water receives pollutants primarily from human activity, identifying the source of contamination is crucial, in this case, artisanal and small-scale mining (Acopiado et al., 2020). In downstream rice paddy soils, river-water is more frequently used for irrigation compared to upstream or midstream areas. In contrast, rice paddies in upstream and midstream regions primarily rely on rainfall and deep wells for their irrigation needs. Based on the results of this study, paddy soils in downstream locations exhibit the highest levels of contamination, attributed to regular utilization of river-water for irrigation and its associated transport of pollutants.

Location	Source		М	ean co	ncentra	ition (m	g kg-1)			Reference
		As	Cd	Cu	Cr	Hg	Pb	Zn	Ni	
Rosario, Agusan	Solibao River	-	-	-	-	1.74	66.2	-	-	This Study
Del Sur,										
Philippines								,	0	** 1
Guilan Province,	Zarjoub and	12	-	47.4	124	-	72.3	136	48.3	Haghnazar
Iran	Goharroud Rivers			0.40				a - 0		<i>et al.</i> (2023)
Uttar Pradesh,	Kall Kiver	-	0.33	0.13	0.22	-	-	0.58	-	AL-Huqali
Ondo State	Oghese River	_	0.33	0.62	_	1 68	_	E 02	_	Ademini and
Nigeria	Ogbese River		0.23	0.02		1.00		5.02		Lawal (2022)
Vietnam	Red River	21.0	0.56	72	64	_	48	160	38	Nguven
, iothum		,	0.00	/-	*7		40	100	00	et al. (2020)a
	Houng River	13.6	0.25	27.1	-	-	29.7	83	28.5	Nguyen
	C	-	-					-	-	<i>et al.</i> (2020)b
	Mekong River	12.6	0.27	30	-	-	28.6	90	36.3	
	Delta									
Tajan Watershed,	Tajan River		0.7	25.4	108		0.6	52.3		Vatanpour
Iran	v ,	(-	((et al. (2020)
Jiangsu Province,	Yangtze Kiver	7.267	0.356	41.0	72.91	0.146	31.6	117	-	Mao
Province and	iDeita									<i>et al.</i> (2019)
Shanghai										
Province. China										
Yongshuvu	Songhua River	8.77	0.18	17.3	82.8	0.22	34.6	88.6	21.2	Cui
Irrigation, North-	0	//		/ 0			01			et al. (2018)
east China										
Isfahan Province,	Zayandeh Rood	-	1.34	-	-	-	61.1	52.6	56.7	Rahimi
Iran	River			_						et al. (2017)
Paramillo Massif,	Sinú River	-	0.41	38.9	-	0.07	27	70	29	Marrugo-Negrete
Columbia	V D:					2.26	~ • •			et al. (2017)
Hubel Province,	Yangtze Kiver	-	0.92	-	75.19	0.26	24.1	-		Liu
and Jiangyi	Region									<i>et al.</i> (2016)
Province China										
Cabatuan Isabela	River Irrigated	0.6	<10.0	57.0	54.0	<70	>8.0	50.8	< 50 (Magahud
Philippines	initer initigated	9.0	10.0	5/.0	54.2	-/.0	/ 0.0	39.0		et al. (2015)
San Miguel, Iloilo,	River Irrigated	<9.0	<10.0	46.8	82.9	<7.0	10.3	47.5	67.6	
Philippines	0	,		•	-	,	0	17 0	,	
Kabacan, North	River Irrigated	<9.0	<10.0	49.7	40.9	<7.0	15.6	51.6	<50.0)
Cotabato,	-									
Philippines	L								_	
Punjab Province,	Ravi River	-	35.0	-	37.8	-	1065	-	85.8	Tariq and Rahid
Pakistan										(2013)

Table 4 summarizes research on heavy metal concentrations in paddy soils worldwide, spanning continents such as South America, Africa, Asia, and the Middle East. Heavy metals, including As, Cd, Cu, Cr, Hg, Pb, Zn, and Ni. These metals have been measured in soils irrigated by rivers like China's Yangtze River Delta (Mao et al., 2019), the Zarjoub and Goharroud Rivers in Iran (Haghnazar et al., 2023), and the Solibao River in the Philippines (This study). Notably, Punjab Province, Pakistan (Tariq and Rahid, 2013), exhibited the highest lead (Pb) and cadmium (Cd) concentrations, with mean data of 1065 mg kg-1 and 35 mg kg-1, respectively. Lead (Pb) concentrations in Agusan Del Sur, Philippines, were 66.2 mg kg-1, while mercury (Hg) concentrations were significantly lower across all sites, peaking at 1.74 mg kg-1 (for <dl sample points; the value used for calculation was half of the Detection Limit). These findings highlight substantial variation in heavy metal pollution, influenced by regional industrial activities and agricultural practices. Specific environmental management measures are necessary to mitigate potential threats to food safety and human health.

Soil contamination assessments

Geo-accumulation index (Igeo)

The Igeo has been extensively employed in studies and projects focused on heavy metals (Ji *et al.*, 2008; Charzynski *et al.*, 2017). Using the given equation (Eq. 1) on solving Igeo, calculated values (Fig. 4) of the index with their corresponding æClass" are shown in Table 5.



Fig. 4. Graphical representation of calculated geoaccumulation index (Igeo) values of Pb and Hg on each sampling point

Samples	Down	Downstream		ream	Upstream	
	Pb	Hg	Pb	Hg	Pb	Hg
1	Class 7	Class 7	-	-	-	-
2	Class 7	Class 5	-	-	-	-
3	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Class 7	-	-	-	-	-
5	Class 7	-	-	-	-	-
6	Class 7	Class 7	-	-	-	-
7	Class 7	Class 7	Class 7	-	-	-
8	Class 7	Class 6	-	-	-	-
9	Class 7	Class 7	Class 7	-	-	-

Table 5. Geological accumulation index (Igeo) of lead and mercury in all sites

- : no calculated data, Class 5: 3<Igeo<4, Heavily contaminated; Class 6: 4<Igeo<5, Heavily to extremely contaminated; Class 7: Igeo<5, Extremely contaminated.

The majority of the samples with Pb traces exhibit Class 7 (Igeo < 5) distinction which is equal to æExtremely Contaminated" in the Downstream site; while Hg traces are observed on 6 out 9 ranging from samples Class 5 æHeavily Contaminated" $(3 < Igeo \le 4)$ to Class 7 æExtremely Contaminated" (Igeo<5) categories. However, only two samples, S7 and S9 exhibit the æExtremely Contaminated" class on the Midstream site due to vehicle anchorage, while the rest of midstream and all Upstream samples are below

detection limit (<dl) for Lead. Likewise, for mercury for both mid to upstream sites. This is a probable indication that these heavy metals have low concentration in the area, low enough to not be detected. The Downstream site's total Pb distribution was found to be Class 7, indicating extreme contamination while Hg's distribution varies from Heavy to Extreme contamination. The below detection limit (<dl) results in Table 2 indicate that the sampling stations in upstream and midstream paddy soils exhibit minimal or negligible contamination. This is likely due to the predominant use of rainwater and deep-well water for irrigation. "No data" (-) signifies that no assessment was conducted using Equation (1) to classify accumulation, as the results were below the detection limit (<dl).

Ecological risk index (ER)

The characteristics of these metals and their behavior in the environment are considered when assessing the ecological risk posed by soil contaminants (Zhao *et al.*, 2022). The analyzed soil yielded varying concentrations of Pb and Hg, which were used to assess the ER values.



Fig. 5. Graphical representation of potential ecological risk index (PERI) values of Pb and Hg on each sampling point

These results are presented in Fig. 5. As indicated in Table 6, most of the Downstream samples showed a æVery High Risk" (VHR: ER ≥ 320) indication of the risk assessment in both Pb and Hg. Midstream's high Pb concentration on S7 and S9 is the result of vehicle anchorage during harvest season making these sample points much higher than the rest concerning Pb (EEA, 2007; Ololade, 2014). On the other hand, due to <dl, Pb and Hg's ER on this sampling stations cannot be calculated. While the Midstream and Upstream sites revealed "no calculated data" (-) regarding ecological risk, this should not be interpreted as an indication that heavy metals are entirely absent or incapable of posing environmental risks, particularly in soils. The "below detection limit" (<dl) results presented in Table 2 suggest that the levels of these heavy metals were very low to be detected by the analytical methods employed in this study. However, the fact that these metals were not detected does not necessarily mean they are absent or insignificant; rather, their levels were below the sensitivity threshold of the instruments used.

Stations	Down	Downstream		Midstream		ream
	Pb	Hg	Pb	Hg	Pb	Hg
1	VHR	VHR	-	-	-	-
2	VHR	VHR	-	-	-	-
3	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	VHR	-	-	-	-	-
5	VHR	-	-	-	-	-
6	VHR	VHR	-	-	-	-
7	VHR	VHR	VHR	-	-	-
8	VHR	VHR	-	-	-	-
9	VHR	VHR	VHR	-	-	-

Table 6. Potential ecological risk index (PERI) of lead and mercury in all sites

- : no calculated data

VHR: $Er \ge 320$, very high risk

Contamination factor (CF)

CF is a soil assessment index which evaluates surface heavy metal levels relative to pre-industrial reference values. This metric revealed that among the studied sites, Downstream sampling points are all except for few sites (4 stations) categorized as with æVery High Contamination" (VHC: CF \geq 6) in both Pb and Hg as shown in Table 7. Midstream Hg values rendered no data since the concentration is Below Detection Limit (<dl). As illustrated in Fig. 6 and Table 7, the calculated values were notably high, particularly for Pb compared to Hg. Furthermore, Pb concentrations indicated a 'Very High Contamination' classification at only two sampling points within the midstream site, likely attributed to their use as anchorage areas for vehicles or machinery involved in rice harvesting.

Sampling points	Down	Downstream		ream	Upstream	
	Pb	Hg	Pb	Hg	Pb	Hg
1	VHC	VHC	-	-	-	-
2	VHC	VHC	-	-	-	-
3	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	VHC	-	-	-	-	-
5	VHC	-	-	-	-	-
6	VHC	VHC	-	-	-	-
7	VHC	VHC	VHC	-	-	-
8	VHC	VHC	-	-	-	-
9	VHC	VHC	VHC	-	-	-

Table 7. Contamination factor (CF) of lead and mercury in all sites

- : no calculated data

VHC: $CF \ge 6$, very high contamination



Fig. 6. Graphical representation of contamination factor (CF) values in each sampling point

Leakage of fuels for this equipment may cause this drastic change in Pb concentration since Pb is one of the elements found in fuels making midstream shows very high contamination results (Santos *et al.*, 2012).

For upstream on the other hand, both Pb and Hg showed no data since their concentration for detection is below the standard limit rendering no calculated data in all sampling sites.

Conclusion

The study identifies heavy metal contamination specifically at the downstream site in Santa Cruz, where it significantly impacts the agricultural soils. In contrast, upstream and midstream sampling points generally show lead (Pb) and mercury (Hg) levels below detection limits. The rice paddies in the downstream area exhibit the highest levels of lead and mercury contamination, primarily due to the creeks used for small-scale mineral processing within Santa Cruz's Economic Mining Zone (EMZ). These tributaries flow directly into the Solibao River, which

subsequently carries the contamination to the downstream location studied. Environmental metrics classify the Downstream site as "Extremely Contaminated" using the Geo-accumulation Index a "Very High Risk" for Potential Ecological Risk Index and "Very High Contamination" from the Contamination Factor. Given the Solibao River's critical role in irrigation, extensive monitoring across all barangays that depend on it is essential to fully assess heavy metal contamination. Effective collaboration between government agencies particularly local government units and educational institutions is crucial for designing and implementing mitigation strategies to address the effect of these pollutants on the water bodies. Additionally, strict regulation of small-scale mining operations is imperative to prevent further environmental degradation.

Acknowledgments

The authors want to convey their sincere thanks and recognition to the following organizations: The Department of Science and Technology (DOST) for the scholarship provided to the first author under the Accelerated Science and Technology Human Resource Development Program (ASTHRDP); the Mindanao State University – Iligan Institute of Technology (MSU-IIT); the Department of Materials and Resources Engineering Technology (DMRET) (MSU-IIT); and the Department of Biological Sciences Laboratory (DBSL) (MSU-IIT) which offered continuous support throughout the study.

References

Abdullah MIC, Sah ASRM, Haris H. 2020. Geoaccumulation index and enrichment factor of arsenic in surface sediment of Bukit Merah Reservoir, Malaysia. Tropical Life Sciences Research **31**(3), 109–125.

Acopiado MA, Manglicmot D, Arcilla Jr F. 2020. Water quality analysis of creeks within the economic mining zone in Sta. Cruz, Rosario, Agusan del Sur, Philippines. IAMURE International Journal of Ecology and Conservation **33**, 1–17.

Adewumi AJ, Lawal AE. 2022. Heavy metals in paddy soils and their uptake in rice plants collected along Ogbese River, Southwest Nigeria: Implications for contamination and health risk. Ife Journal of Science **24**(3), 569–582.

Al-Huqail AA, Kumar P, Eid EM, Adelodun B, Abou Fayssal S, Singh J, Arya AK, Goala M, Kumar V, Širić I. 2022. Risk assessment of heavy metals contamination in soil and two rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties irrigated with paper mill effluent. Agriculture **12**(11), 1864.

Alloway BJ. 2012. Heavy metals in soils: Trace metals and metalloids in soils and their bioavailability (2nd ed.). Springer.

Anderson K. 2010. Globalization's effects on world agricultural trade, 1960–2050. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **365**(1554), 3007–3021.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0131.

Arao T, Ishikawa S, Murakami M, Abe K, Maejima Y, Makino T. 2010. Heavy metal contamination of agricultural soil and countermeasures in Japan. Paddy and Water Environment **8**, 247–257.

Blachowski J, Wajs J, Walerysiak N, Becker M. 2024. Monitoring of post-mining subsidence using airborne and terrestrial laser scanning approach. Archives of Mining Sciences **69**(1), 431–446. **Brodt S, Six J, Feenstra G, Ingels C, Campbell D.** 2011. Sustainable agriculture. Nature Education Knowledge **3**(10), 1.

Charzyński P, Plak A, Hanaka A. 2017. Influence of the soil sealing on the geoaccumulation index of heavy metals and various pollution factors. Environmental Science and Pollution Research **24**, 4801–4811.

Chen Y, Liang Y, Zhou H, Wang Q, Liu Y. 2022. Farmers' adaptive behaviors to heavy metal-polluted cultivated land in mining areas: The influence of farmers' characteristics and the mediating role of perceptions. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health **19**(11), 6718. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19116718.

Ciszewski D, Grygar TM. 2016. A review of floodrelated storage and remobilization of heavy metal pollutants in river systems. Water, Air, & Soil Pollution **227**(1), 1–19.

Cui Z, Wang Y, Zhao N, Yu R, Xu G, Yu Y. 2018. Spatial distribution and risk assessment of heavy metals in paddy soils of Yongshuyu irrigation area from Songhua River Basin, Northeast China. Chinese Geographical Science **28**, 797–809.

Darko G, Dodd M, Nkansah M, Aduse-Poku Y, Ansah E, Wemegah D, Borquaye L. 2017. Distribution and ecological risks of toxic metals in the topsoils in the Kumasi metropolis, Ghana. Cogent Environmental Science **3**, Article 1354965. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311843.2017.1354965.

Department of Science and Technology-Philippine Council for Agriculture, Aquatic and Natural Resources Research and Development. Rice industry profile. Retrieved from https://ispweb.pcaarrd.dost.gov.ph/ispcommodities/rice. **Domingo JP, Ngwenya BT, Attal M, David CPC, Mudd SM.** 2023. Geochemical fingerprinting to determine sediment source contribution and improve contamination assessment in miningimpacted floodplains in the Philippines. Applied Geochemistry **159**, Article 105808.

European Environment Agency (EEA). 2007. Progress in management of contaminated sites (CSI 015). Retrieved from http://www.eea.europa.eu.

FAO. 2017. The future of food and agriculture: Trends and challenges. Rome: FAO.

Fogle N, Kime L. 2021. Agriculture andinfrastructure: What's the connection? PennStateExtension.Retrievedfromhttps://extension.psu.edu/agriculture-and-infrastructure-whats-the-connection.

Gonzalez MC. 2020. A historical and analytical perspective on rice and its significance within Filipino culture. Re:Locations: Journal of the Asia Pacific World. Retrieved from https://relocationsutoronto.wordpress.com/2020/07/02/rice-and-its-significance-within-filipino-culture.

Guo B, Hong C, Tong W, Wei XJ. 2020. Health risk assessment of heavy metal pollution in a soil-rice system: A case study in the Jin-Qu Basin of China. Scientific Reports **10**, Article 11490.

Guo K, Liu YF, Zeng C, Chen YY, Wei XJ. 2014. Global research on soil contamination from 1999 to 2012: A bibliometric analysis. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section BÍSoil & Plant Science **64**(5), 377–391.

Haghnazar H, Belmont P, Johannesson KH, Aghayani E, Mehraein M. 2023. Human-induced pollution and toxicity of river sediment by potentially toxic elements (PTEs) and accumulation in a paddy soil-rice system: A comprehensive watershed-scale assessment. Chemosphere **311**, Article 136842. **Hakanson L.** 1980. An ecological risk index for aquatic pollution control: A sedimentological approach. Water Research **14**(8), 975–1001.

Hasan GMMA, Das AK, Satter MA. 2022. Accumulation of heavy metals in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) grains cultivated in three major industrial areas of Bangladesh. Journal of Environmental and Public Health **2022**, Article 1836597.

https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/1836597.

He B, Yun Z, Shi J, Jiang G. 2013. Research progress of heavy metal pollution in China: Sources, analytical methods, status, and toxicity. Chinese Science Bulletin **58**, 134–140.

Hu Y, Cheng H, Tao S. 2016. The challenges and solutions for cadmium-contaminated rice in China: A critical review. Environment International **92–93**, 515–532.

Jendruś R, Pach G, Strozik G. 2023. Assessment of the determined ground compaction of anthropogenic soil containing hard coal mine waste using the DPSH dynamic probe. Archives of Mining Sciences **69**(1), 227–249.

Ji YQ, Gao L, Li J, Wang J, Wang Z. 2008. Using geoaccumulation index to study source profiles of soil dust in China. Journal of Environmental Sciences **20**, 571–578.

Kicińska A, Wikar J. 2021. The effect of fertilizing soils degraded by the metallurgical industry on the content of elements in *Lactuca sativa* L. Scientific Reports **11**, Article 4072.

Kicińska A, Wikar J. 2024. Health risk associated with soil and plant contamination in industrial areas. Plant and Soil **498**(1), 295–323.

Kinuthia GK, Ngure V, Beti D, et al. 2020. Levels of heavy metals in wastewater and soil samples from open drainage channels in Nairobi, Kenya: Community health implications. Scientific Reports **10**, Article 8434. Kowalska JB, Mazurek R, Gąsiorek M, Zaleski T. 2018. Pollution indices as useful tools for the comprehensive evaluation of the degree of soil contamination–A review. Environmental Geochemistry and Health **40**, 2395–2420.

Lee HG, Byun YJ, Chun YW, Noh HJ, Kim DJ, Kim HK, Kim JI. 2021. Identification of metal contamination sources and evaluation of the anthropogenic effects in soils near traffic-related facilities. Toxics 9(11), Article 278. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics9110278.

Liu D, Wang J, Yu H, Wang F. 2021. Evaluating ecological risks and tracking potential factors influencing heavy metals in sediments in an urban river. Environmental Sciences Europe **33**, Article 42.

Liu Y, Ge T, van Groenigen KJ. 2021. Rice paddy soils are a quantitatively important carbon store according to a global synthesis. Communications Earth & Environment 2, Article 154.

Liu Z, Zhang Q, Han T, Ding Y, Sun J, Wang F, Zhu C. 2016. Heavy metal pollution in a soil-rice system in the Yangtze River region of China. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 13(1), Article 63.

Mamiit RJ, Yanagida J, Miura T. 2021. Productivity hot spots and cold spots: Setting geographic priorities for achieving food production targets. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems **5**, Article 727484. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.727484.

Mangahud J, Badayos R, Sanchez P, Sta. Cruz P. 2015. Levels and potential sources of heavy metals in major irrigated rice areas of the Philippines. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.4735.8163.

Mao C, Song Y, Chen L, Ji J, Li J, Yuan X, Yang Z, Ayoko GA, Frost RL, Theiss F. 2019. Human health risks of heavy metals in paddy rice based on transfer characteristics of heavy metals from soil to rice. Catena 175, 339–348.

Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Díez S. 2017. Assessment of heavy metal pollution, spatial distribution, and origin in agricultural soils along the Sinú River Basin, Colombia. Environmental Research 154, 380–388.

Mehmet TK. 2020. Soil management in sustainable agriculture. IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.88319.

Morgan JB, Connolly EL. 2013. Plant-soil interactions: Nutrient uptake. Nature Education Knowledge **4**(8), 2.

Mouhsine E, Ouazzani N, Avila M, Perez G, Valiente M, Mandi L. 2012. Heavy metal contamination of soils and water resources at Kettara abandoned mine. American Journal of Environmental Sciences **8**, 253–261.

Müller G. 1969. Index of geoaccumulation in sediments of the Rhine River. Geo Journal 2, 108–118.

Nabulo G, Young SD, Black CR. 2010. Assessing risk to human health from tropical leafy vegetables grown on contaminated urban soils. Science of the Total Environment **408**(22), 5338–5351.

Najwah AAA, Philip G. 2021. The comparison of three environmental metrics for Cr, Pb, and Zn in the agricultural region of the Mid-Continent of USA. Journal of Geoscience and Environment Protection **9**, 147–165.

Navata P, Turingan P. 2013. Breaking new ground: Enacting a national land use policy. Policy Brief, Senate Economic Planning Office, PB-13-01. Manila, Philippines: Senate Economic Planning Office.

Nguyen TP, Ruppert H, Pasold T, Sauer B. 2020. Paddy soil geochemistry, uptake of trace elements by rice grains (*Oryza sativa*), and resulting health risks in the Mekong River Delta, Vietnam. Environmental Geochemistry and Health **42**(8), 2377–2397.

Nguyen TP, Ruppert H, Sauer B, Pasold T. 2020. Harmful and nutrient elements in paddy soils and their transfer into rice grains (*Oryza sativa*) along two river systems in northern and central Vietnam. Environmental Geochemistry and Health **42**(1), 191– 207.

NNC. 2020. The importance of rice to Filipinos' lives. Region 9. Retrieved from https://nnc.gov.ph/regionaloffices/mindanao/region-ix-zamboangapeninsula/4387-the-importance-of-rice-to-filipinos-

lives.

Ololade IA. 2014. An assessment of heavy-metal contamination in soils within auto-mechanic workshops using enrichment and contamination factors with geoaccumulation indexes. Journal of Environmental Protection **5**, 970–982.

https://doi.org/10.4236/jep.2014.511098.

Parikh SJ, James BR. 2012. Soil: The foundation of agriculture. Nature Education Knowledge **3**(10), 2.

Patnaik P. 2017. Analysis of metals by atomic absorption and emission spectroscopy. In Handbook of Environmental Analysis: Chemical Pollutants in Air, Water, Soil, and Solid Wastes (2nd ed.). CRC Press.

Rahimi G, Kolahchi Z, Charkhabi A. 2017. Uptake and translocation of some heavy metals by rice crop (Oryza sativa) in paddy soils. Agriculture (Pol'nohospodárstvo) **63**, 163–175.

Ramos PS, Manangkil OE. 2022. Potential remediators in the rice production area of Zambales, Philippines contaminated with mine tailing. Research Square.

Rosario Municipal Police Station (RMPS). 2022. Municipal-area study of Rosario, Agusan del Sur 2022. Agusan del Sur Police Provincial Office.

Santos LN, Neto JAG, Caldas NM. 2012. Simultaneous determination of Cu and Pb in fuel ethanol by graphite furnace AAS using tungsten permanent modifier with co-injection of Ir. Fuel **99**, 9–12.

Silver WL, Perez T, Mayer A, Jones AR. 2021. The role of soil in the contribution of food and feed. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **376**(1816), Article 20200181.

Sindelar M. 2015. Soils support agriculture: Importance of soil to agriculture. Soil Science Society of America. Retrieved from https://www.soils.org/files/sssa/iys/march-soilsoverview.pdf.

Soares LC, Filho FBE, Windmoller CC, Yoshida MI. 2015. Mercury quantifications in soils using thermal desorption and atomic absorption spectrometry: Proposal for an alternative method of analysis. Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo.

Sudarningsih S, Fahruddin F, Lailiyanto M, Noer AA, Husain S, Siregar SS, ... Ridwan I. 2023. Assessment of soil contamination by heavy metals: A case of vegetable production center in Banjarbaru Region, Indonesia. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies **32**(1), 249–257.

Tahat MM, Alananbeh KM, Othman YA, Leskovar DI. 2020. Soil health and sustainable agriculture. Sustainability **12**(48), 4859.

Tariq SR, Rashid N. 2013. Multivariate analysis of metal levels in paddy soil, rice plants, and rice grains: A case study from Shakargarh, Pakistan. Journal of Chemistry **2013**, Article 539251. **Vatanpour N, Feizy J, Talouki HH, Es'haghi Z, Scesi L, Malvandi AM.** 2020. The high levels of heavy metal accumulation in cultivated rice from the Tajan river basin: Health and ecological risk assessment. Chemosphere **245**, Article 125639.

Wei R, Chen C, Kou M. 2023. Heavy metal concentrations in rice that meet safety standards can still pose a risk to human health. Communications Earth & Environment 4, Article 84.

Zhang J, Gao Y, Yang N, Dai E, Yang M, Wang Z, Geng Y. 2021. Ecological risk and source analysis of soil heavy metals pollution in the river irrigation area from Baoji, China. PLoS ONE **16**(8), Article e0253294.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253294.

Zhao H, Wu Y, Lan X. 2022. Comprehensive assessment of harmful heavy metals in contaminated soil to score pollution level. Scientific Reports 12, Article 3552.